



Sustainability of dairy breeding practices : Empirical evidences of semi-arid eastern zone of Rajasthan

PREM CHAND AND SMITA SIROHI

ABSTRACT : The breeding practices followed by the farmers affect the genetic potential of the animals and hence, have long-run implications for sustainability of dairy farming. With the use of low quality germplasm, the productive breeds are getting progressively diluted and face degeneration. Such a loss of animal genetic diversity puts in jeopardy the sustainability of animal agriculture and the ability of the sector to respond to changing environmental conditions. The present study therefore examines the sustainability of dairy breeding practices followed by farmers in semi-arid eastern plain zone of Rajasthan based on primary data collected from 120 sample households of the zone. A composite index on 100 point scale was developed based on standardized scores assigned to each breeding practice as per their implications on sustainability. The results of study showed that the livestock breeding practices were low sustainable in the zone as the average value of index was only 51 on the scale. The sustainability was comparatively higher on large farmers (value of index 55.47) as compared to small farmers (value of index 48.16). The breeding infrastructural facilities were poor in the study area. Study suggests for strengthening the infrastructural facilities, extension facilities, vocational trainings particularly for farm women, etc. for improving the sustainability of breeding practices in the zone.

KEY WORDS : Sustainability, Dairy breeding, Indexing, Livestock support services, Genetic diversity

HOW TO CITE THIS PAPER : Chand, Prem and Sirohi, Smita (2013). Sustainability of dairy breeding practices : Empirical evidences of semi-arid eastern zone of Rajasthan, *Res. J. Animal Hus. & Dairy Sci.*, 4(2) : 47-50.

INTRODUCTION

Livestock is an economic enterprise and can also be considered as a “survival enterprise” for millions of people in India, especially in the arid and semi-arid Rajasthan. It is the major component of agriculture in arid region while in other agro-climatic zones it is next to agriculture. The livestock products appear to be outpacing not only pulses and fruits, but also cereals in consumer food expenditure over time (Gandhi and Mani, 1995).

Besides providing the basic human needs and gainful employment, it acts as adaptation and coping instrument against frequent droughts and vulnerabilities. It provides a kind of

stability and sustenance livelihood to the rural poor.

The dominant role of livestock in the agrarian economy of Rajasthan is evident from the fact that the contribution of livestock sector is much higher in the state as compared to other parts of country. The contribution of livestock in value of output from agriculture at current prices in the state during 2008-09 was 36.84 per cent while the corresponding figure at all India level was 26.87 per cent (CSO, 2011). The contribution of livestock in household income in arid and semi-arid region of Rajasthan some time goes up to 60-65 per cent in situation of drought and famine (GoR, 2007). Dairy is the major component of livestock in the state. The value of milk group alone was to the tune of Rs. 1711686 lakh in 2008-09, which was much higher than value of output from total oilseeds (Rs. 1184440 lakh), the second largest contributor to agriculture (CSO, 2011).

The livelihood contribution of livestock has been well acknowledged. But there are numbers of sustainability issues in livestock production in the country in general and in Rajasthan state in particular. The traditional package of

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address for correspondence :

Prem Chand, Dairy Economics, Statistics and Management Division, National Dairy Research Institute, KARNAL (HARYANA) INDIA
Email : prem_mahala@yahoo.com, prem3281@gmail.com

Associated Authors' :

Smita Sirohi, Dairy Economics, Statistics and Management Division, National Dairy Research Institute, KARNAL (HARYANA) INDIA

practices for breeding, feeding, housing, management and health usually followed by farmers for rearing dairy animals, particularly of high production potentials do not result in further enhancing and sustaining the productivity of animals. There are several gaps/constraints, which may affect the production of indigenous and crossbred cattle and buffaloes, and make them unsustainable in long run.

The breeding practices followed by the farmers affect the genetic potential of the animals and hence, have long-run implications for sustainability of dairy farming (Bhat and Taneja, 1998; Joshi, 2004; Joshi and Chakravarty, 2004). With the use of low quality germ-plasm, the productive breeds is getting progressively diluted and face degeneration. In recent years, several breeds have been reduced in number due to neglect and lack of concentrated breeding efforts. Such a loss of animal genetic diversity puts in jeopardy the sustainability of animal agriculture and the ability of the sector to respond to changing environmental conditions. Good breeding practices are therefore, essential pre-requisite for bringing genetic improvement of animals and thereby improving the productivity and sustainability of animals. With this background, the present study was undertaken to assess the sustainability of dairy breeding practices in semi-arid eastern plain zone of Rajasthan.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area and sampling :

The study was entirely based on the primary data collected from Jaipur district of semi-arid eastern plain zone of Rajasthan. The district was selected purposively as it is advanced in dairy production in the state. From the selected district, four tehsils and consequently four villages from each tehsil were selected randomly. The selected villages were completely enumerated to ascertain the herd size of milch animals. Three-herd size categories based on number of milch animals (both cows and buffaloes); small (1-2 animals), medium (3-4 animals) and large (5 and above animals) were formed using cumulative square root frequency method. Total numbers of 120 households were selected as per the probability proportion to number of households in each category. The data were collected for the year 2006-07 (March 2006 to February 2007) by conventional survey method on a well structured and pre-tested schedule through personal interview from selected households for three seasons, *viz.*, summer (March to June), rainy (July to October) and winter (November to February).

Analytical framework :

To examine whether the breeding practices in the study area are congenial from sustainability angle, some pre-decided questions were asked to dairy farmers regarding the breeding practices followed by them. For instance, in case of natural service whether selected or scrub was used, in case of A.I.

whether service of trained person is taken or not, etc.

These practices were scored according to their implications for sustainability – the lower score for worst practice. Raw scores were converted into standard scores. Standard scores were converted by converting raw scores into ‘Z’ score and then ‘X’ scores. The formula used to transform raw scores into ‘z’ scores entails subtracting the mean from the raw score and dividing by the standard deviation :

$$z = \frac{X - M}{SD}$$

while z scores are relatively simple to use, they do have some computational disadvantages. Because a z score can be equal to 0 or can be negative, certain types of data manipulation become awkward. For these reasons, as well as others, alternative standard score systems have been developed to linearly transform z scores (as well as raw scores) to a scale that does not contain negative numbers. Such systems are all “standardized” to the extent that both the mean and the standard deviation of the new scale have been arbitrarily set. The general formula for linearly converting a z score to a standardized score (X’) is expressed as follows:

$$X' = SD(z) + M$$

where, X’ (called X prime) is the new standard score, and M and SD are the values of the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the new distribution.

Finally, the Sustainable Dairy Breeding Index (SDBI) on 100-point scale was calculated from these standard scores by assigning equal weight to the each breeding practice.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dairy farmers were interviewed to assess the breeding practices, followed by them and the results are presented in Table 1 and 2. It was found that only Artificial Insemination (AI) method of mating was practised for crossbred cow, whereas, for buffalo, natural services were usually resorted to. In case of local cow, both natural services and AI were practised but the rate of adoption of AI was low (42%). The use of AI was more on large herds than small and medium. The system of mating was indiscriminate (unplanned) on around half of the households for cows and it was as high as 73.33 per cent on small category. Nearly 1/3rd of the farmers were using the scrub bull, *i.e.*, no specific breed characteristics, for natural services and their percentage was as high as 43.63 per cent on small households (Table 1). The percentage of farmers using scrub bull was highest on small category (43.64%) and lowest on large category (8.33%).

The male calves were generally sold before one year of age due to low demand of draft power animals. Though, the rate of castration of male calves was high (79%), the methods of castration were not scientific in the study area. Majority of farmers were not going for pregnancy diagnosis. The problem

Table 1 : Percentage distribution of households based on adoption of selected breeding practices

Breeding practices		Small	Medium	Large	Overall
Method of service					
Cow [@]	Natural	80.00	50.00	16.67	58.06
	AI	20.00	50.00	83.00	41.94
Buffalo	Natural	98.15	92.31	100.00	96.61
	AI	1.85	2.56	–	1.695
	Both	–	5.13	–	1.695
System of mating					
Cow	Indiscriminate	73.33	30.00	16.67	48.39
	Planned	26.67	70.00	83.33	51.61
Buffalo	Indiscriminate	38.89	7.69	4.00	21.19
	Planned	61.11	92.31	96.00	78.81
Phenotype of bull used for natural services	Scrub bull	43.64	21.05	8.33	29.06
	Specific breed bull	56.36	78.95	91.67	70.94

@ In crossbred cow only AI was practiced.

of repeat breeding was observed in about 14 per cent of households in either one or two animals in a year (Table 2). There were 6 per cent of households in which the cases of abortion of animals was found and in most of them in later part of pregnancy (>6 months), which was very dangerous. Dairy activities in the zone were largely performed by farm women and they were not aware about the improved practices which may be the major region behind non-scientific breeding practices.

Table 2 : Other breeding practices followed by farmers

Sr. No.	Breeding practices	Description
1.	Castration of male calves	21.43 % non-adopters
2.	Scientific method of castration	63.64 % non-adopters
3.	Pregnancy diagnosis	77.50 % non-adopters
4.	Source of AI	66.67 Private
5.	Gap between heat detection and insemination	35.83 before 12 hours
6.	Case of repeat breeding	14.17
7.	Case of abortion	5.83

Besides these, the breeding infrastructural facilities were poor in the sample villages. Out of the four villages, the AI centre, trained inseminator, quality semen, veterinary doctor and village Panchayat bull was available in only one village and for 3 villages, these facilities were available about 2 to 4 km away from villages (Table 3). There was no availability of liquid nitrogen canes and medicines in any village. The village Panchayat bull available in only one village was non-descriptive in case of cow and of Murrah breed for buffalo. The secondary data also support these results. The number of veterinary institutions per 10000 of livestock population in Rajasthan is 0.69, while, the all India average is

Table 3 : Availability of livestock infrastructure facilities in villages

Livestock infrastructure facilities	Percentage of sample villages in which facilities are available
AI centre	1/4
Trained inseminator	1/4
Liquid nitrogen canes	0
Quality semen	1/4
Availability of doctor	1/4
Availability of medicine	0
Availability of bull	1/4
Breed of Panchayat bull	Available in only one village. Cow-Non-descriptive; Buffalo-Murah

1.00 (GoI, 2012).

The value of index aggregated from these practices across different herd size categories based on their implication for sustainability is presented in Table 4. Table shows that the average value of index was only around 51 and ranged from 48.16 on small category of households to 55.47 on large category (Table 4). The inter-household variability was highest on small herds ranged from 34.79 to 64.06 and lowest on large herds ranging from 41.22 to 65.40. Though the study area was different, similar results were also observed by Yadav (2005) in his comparative study of Bihar and Haryana. A similar study carried out in Lower Gangetic Plain zone of

Table 4 : Sustainable dairy breeding index in semi-arid eastern zone of Rajasthan

Herd size	Value of index		
	Minimum	Maximum	Geometric mean
Small	34.79	64.06	48.16
Medium	37.55	68.07	52.27
Large	41.22	65.40	55.47
Overall	34.79	68.07	50.94

India by Chakarvarti (2006), the artificial insemination was found moderately sustainable.

Conclusion :

The study showed that the breeding practices followed by farmers in the study area were not of scientific nature to be sustainable in the longer run. The breeding practices on smaller herds which accounted majority of dairy farmers was found to be less sustainable as compared to larger herds. Inadequate availability of efficient support services was one of the major factors affecting the sustainability and hence policy needs to be focused on development of livestock infrastructural facilities in public private partnership mode. Lack of extension service and awareness about improved practices was also an important determinant of sustainability of breeding practices. Therefore, extension system needs to be strengthened and there is a need to create the awareness particularly among farm women about improved breeding practices.

LITERATURE CITED

Bhat, P.N. and Taneja, V. K. (1998). Sustainable animal production in India: Issues and approach, *Indian J. Animal Sci.*, **68**(8): 701-712.

Chakravarty, R. (2006). Sustainability of dairy practices in Lower Gangetic Plains of India, *J. Interacademica*, **10**(1) : 102-105.

CSO (2011). State-wise estimates of value of output from agriculture

and allied activities with new base year 2004-2005 (2004-05 to 2008-09). National Account Statistics, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, NEW DELHI (INDIA).

Gandhi, V.P. and Mani, G. (1995). Are livestock products rising in importance? A study of the growth and behaviour of their consumption in India. *Indian J. Agric. Econo.*, **50**(3) : 283-293.

GoI (2012). *Basic animal husbandry statistics, 2012*, Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, NEW DELHI (INDIA).

GoR. (2007). *Rajasthan state livestock development policy*, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fishery, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur (RAJASTHAN) INDIA.

Joshi, B.K. (2004). Sustainable breeding strategies for cattle and buffaloes under different animal production systems. In: *Sustainable production in farm animals through breeding and management interventions*, training manual, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal (HARYANA) INDIA.

Joshi, B.K. and Chakravarty, A.K. (2004). An impact study on breeding and management interventions enhancing dairy animal productivity and its sustainability under rural household conditions. In: *Sustainable production in farm animals through breeding and management interventions*, training manual, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal (HARYANA) INDIA

Yadav, V.K. (2005). Sustainability of scientific crop cultivation and dairy practices: A comparative study in Bihar and Haryana. Ph.D. Thesis, National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal (HARYANA) INDIA.

Received : 30.05.2013; Revised: 03.11.2013; Accepted : 16.11.2013