Seed imbibed effect of sugarcane industrial effluent on germination percentage and seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* cv. (PBW-226 AND LOK-1)

PARMILA RANI AND SANJEEV KUMAR

Accepted : September, 2009

SUMMARY

The seed imbibed effect of different concentration of sugarcane industrial effluent (*i.e.* 10% to 100% v/v) on germination percentage and seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* cvs. (PBW-226 AND LOK-1) had been assessed. In present findings all doses of the effluent show promotion on germination percentage of *Triticum aestivum* cv. LOK-1, while no specific effect of doses is found on germination percentage of *Triticum aestivum* cv.PBW-226. Higher doses show promotary effects on seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* cv. PBW-226 and inhibitory effects on *Triticum aestivum* cv. LOK-1.

Key words : Sugarcane industrial effluent, Germination %, Seedling growth, Triticum aestivum

Establishment of more and more industries resulted in the generation of waste in huge quantities, which also may cause a serious threat to the quality of the environment in near future. The water pollution is a major global problem as large amount of waste water or effluent discharged by industries reached to water bodies. The industries significant from water pollution point of view are sugar industries, ditillaries, oil refineries, fertilizer units, steel plants, texti, e mills, chemical industries, paper and pulp industries and metal works industries (Manivasakam 1987).

The main sourse for waste water in sugar a sugar mill are mill house waste, condenser waste water, boiler house waste, floor washing and filter cloth washing if filter is used (Shastry,1990). The physiochemical properties of sugar mill waste water dischargeare are pH 5.5-7.5, Temperature 250C-350C, Suspended solid 300-1200mg, BOD 300-1200mg/,COD 300-1200mg/l,oil and grease 10-50mg/l,total nitrogen 10-40mg/l. The sugar mills waste are also concentrated with a number of inorganic substances (Anderson and Nilson, 1976).

The sugar mill waste contain a no. of inorganic and organic pollutants. These pollutants changed chemical and physical properties of soil, water and air (Chakrabarthy 1964).

Singh *et.al.*(1984) studied the pollutinary effects of sugar mill and distillery effluent on seed germination and seedling growth of three varieties of rice. The result showed that cent percent germination and best seedling

Correspondence to: PARMILA RANI, Department of Botany, D.A.V. (P.G.) College, MUZAFFARNAGAR (U.P.) INDIA Authors' affiliations: SANJEEV KUMAR, Department of Botany, D.A.V. (P.G.) College, MUZAFFARNAGAR (U.P.) INDIA growth occurred in 10% effluent concentration and there after a progressive decline in germination percentage, speed of germination index, seedling height and seedling biomass has been found. O.sativa L.var.Cavery tolerated the polluted effect much better than O.sativa var.Jaya and O.sativa L.var.Ratna. Bahadur and Sharma (1990) studied the effect of industrial effluent in relation to seed germination and seedling growth on a variety of wheat. Goel and Kulkarni (1994) studied the effect of sugar factory waste on germination of gram seed (Cicer arietinum L.) Kumar Rajesh (1995) noted the effct of sugar mill effluent on seed germination and sedling growth of Cicer arietinum cv.NP 58. The effect of various concentration of sugar mill effluent carried on seed germination and seedling growth of Cicer arietinum cv.NP58 was presented. The result shows that there was significant increase and decrease in the lower and higher concentration of sugar mill effluent.

In view of the above literature further to understand the nature of sugar mill effluent seeds of *Triticum aestivum* cvs. PBW-226 and LOK-1 were imbibed in different concentrations of sugarcane industrial effluent and effect of such treatment was studied on seed germination and seedling growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of uniform size, shape, colour and weight of *Triticum aestivum* cvs. (PBW-226 and LOK-1) as far as possible were selected, surface sterilized with 0.1% Hgcl2 solution, thoroughly washed with distilled water and kept in solutions of different concentrations (from 10% to 100%) of sugarcane industrial effluent separately for imbibition period. Seeds simultaneously kept in distilled water constituted the control. After requisite imbition they were transferred to petridishes having distilled water moistened filter paper and kept for germination and subsequent seedling growth at $25\pm 3^{\circ}$ C in dark.

Germination was assessed by radicle emergence (2-3 mm) and the percent germination in each case was recorded. For dose response relationhips, seedling growth was studied at a particular day (ie.5th day) of germination by dissecting the seedling into various parts and subjecting to measurement of their length, fresh weight and dry weight.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of sugarcane industrial effluent on *Triticum aestivum* cv. PBW-226 as studied from Table 1 and Fig. 1,(1a,1b and 1c) indicate that seed germination percentage is slightely inhibited in 40 % and 70 % doses, germination percentage in these doses are 90% of control respectively. While in other doses no specific response is found. Doses from 50 % to 100 % show promotion except dose 70 % and doses from 10% to 40 % show inhibition on seedling growth. Radicle is mush more affected than coleoptile. All doses show inhibitory effects on fresh weight of radicle except 20% and 60%. Out of all promotory doses, dose 60% show maximum promotion, because in this dose,





[Internat. J. Plant Sci., Jan. - June, 2010, 5 (1)]





length of radicle and coleoptile is 118 % and 146 %, fresh weight of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 108 %, 139 % and 87% and dry wt. of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 133%, 133% and 97% of control respectively. On the other hand dose 10% show maximum inhibition, because in this dose, length of radicle and coleoptile is 56 % and 83 %, fresh weight of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 66%, 62% and 80% and dry wt. of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 66 %, 70 % and 76 % of control respectively.

All doses of effluent show slight promotion in germination whereas they show inhibitory effects on seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* LOK-1 as studied from Table 2 and Fig. 1 (2a, 2b and 2c). The seed germination percentage in 60 % and 80% doses is 112% of control and in all remaining doses it is 125% of control respectively. Out of all the doses, 10 % dose show maximum inhibition on seedling growth. In this dose, length of radicle and coleoptile is 76 % and 74 %, fresh wt. of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 36 %, 57 % and 77 % and dry wteight of radicle, coleoptile and rasidual cotyledon is 81 %, 105 % and 83 % of control respectively.

Above mention results indicate that different doses of sugarcanr industrial effluent shows promotary effects

-		-										_		-	175		-			-		_
100%	100		5.5±0.96 *****	4.3 ± 0.28		29.5±13.4	42.0 ± 21.4	70.0±12.4		***	2.0 ± 0.74	4.0 ± 1.23		34.0 ± 10.74	tt 0.005 % leve			100%	100		3 6±1.09	- ALT_ALA
90%	100	****	6.1±1.36 ****	4.9 ± 0.81		34.0±15.05 ***	56.0±21.18	64.0±16.40			3.0 ± 0.97	4.0±1.68	×	30.0±10.54	 Significance a 			90%	100	*	5.1±1.84	
80%	100	****	$6.0{\pm}1.10$	3.6 ± 1.14		34.0±13.49 **	53.5±22.30	69.0±15.20			3.16±0.81 ****	5.0±1.75	*	27.0±11.59	level *****=	LOK1		80%	100		3.1±1.68	~~~~~~
70%	06		3.6 ± 1.10 ****	4.2±0.54	*	26.6±12.24	31.0±20.70	67.0±14.10		***	2.2±0.72 ***	4.4 ± 1.13	**	28.0±7.88	ance at 0.01 %	m aesiivum cv.		70%	60		4.2±1.58	
9/09	100	¥ ¥	4.3±0.51 ****	4.7±0.46		39.0±16.63	48.8±43.68 *	61.0±13.70		***	4.0±0.76 *	4.0 ± 1.36		33.0 ± 9.48	**** = Signific	owth of <i>Triticu</i>		60%	100		3.5±2.12	
50%	100		3.3 ± 1.01 ****	4.4 ± 0.48	Q.	36.0±10.74 **	47.0±11.59	63.0±18.20	() ± S.D		3.0 ± 0.54	4.0 ± 0.87	*	30.0 ± 8.16).025 % level	on seedling gr	ntrations %	50%	100		4.1±1.16	~~~~~
40%	96	cm) ± S.D *	3.0±0.35	3.0±0.97	h wt. (mg) ± S	31.1±10.54	32.2±10.92	60.0±16.30	Dry wt.(mg		3.3±0.80 *	2.2±1.29		33.0±11.59	ignificance at (ustrial effluent	Effluent conce	40%	96	$cm) \pm S.D$	2.8 ± 0.97	
30%	100	Length (3.1±1.13 ***	2.6±0.58	Fres	35.0 ± 10.80	38.0 ± 18.13	61.0±9.94			3.0 ± 0.64	4.0 ± 0.99		37.0±12.51	evel *** = S	sugarcane indi		30%	100	Length (2.7±2.05	
20%	100	***	2.3 ± 0.74	2.8 ± 0.47		37.0±9.48	37.0 ± 13.37	61.0±11.00		* * *	$4.0{\pm}0.76$	3.1±0.97		31.0 ± 13.70	cance at 0.05%	centrations of s		20%	100		2.8±1.93	
10%	100	***	2.0±0.25 ***	2.6±0.50	**	24.0 ± 10.74 **	22.0±12.29	56.0±15.70		***	2.0±0.57 *	2.1 ± 1.03	×	26.0±9.66	vel **= Signifi	i different conc		10%	100	*	2.7 ± 1.06	
Centrol	00		3.6±1.20	3.2 ± 0.63		36.0±18.97	35.0±19.57	70.0±14.1			3.0±0.88	3.0 ± 16.3		34.0 ± 8.43	nce at 0.10 % le	bibed effect of		Centrol	00		3.5±1.11	
Doses	Germination %	Seedling parts Radicle	Colecutile		Radicle	Calcontile		cotyledon		Radicle	Caleontile	andono	Residual	cetyledon	N.B. *= Significa	Table 2 : Seed in		Doses	Germination %	Seedling parts	NAULUC	

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., Jan. - June, 2010, 5 (1)]

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

					Effluent conce	ntrations %		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Doses	Control	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Germination %	100	100	100	100	96	100	100	06	100	100	100
Seedling parts				Length ($cm) \pm S.D$						
Radicle		*								*	
	3.5±1.11	2.7±1.06	2.8±193	2.7±2.05	2.8±0.97	4.1 ± 1.16	3.5±2.12	4.2±1.58	3.1±1.08	5.1 ±1.84	3.6±1.09
Coleoptile		4	***	**	* 4						
	3.9 ± 1.39	2.9 ± 1.85	2.2 ± 112	2.5±1.79	2.9±0.97	3.5 ± 0.91	3.1±1.7 1	4.5±1.92	3.8 ± 1.17	4.4 ± 1.50	3.9 ± 0.95
					Fresh wt. (m	g) ± S.D					4200
Radicle		***	***	****	****	×	****	****		÷	¥
	43.7±19.22	16.0 ± 6.99	20.5 ± 20.47	10.7 ± 15.90	13.5 ± 8.51	34.0±11.73	16.6 ± 9.68	19.5 ± 11.16	35.5±24.03	34.0±15.05	34.0±11.73
Coleoptile		****	** * **	***	*	*	÷	*			
	47.5±19.82	27.0 ± 8.23	22.0±15.31	21.5±14.53	38.0±9.18	39.0±13.70	31.1±16.15	36.0±20.11	45.5±22.97	46.0±14.29	48.0 ± 12.29
Residual		****	***	****	부 뜻 뜻	¥	*	4	×	***	¥
cetyledon	79.0 ± 1.97	61.C±13.70	62.0±13.98	59.0±19.69	63.0±18.28	70.0±13.33	65.0±27.18	71.0±11.97	71.0±19.69	60.0±12.47	70.0±12.47
6					Dry wt.(mg	$() \pm S.D$					
Radicle		*	****	****	****		****	****		*	*
	3.7±1.36	3.0±0.73	2.0 ± 0.87	1.0 ± 0.42	2.0±0.63	4.0±1.32	1.1 ± 0.52	2.0 ± 0.91	4.4 ± 1.50	3.0 ± 1.08	3.04 ± 1.18
Coleoptile			*	*		×				*	*
	3.7 ± 1.14	4.C±1.17	3.0 ± 135	3.0±1.15	3.0 ± 1.75	5.0±1.93	3.3 ± 1.72	4.0 ± 1.71	3.3±2.50	5.0 ± 1.92	3.0 ± 1.03
Residual		*	*		÷			4		*	¥
cotyledon	37.0 ± 6.74	31.0 ± 7.37	42.0 ± 10.32	39.0±5.67	32.0±7.88	36.0 ± 6.99	37.0 ± 9.48	33.0±8.23	37.0 ± 8.23	32.0±11.35	30.0 ± 8.16
N.B. *= Significat	nce at 0.10 %1	evel **= Signif	icance at 0.05%	[eve] *** = S	ignificance at (.025 % level	**** = Signifi	ance at 0.01 %	level *****	= Significance a	t 0.005 % level



aestivum cv. LOK-1



on germination percentage. Higher doses show promotary effects on seedling growth of Triticum aestivum cv. PBW-226 and inhibitory effects on Triticum aestivum cv. LOK-1. Similar observation in respect to promotion in seed germination and variable effects on seedling growth in difeerent cvs. were studied by several workers. Balashouri(1994) noted that the values of germination percent increased over control set with corresponding increase of effluent concentrations. According to Karande (1994) untreated effluent when employed as it is or in diluted form, then it show negligible or no adverse effect on overall seed germination and early seedling growth. Some plant cvs. show variable results in response to doses. Ghimire and Bajracharya (1996) reported significant differences in the sensitivity of four types of vegetable seeds (Brassicajuncea, B. oleracea, B.rapa and Raphanus sativus) of a single family Brassicaceae



to the effluent .Gupta and Chapagain (1999) observed significantly different effect of polluted water on Pisum sativum and Lepidium sativum. Seed germination and seedling growth of all tested vegetables were highly sensitive to the effluents but the nature of the sensitivity varied with the type of effluents and seed types. Jha and Niroula(1998) showed differential sensitivity to various industrial effluents and municipal sewage on germination and dry weight increase on rice and blackgram. Variable results has been found in different plant cvs. in response to doses, why? Seeds having better growth promoting substance which resists and compete the inhibition posed by effluent germinate. Niroula (1996), Shrestha (2000) reported that The ability of tolerant variety for effluent treatment may be due to the genetic constitution of the variety as well as due to some internal conditions ie. Endogenous growth regulators, cytoplasmic and nuclear status of the embryo and cotyledons.

Doses shows inhibitory effects on seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* LOK-1 and on fresh weight of radicle of *Triticum aestivum* cv. PBW-226 may be due to osmotic inhibition of water absorption which inhibiting the functions of essential enzymes such as á-amylase and protease (Jerome and Ferguson ,1972, Mayer *et al.*,1982). The supply of excessive amount of nutrients by the effluent causes temporary saline conditions near the surface whereas excess of salts get accumulated and such activities affect germination and further growth(Shinde and Trivedy,1987).

REFERENCES

- Anderson, A. and Nilson, K.O. (1976). Influence on level of heavy metals in soil and plant from sewage sludge used as a fertilizer. *Sweedish J. agric. Res.*, 6 : 151-159.
- Bahadur, B. and Sharma, B.K. (1990). Effects of industrial effluent on seed germination and early seedling growth of *Triticum aestivum* var. UP 115. Acta Bot. Indica, 18(1): 80-83.

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., Jan. - June, 2010, 5 (1)]

- Balashouri, Prameeladevi (1994). (Environ Bio Div, Dept Zoo Kakatiya Univ, Warangal-506009).Effect of tannery effluent on germination and growth of selected pulses and cereal crop plants, *Jecotoxico Environ Monit*, 4(2),115-120 [18 Ref].
- Chakrabarthy, R.N. (1964). Cane sugar wastes and their disposal. *Environ. Health*, **6** : 265-273.
- Ghimire, S. K. and Bajracharya, D. (1996). Toxicity effect of industrial effluents on seed germination and seedling growth of some vegetables. *Ecoprint*, **3**: 1-12.
- Gupta, V. N. P. and Chapagain, N. (1999). Germination behaviour of some vegetable seeds as affected by polluted water of Dhobikhola (Kathmandu). *Scientific World*, **1**:37-42.
- Jha, S. and Niroula, B. (1998). Effects of various industrial effluents and Municipal sewage on germination and growth of rice and blackgram; *T.U. Journal*, 21: 63-69.
- Jerome, G. and Ferguson (1972). The cycling of mercury through the environment. *Water Res.*, **6** : 989-1008.
- Karande, S.M. and Ghanvat, N.A. (1994). Effect of untreated effluents of Pravara pulp and paper mill and distillery on seed germination and early seedling growth in pigeon pea. *Proc. Acad. Environ. Bio.*, **3**(2): 165-169.
- Kumar, R. (1995). Effects of sugar mill effluent on the seed germination and seedling growth of *Cicer arietinum* cv. NP58. *Adv. Pl. Sci.*, 8(1) (suppl.): 52-56.

- Manivasakam, N. (1987). Industrial effluents: origin, characteristics, effects, analysis and treatment, Coimbatore.
- Mayer, A. M. and Poljakoff Mayber, A. (1982). *The germination* of seeds, Pergamon press, London.
- Niroula, B. (1996). Evaluation of some effluents on germination and growth of Oryza sativa L.cv. "Chaite-4" and Vigna mungo (L) Hepper. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Botany, Post Graduate Campus, T.U. Biratnagar,
- Shinde, D.S., Trivedy, R.K. and Khatavkar, S.D. (1988). Effects of pulp, paper and sugar factory waste on germination of gram. *Pollut. Res. J.*, (3-4): 117-122.
- Singh, D.K., Kumar, D. and Singh, V.P. (1984). Studies on pollutional effect of sugar mill and distillery effluent on seed germination and seedling growth of three varieties of rice, *J. Environ. Biol.*, 6(1): 31-35.
- Shrestha, M. (2000). Effect of industrial and municipalitysewage effluents on germination, growth and yield of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.Var arkel). M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Botany, Post Graduate Campus, T.U.Biratnagar, Nepal.

****** *****