e ISSN-2230-9403 ■ Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

Volume 5 | Issue 2 | October, 2014 | 114-119

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/FSRJ/5.2/114-119

Awareness and use of food labelling informations among consumers in Bhubaneswar city

VIJAYETA PRIYADARSHINI

Disclosure of information regarding the product on the packet is a critical aspect due largely to stringent regulatory regimes. Present study tries to assess the awareness of consumers about the information provided on food labels, by undertaking a survey among 120 consumers in Bhubaneswar city. It was found that consumers in India possess satisfactory level of awareness about different types of information on the food labels displayed on packaged food products, however, usage of such information as one of the criteria while purchasing packaged food product was relatively low. 67.5 per cent of the respondents had the habit of checking the information label on the packet, however, they read basic information like M.R.P and manufacturing and expiry dates.

Key Words: Food labelling, Consumer's knowledge, Awareness, Packaged foods

How to cite this article: Priyadarshini, Vijayeta (2014). Awareness and use of food labelling informations among consumers in Bhubaneswar city. Food Sci. Res. J., 5(2): 114-119.

INTRODUCTION

Food product labelling, as policy tool for ensuring provision of nutrition and health information to consumers and as product differentiation strategy by food companies, has gained importance in the recent past across the globe (Kim et al., 2001; Marks, 1984). Food labels are found to be a very important public health tool that is used to promote a balanced diet, and hence enhance the public health and wellbeing. Food labels information helps the consumers in the better understanding of the nutritional value of food and enables them to compare the nutritional values of similar food products and to make healthy informed food choices based on the relevant nutrition information (Nayga, 2000; AL Tamimi and Company, 2004). In addition; it is particularly useful for people who are on special diets (e.g. people suffering from diabetes or high blood lipid or obesity) to select suitable foods for their health conditions. In order for such information to get converted into knowledge, consumers should be able to comprehend this information and make informed choices

AUTHOR FOR CORRESPONDENCE

VIJAYETA PRIYADARSHINI, Department of Home Science, Government Women's College, KEONJHAR (ODISHA) INDIA while buying different food products. With regard to food purchasing, food labels have hence probably become the most important and most influential factor during consumer decision making (Nadia Prinsloo et al., 2012; Perers-Texeira and Badrie, 2005) because most of the information that consumers require, such as branding and product ingredients, are printed on product labels (Prathiraja and Ariyawardana, 2003 and Kole et al., 2009) that are prominently attached to the packaging, or form an integral part of the packaging. They use attributes such as serving size, ingredient list, health and nutrient claims, price and brands when making their food purchasing decisions (Sharma and Kumar, 2012; McLeana et al., 2005 and Whitney and Rolfes, 2008). Food labels hence perform an important communicative function by providing consumers with information to select the most suitable product alternative during the pre-purchase decision-making phase (Vander Merwe et al., 2010 and Dimara and Skuras, 2005).

The food processing industry is one of the largest in India. It is ranked fifth in terms of production, consumption, export and expected growth. Food processing industry is of enormous significance for India's development because it has linked up economy, industry and agriculture in India (Sethassociates. com). There is a large untapped opportunity

to cater to 1000 million domestic consumers. It is estimated that 300 million upper and middle class consume processed food. With the convenience needs of dual income families, 200 million more consumers are expected to move to processed food by 2010. The market size for the processed foods is thus bound to increase from US \$102 billion currently to US \$330 billion by 2014-15 assuming a growth of 10 per cent. The share of the value added products in processed foods would almost double from US \$44 billion currently to US \$88 billion during the same period, growing at the rate of 15per cent. (Studymode.com, 2012).

Information provided on food labels:

As per food safety and standards (packaging and labelling) Regulations 2011 in Indian every food items needs to follow rules and regulation for product labelling.

As per Indian Regulation food product must have:

- Product name and category of food.
- An ingredient list in descending order of weight.
- Logo of vegetarian and non-vegetarian food.
- Nutrition fact panel or information which includes energy, protein, carbohydrate (sugar) and fat.
- The shelf-life (use by or best before date).
- Storage conditions.
- The name and address of the manufacturer, packer and / or seller.
- The country of origin (in case of imported foods).
- The weight.
- Instructions for use.
- Health claims establish a relationship between a food and disease or medical condition.
- Nutritional claims quickly inform a consumer of nutritional value of a product for example 'low fat' or 'zero cholesterol' (Ministry of health and family welfare).

However, there are many issues and questions related to food labeling, such as how far the consumers are aware of food labels and can they comprehend the information provided on the labels. Further, how far the aware consumers take into consideration food label information while making purchase decisions.

With the rising concerns about the interrelationship between nutrition, health and life style among the population; this study has aimed to assess the consumer's knowledge about the important information on the labels and to whether those labels will assist them to take right decisions when buying pre-packaged foods.

METHODOLOGY

Objectives:

To know the degree of checking nutrition labelling on processed foods among consumers.

- To know the nutritional information most sought on food labels among consumers.
- To know the level of awareness of the consumers regarding the importance of the food label.
- To know the reasons for not checking the nutrition labels over food products among consumers.

Research design:

The study was conducted in the city of Bhubaneswar, Odisha from 2nd November to 31st November, 2013. 120 respondents from both genders (age group from 25-45 years) were randomly selected as the sample for the study. Retail outlets were visited in morning, afternoon to ensure better coverage of all types of consumers. The survey tool for this study was a structured survey questionnaire method through face-to-face interview by the investigator.

OBSERVATIONS AND ASSESSMENT

Table 1 shows salient socio-demographic characteristics of the sample covered in the survey. Majority of the respondents (65.83%) were females. Sample covered two age groups: 20 to 34 years (70.83%); 35–45 years (29.16%). Considering the educational qualification, all the respondents were well educated with over two thirds (69.16%) with graduation degree, 12.5 per cent went to college and 18.33 per cent had Post graduate degrees or above, reflected a higher educational level among grocery shoppers interviewed. The level of education of the sample was reflected on their occupations, as 37.5 per cent respondents were private sector employees followed by Government employee (23.33%), students (13.33%) and homemakers (14.16%). Around three fourth (73.33%) of the respondents were married and rest (26.66%) were singles. There were 70 per cent respondents who said that they purchased packaged food very frequently (more than once a week) and 6.66 per cent respondents did so occasionally.

Degree of use of nutrition labelling on food packages:

Extent of reading food labeling before purchase of prepackaged foods was relatively high as Table 2 shows that 42.5 per cent of the respondents 'Always' read labeling information prior purchase of pre-packaged foods (including respondents reading nutrition labels 'Often' (25%). On the other hand, this high proportion could possibly be attributed by the supermarket settings since consumers who buy from such places tend to encounter food labels because normally they pick products from shelves by themselves (Sunelle et al., 2010). Respondents who 'Never' read nutrition labels were 6.66 per cent including respondents reading 'Rarely' (14.16%). While 11.66 per cent of the respondents read nutrition labels 'Occasionally' over food packages.

Table 1 : Demogra	nhic charac	rteristics of the	respondents	(n=120)

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
	Trequency	1 ercentage
Gender		
Male	41	34.16
Female	79	65.83
Educational qualification		0
Illiterate	0	0
High school	0	12.5
College	15	69.16
Graduation	83	18.33
Post Graduation and above	22	
Age group		
20 – 34 Years	85	70.83
35 – 45 Years	35	29.16
Occupation		
Students	16	13.33
Government employee	28	23.33
Self-employed	07	5.83
Unemployed	02	1.66
Private sector employee	45	37.5
Housewife	17	14.16
Others	05	4.16
Social status		
Single	32	26.66
Married	88	73.33
Divorced	0	0
Widow	0	0
Frequency of purchasing packaged food		
More than once a week	84	70.0
Once a week	17	14.16
Once a month	11	9.16
Occasionally	08	6.66

Table 2: Reading of food labelling	(n=120)	
Responses of the respondents	Frequency	Percentage
Always	51	42.5
Often	30	25
Occasionally	14	11.66
Rarely	17	14.16
Never	08	6.66
Total	120	100

Information sought on food lables according to the personal preferences of consumers:

Ten items were identified as information which might relatively be available in the food label and the consumers may be looking at when buying the food packages (Table 3). The analysis showed that majority of the respondents (75.83%) mentioned manufacting and expiry dates as their first, second or third important information they look for in the label. More or less the same motivations were reported by (Philip et al., 2010), that consumers are motivated by the need to establish safety, hygiene and quality of pre-packaged foods before purchase of such foods. On the other hand, comparative low interest of the respondents in the presence of nutrition label (16.65%), standardisation mark like ISO/ AGMARK/ ISI mark (20.82%) on the product packet reflected low awareness of the sample about the presence of such information in the label. Health warning message was found to be the least important (3.32%).

Consumer's level of awareness on the importance of the food lable:

To measure the consumers level of awareness about the information on the food label, a measuring score was constructed. The previously constructed 10 information items were considered for measuring the score. If the consumer agrees with any item then 1 point was given, 0 point if the

Table 3: Information regarding food labelling

Name and address of the manufacturer, packer and / or seller

Expiry date and Manufacting date

Presence of nutritional label

M.R.P

ISO / AGMARK

An ingredient list Health warning Nutritional claims

Net weight Instructions for use

Total

First ii	First importance		Second importance		nportance
F	(%)	F	(%)	F	(%)
24	20	34	28.33	33	27.5
67	55.83	50	41.66	48	40
4	3.33	10	8.33	11	9.16
7	5.83	5	4.16	8	6.66
6	5	4	3.33	2	1.66
2	1.66	1	0.83	1	0.83
2	1.66	3	2.5	5	4.16
1	0.83	3	2.5	3	2.5
5	4.16	4	3.33	7	5.83

5

2

120

1.66

2

120

6

120

1.66

consumer didn't see the importance of the item. Accordingly Table 4 shows that majority 51.66 per cent of the consumers have middle level of awareness while 19.16 per cent with low level of awareness. According to the score computed, only 29.16 per cent of the consumers have high level of awareness despite the fact that most of the consumers interviewed were of higher level of education (69.16% were university graduates). This indicates that a lot of effort has to be exerted by nutritionists to raise the level of awareness of the consumers about the importance of reading and using information on the food label. On the other hand, higher level of awareness was found among female (22.12%) than male consumers (17.07%).

Circumstances in which respondents purchase prepackaged foods without reading lables:

Results showed various circumstances in which respondents purchased pre-packaged foods without reading labels. As shown in Table 5, more than a quarter 44 (36.66%) of respondents reported to purchase packaged food without reading labelling information because the food was routine/ familiar to them. Others 38 (31.66%) purchased pre-packaged foods without reading labelling information because the seller is familiar to them whom they trust. Few respondents 10 (8.33%) reported to purchase pre-packaged foods without reading labels because the foods were sold at low price. More or less the same was reported by The Foundation Food Label Consumer Research Project (2006) in America and in South Africa by Sunelle et al. (2010), that pre-packaged food consumers were less likely to examine food labelling information if they don't have enough time, when purchasing routine pre-packaged foods and discounted foods.

Difficulties encounted by respondents when reading/using food labels:

Participants of this study reported a number of difficulties encountered in the course of reading food labelling information. These included use of unfamiliar language, small font sizes, use of scientific/technical language, missed and/or hidden information. Similar findings were reported in South Africa by Sunelle et al. (2010), in the UK Philip et al. (2010), Mahgoub et al. (2007) in Lesotho and Grunert et al. (2010) in the UK. Donna et al. (2001) also revealed the same problem, that use of technical/scientific language on food labels situate barrier to consumers in reading and understanding labelling information when deciding to purchase pre-packaged foods in Australia and New Zealand. Majority respondents (40.83%) revealed that use of various technical/ scientific terms on the packets was the main problem for them in understanding the food labels. While the printing of the labels in small fonts caused difficulties for 35 per cent of the respondents.

Conclusion:

Today's trends for healthy eating habits and ready-to-

Table 4 : Consumer's level of aware	ness					(n=120)	
Lavial of avvariances	Ma	Male		Female		Total	
Level of awareness	F	(%)	F	(%)	F	(%)	
High	7	17.07	28	22.12	35	29.16	
Middle	23	56.09	39	49.36	62	51.66	
Low	11	26.82	12	15.18	23	19.16	
Total	41		79		120		

Table 5: Resons for purchasing without reaching labels	(n=120)		
Reasons	Frequency	Percentage	
When the food is sold at low price	10	8.33	
When in a hurry/time constraints	16	13.33	
Purchase of routine/familiar foods	44	36.66	
On streets or journey	12	10	
When they trust the seller	38	31.66	

Table 6 : Difficulties faced by consumers in reading food labels		(n=120			
Difficulty	Frequency	Percentage			
Unfamiliar language	6	5			
Small fonts	42	35			
Use of technical/scientific language	49	40.83			
Incomplete labelling	14	11.66			
Hidden information	9	7.5			

eat products have increased consumer demand for more detailed and accessible information, primarily on food packaging and labels. Although product attributes such as quality and price are extremely important to consumers and producers, packaging and labelling play a fundamental role on consumer's intention to purchase. The results of the study indicated satisfactory level of awareness among the respondents about different types of information on the food labels displayed on packaged food products. Though it concluded that customer does look for information before buying any product but majorly price and expire date. More consumer awareness need to be spread regarding checking other type of information such as ingredients of products, health warnings, nutritional claims etc., this will not just help consumers but also companies to differentiate their products from competitor. However due to its relatively small sample these findings cannot be generalized to the rest of similar population in the city. Occupation, education level and age of respondents were found to be significantly associated with awareness and use of pre-packaged food labelling information.

Most lifestyle products such as breakfast cereals, readymade dressings etc. that would mostly be used by people who have relatively higher levels of income and education would pay more attention to various kinds of label information. Here also, the results gave a clear indication that label information is generally gender and age insensitive though its use assumes significance with the income levels, education and occupation of the consumers.

Some suggestions for developing guidelines by marketers and Government regarding better understanding of nutritional labels are:

- Government should develop a suitable policy for imparting education regarding importance of nutrition starting at school education level.
- Consumers should be made aware of relation between healthy diet and its implication on health and disease.
- The nutritional label should be made consumer friendly.
- The claimed nutritional content in the products must be delivered.
- Nutritional labels should be made easily readable and understandable.

LITERATURE CITED

- Dimara, E. and Skuras, D. (2005). Consumer demand for informative labelling of quality food and drink products: a European Union case study. J. Consu. Mktg., 22(2): 90-100.
- Donna, Paterson B., Rhoda, Zappelli B. and Anna, Chalmers (2001). Food labelling issues- consumer qualitative research: ANZFA - Australia New Zealand Food Authority.

- Godwin, S.L., Speller, H.L. and Thompson, C. (2006). Evaluating the nutrition label: its use in and impact on purchasing decisions by consumers. J. Food Distribution Res., 37: (1).
- Grunert, K.G., Wills, Josephine M., Ferna, Laura, Lndez-Celem (2010). Nutrition knowledge and use and understanding of nutrition information on food labels among consumers in the UK.
- Huffman, W.E. (2003). Consumer's Acceptance of (and Resistance to) Genetically Modified Foods in High-Income Countries: Effects of Labels and Information in an Uncertain Environment. American J. Agric. Econ., 85(5): 1112-1118.
- Kim, S.Y., Nayga, R.M. Jr. and Capps, O. Jr. (2001). Food label use, self-selectivity and diet quality. J. Consu. Affairs., 35 (2) : 346-348.
- Kole, A.P.W., Altintzoglou, T., Schelvis-Smit, Raam and Luten, J.B. (2009). The effects of different types of product information on the con-sumer product evaluation for fresh cod in real life settings. Food Qual. & Prefer., 20:187-194.
- Mahgoub, S.E., Lesoli, P.P. and Gobotswang, K. (2007). Awareness and use of nutrition information on food packages among consumers in Maseu (Lesotho). African J. Food Agric. *Nutr.*& *Develop.*,**7** (6):
- McLeana, M.A., Angilletta Jr., M.J. and Williamsa, K.S. (2005). If you can't stand the heat, stay out of the city: Thermal reaction norms of chitinolytic fungi in an urban heat island. J. Thermal Biol., 30: 384 - 391.
- Nadia, Prinsloo, Daleen, van der Merwe, Magdalena, Bosman and Alet, Erasmus (2012). A critical review of the significance of food labelling during consumer decision making. J. Family Ecol. & Consumer Sci., 40: 83-98.
- Nayga, R.M. Jr. (2000). Nutrition knowledge, gender, and food label use. *J. Consumer Affairs*, **34** (1): 97-98.
- Peters-Texeira A. and Badrie, N. (2005). Consumers' perception of food packaging in Trinidad, West Indies and its related impact on food choices. Internat. J. Consu. Stud., 29: 508-514.
- Sahni, H.S. (2007). The Indian label market-current update and future developments: India label Summit.
- Sharma, Jyotsana and Kapur, Deepak (2012). Nutrition labeling in processed food industry: A study of consumer perception. Internat. J. Res. IT & Mgmt., 2(2): 1249 -1266.
- Springer (2008). A theoretical and empirical investigation of nutritional label use, Berlin/Heidelberg (Electronic version 1618-7598). European J. Health Econ., 9 (3).
- Vander Merwe, D. Kempen, E.L., Breedt, S. and De Beer, H. (2010). Food choice: student consumers' decision-making process regarding food products with limited label information. Internat. J. Consu. Stud., 34:169-178.
- Whitney, E. and Rolfes, S.R. (2008). Under-standing Nutrition (11th Ed.) Belmont. Thomson.

■ WEBLIOGRAPHY

- A Research Project Report on internationalization of Indian processed food industry, (2012). Available online at http:// www.studymode.com/essays/Food-Industry-1022870.html.
- Food and Drug industry in India "AN OVERVIEW", Available online athttp://www.sethassociates.com/food_and_drug_industry_in_ india.php.
- Davies, Philip, McPherson, Kristen and Fround, Emma (2010). Evidence review of public attitude towards and use of general food labelling Final Report. Available online at: http:// www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/rellatitudeslabel.pdf.
- Prathiraja, P.H.K. and Ariyawardana, A. (2003). Impact of nutritional labelling on consumer buying behaviour. Sri Lanka J. Agric. Econ., 5(1): 45, Available online at: http:// www.sljol.info/index.php /SJAE/article/viewFile/3475/2842.

- Philip Davies, Kristen, McPherson and Emma, Fround (2010). Evidence review of public attitude towards and use of general food labelling final report. Available: http://www.food.gov.uk/ multimedia/pdfs/rellatitudeslabel.pdf.
- Sunelle Jacobs A., Hanli de Beer and Ment Larney (2010). Adult consumers understanding and use of information on food labels. A study among consumers living in the Potchefstroom and Klerksdrorp regions, South Africa. Available at http:// www.foreignpolicy bulletinmonitor.com/.../displayFulltext?.
- Tamimi, A.L. and Company (2004). Standardization and classification in the UAE. Retrieved from Center for Food safety (2006). Benefits of nutrition information on food labels, available online at: http://www.cfs.gov.hk/english/programme/ programme_nifl/programme_nifl_02.html.

www.fssai.gov.in

Received: 20.05.2014; Revised: 29.08.2014; Accepted: 14.09.2014