

Study on genetic diversity and its relation to heterosis in F_1 hybrids of germplasm lines of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

NALINI DHARWAD, S.A. PALTIL AND P.M. SALIMATH

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD(KARNATAKA) INDIA

(Accepted : April, 2010)

Diversity is an important criterion in the selection of elite germplasm lines to develop highly heterotic F_1 hybrids. The heterosis and diversity study was conducted on 28 F_1 hybrids of brinjal derived from germplasm lines viz., IC-112995, IC-111305, IC-90952, IC-99704, IC-99663, IC-136210, IC-126784 and a local cultivar Manjri Gota at botany garden, UAS Dharwad during summer 2006. Fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant and fruit yield (g) exhibited considerably high magnitude of heterosis. High heterosis for fruit yield was attributed to increased fruit weight and number of fruits per plant. Thirty six entries comprising 28 F_1 hybrids and 8 parents were grouped in six clusters. Based on parental divergence, all 28 hybrids were grouped in 4 divergence classes. The combination of heterosis and diversity analysis indicated the high frequency of hybrids classified under DC2 and DC3 suggesting moderate genetic diversity is most desirable to produce highly heterotic hybrids.

Key words : Heterosis, Diversity, Brinjal

INTRODUCTION

Genetic divergence is the one of the criteria of selection of parents to produce potential hybrids and for isolation of transgressive segregants from hybrids in further filial generations. This study is important in the view that germplasm lines have their wide varied origin and are highly variable with respect to plant and fruit morphological aspects. As fruit production is gaining importance at domestic and international level, mining in to new germplasm lines and their systematic study is prerequisite to develop new hybrid varieties which can withhold the challenges of both quantity and quality aspects on commercial lines. Though genetically diverse parents yield maximum heterosis, the magnitude of divergence critically matters the F_1 heterosis (Busbice and Rawlings, 1974). More diverse the parents within reasonable range better are the chances of improvement in yield and other yield parameters. In view of this an investigation was carried out to understand the relationship between heterosis and parental divergence in germplasm lines (collected from NBPGR New Delhi).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of eight parents and their all-possible hybrids combinations without reciprocals. Parents and hybrids were planted in randomized block design with two replications in the botany garden of University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad during summer season of 2006. Each entry with

spacing of 30x10 cm was represented by two rows with ten plants in each. Observations were recorded on five randomly tagged plants in each entry on number of branches per plant, days to flowering, number of flowers per inflorescence, number of fruits per cluster, fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant and yield per plant (g).

Genetic divergence was studied following Mahalonobis's D^2 (1936) distance technique. Tocher's method (Rao, 1952) was used for grouping the genotypes. Arunachalum's method (1984) was used to classify the parental divergence in to four divergence classes (DC_1 , DC_2 , DC_3 , DC_4). The procedure used was as follows. The mean (m) and standard deviation (sd) of parental distance were computed. The minimum (x) and maximum (y) values of parental divergence of 28 combinations were derived. Using mean (m), standard deviation (sd), minimum (x) and maximum (y) values, parental divergence was classified into four divergence classes. The mean D^2 of 28 hybrid combinations was 383.32, sd was 342.12, x and y were 26.98 and 1249.36, respectively. Thus four intervals for four divergence classes are then $DC_1 = 725.44$ to 1249.36, $DC_2 = 383.32$ to 725.43, $DC_3 = 41.20$ to 383.31 and $DC_4 = 26.98$ to 41.19. Standardized potence (%) i.e. heterosis over mid parent value of hybrids for yield per plant associated with each divergence class were obtained and for each heterotic hybrid the divergence class to which corresponding D^2 values of their parents was established.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Heterosis estimates over local check Malapur and

commercial check Kalpataru for fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant and fruit yield (g) are presented in Table 1. The perusal of data indicates that, in eight hybrids the heterosis for fruit weight was significantly positive over local check Malapur. The extent of fruit weight heterosis over local check ranged between -24.91 % (IC-909XIC-136) and 26.33% (MG x IC-909), while the range was -22.53 % (IC-909 x IC-136) to 30.33 % (MG x IC-909) over commercial check, in which 12 hybrids were positively significant. For number of fruits per plant, the heterosis ranged from -9.74 % (IC-136 x IC-126) to 63.41 % (IC-112 x IC-997) and 30.17 % (IC-136 x IC-126) to 26.42 (IC-112 x IC-997) over local check and commercial check, respectively and significantly high number for fruits were harvested from as many as 19 and 9 hybrids over

local check and commercial check, respectively. The hybrids which exhibited the field heterosis for fruit weight (g) and number of fruits per plant, their corresponding parents were also high performers for those characters. Such reports were in corroboration with reports of Singh *et al.* (2004). Fruit yield indicated the positive and non-additive gene action. Hybrid, IC-112 x IC-996 exhibited the maximum heterosis of 72.7 % over local check followed by six other hybrids ranging in the heterosis between 46.17 % (IC-112 x IC-909) and 60.81 % (IC-112 x IC-136). In contrast no hybrid exhibited significant yield heterosis over commercial check Kalpataru, Though as many as 12 hybrids showed positive yield heterosis. In high yielding hybrids the yield heterosis was attributed to increased fruit weight and number of fruits per plant as

Table 1. Magnitude of heterosis (%) over local check and commercial check for yield and yield parameters in brinjal

| Genotypes | Fruit wt (g) | | No. of frts/pl | | Fruit yield/pl (g) | |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------|--------------------|--------|
| | LC (%) | CC (%) | LC (%) | CC (%) | LC (%) | CC (%) |
| MG x IC-112 | 16.73** | 20.43** | 6.99** | -17.23** | 31.83 | 0.05 |
| MG x IC-111 | 7.17* | 10.57** | -5.11** | -26.59** | 12.18 | -14.86 |
| MG x IC-909 | 26.33** | 30.33** | 5.15** | -18.65** | 39.97 | 6.23 |
| MG x IC-997 | 20.02** | 23.82** | 1.53 | -21.45** | 28.53 | -2.45 |
| MG x IC-996 | 6.11 | 9.48** | -0.44 | -22.97** | 27.62 | -3.17 |
| MG x IC-136 | 23.93** | 27.85** | -6.11** | -27.36** | 22.58 | -6.97 |
| MG x IC-126 | 9.36** | 12.83** | 0.66 | -22.13** | 16.19 | -11.81 |
| IC-112 x IC-111 | -15.05** | -12.33** | 39.21** | 7.7** | 24.88 | -5.22 |
| IC-112 x IC-909 | 0.21 | 3.39 | 38.65** | 7.26** | 46.17* | 10.94 |
| IC-112 x IC-997 | -12.61** | -8.8** | 63.41** | 26.42** | 52.24* | 15.54 |
| IC-112 x IC-996 | 1.18 | 4.39 | 61.22** | 24.73** | 72.7** | 31.07 |
| IC-112 x IC-136 | 10.81** | 14.33** | 37.77** | 6.59** | 60.81** | 22.05 |
| IC-112 x IC-126 | 2.6 | 5.85 | 50.66** | 16.55** | 32.11 | 0.27 |
| IC-111 x IC-909 | 11.25** | -8.44** | 9.17** | -15.54** | 7.05 | -18.75 |
| IC-111 x IC-997 | -6.18 | -3.21 | 19.00 | -7.94** | 20.94 | -8.21 |
| IC-111 x IC-996 | -1.06 | 2.08 | 26.64** | -2.03 | 51.64* | 15.09 |
| IC-111 x IC-136 | 3.75 | 7.04* | 40.23** | 8.51** | 60.55* | 21.85 |
| IC-111 x IC-126 | 0.71 | 3.90 | 57.21** | 21.62** | 47.75* | 12.13 |
| IC-909 x IC-997 | -2.64 | 1.48 | 31.00 | 1.35 | 42.36 | 8.04 |
| IC-909 x IC-996 | 5.23 | 8.57** | 13.54** | -12.16** | -0.27 | -24.31 |
| IC-909 x IC-136 | -24.91** | -22.53** | 16.24** | -10.07** | 22.25 | -7.21 |
| IC-909 x IC-126 | -3.96 | -0.93 | 38.30** | 6.99** | 18.34 | -10.18 |
| IC-997 x IC-996 | 8.55** | -11.99** | -0.66 | -23.14** | 27.29 | -3.39 |
| IC-997 x IC-136 | 3.27 | -16.28** | 26.64** | -2.03 | 22.33 | -7.15 |
| IC-997 x IC-126 | -7.14* | -4.19 | 0.00 | -22.64** | 22.05 | -7.37 |
| IC-996 x IC-136 | 24.68** | 28.64** | 0.44 | -22.30** | 33.36 | 1.21 |
| IC-996 x IC-126 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 20.09** | -7.09** | -5.63 | -28.38 |
| IC-136 x IC-126 | -8.71** | -5.81 | -9.74** | -30.17** | -13.01 | -33.97 |

these hybrids also showed high magnitude of heterosis for fruit weight and number of fruits per plant. Gopinath and Madalgeri (1986) and Balgundi (2000) reported the similar results.

Distance analysis was done to find out the generalized distance among 8 parents and 28 hybrids. Data relating to number of clusters formed and number of parents and hybrids included in each cluster are presented in Table 2. Genotypes under study (8 parents and 28 F₁s) were grouped in 8 clusters using Tocher's method. Number of genotypes distributed per cluster were 11, 9, 4, 5, 1, 1, 1 and 4 for cluster I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII, respectively. Of eight parents, five fell in I cluster, two in II and one in IV cluster. The twenty-eight hybrids fell in as many as eight clusters, of which hybrids MG X

IC – 909, IC – 112 X IC – 997, IC – 111 X IC – 136 formed solitary clusters represented as cluster V, VI, VII.

Intra and inter cluster distances for 36 genotypes were presented in Table 3. Intra-cluster distance ranges between 186.081(III) and 850.503 (I) and inter-cluster distance ranged from 146.703 (V and VI) to 1940.024 (IV and VII). Cluster I having the maximum inter-cluster distance of 850.51 comprised of five parents and six hybrids showed to be genetically more diverse followed by cluster II in which 9 genotypes were classified. The heterotic hybrids resulting from their corresponding parents were distantly placed in different clusters *i.e.* apart from parental cluster. Thus it is evident that considerable variation has been generated from these hybrids though limited number of parents were involved. Parents of many hybrids belonged to the same cluster (I). This suggests, sometimes selecting the parents from intra-cluster groups did produce high standardized potency in F₁'s. These results are in agreement with findings of Bhatt (1976). Arunachalum's method (1984) was modified and used to classify the parental divergence in to 4 divergence classes.

The relationship between D² values of parental combinations classified in four divergence classes and standardized potency (%) in respect of yield per plant in 28 hybrid combinations is presented in Table 4. Each heterotic hybrid assigned respective divergence class, accordingly there were eight hybrids showed significant standardized potency (%), of which five were grouped under DC₃, two in DC₂, one in DC₁ and no hybrid was grouped under DC₄. Heterosis occurring in DC₁ could be explained by high parental divergence and that in DC₄ could be explained by low parental divergence. The number of heterotic hybrids found in DC₃ (5) followed by DC₂ (2) was thus based on large number of hybrids made in them which also showed heterosis of higher magnitude. The cross IC-909 X IC-126 with maximum parental divergence (1249.36) exhibited limited heterosis of 3.97%. Similarly, IC-909 X IC-996 having high parental

Table 2 : Distribution of 8 parents and 28 F₁ hybrids in different clusters obtained by multivariate analysis

| Sr. No. | No. of genotypes | Name of genotypes |
|---------|------------------|--|
| 1. | 11 | MG, IC-136 X IC-126, IC-112, IC-111, IC-996 X IC-126, IC-136, MG X IC-136, IC-112 X IC-126, IC-909 X IC-996, IC-909, IC-997 X IC-136 |
| 2. | 9 | MG X IC-126, IC-909 X IC-136, IC-126, IC-111 X IC-909, MG X IC-997, IC-997, IC-997 X IC-126, IC-112 X IC-996, IC-111 X IC-126 |
| 3. | 4 | MG X IC-112, IC-112 X IC-111, IC-111 X IC-997, IC-909 X IC-126 |
| 4. | 5 | IC-996, IC-996 X IC-136, IC-909 X IC-997, MG X IC-996, IC-112 X IC-136 |
| 5. | 1 | MG X IC-909 |
| 6. | 1 | IC-112 X IC-997 |
| 7. | 1 | IC-111 X IC-136 |
| 8. | 4 | IC-112 X IC-909, IC-997 X IC-996, MG X IC-11, IC-111 X IC-996 |

(Genotypes in bold letter represent parents)

Table 3 : Intra and Inter cluster D² values for 8 parents and 28 F₁ hybrids

| Cluster no. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| I | 850.51 | 1315.45 | 1312.15 | 1546.80 | 1013.23 | 1323.17 | 1213.35 | 1319.95 |
| II | | 816.35 | 1266.77 | 1728.96 | 1396.82 | 1470.93 | 1588.46 | 1703.86 |
| III | | | 186.08 | 1280.52 | 1556.03 | 1648.41 | 1756.91 | 1624.66 |
| IV | | | | 554.29 | 1766.34 | 1847.96 | 1940.03 | 1143.32 |
| V | | | | | 0.000 | 146.71 | 265.27 | 1680.26 |
| VI | | | | | | 0.000 | 198.26 | 1680.26 |
| VII | | | | | | | 0.000 | 1763.44 |
| VIII | | | | | | | | 339.5 |

Table 4 : Genetic diversity of parents in relation to standardized potence (%)

| Sr. No. | F ₁ hybrids | Corresponding D ² between parents | Divergence class | Standardized potence (%) for yield/plant |
|---------|------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| 1. | MG x IC-112 | 253.84 | DC3 | 38.27* |
| 2. | MG x IC-111 | 715.12 | DC2 | 15.78 |
| 3. | MG x IC-909 | 1089.43 | DC1 | 27.59 |
| 4. | MG x IC-997 | 119.92 | DC3 | 32.90 |
| 5. | MG x IC-996 | 31.64 | DC4 | -5.01 |
| 6. | MG x IC-136 | 33.35 | DC4 | 17.75 |
| 7. | MG x IC-126 | 75.59 | DC3 | 10.33 |
| 8. | IC-112 x IC-111 | 194.49 | DC3 | 37.19* |
| 9. | IC-112 x IC-909 | 413.65 | DC2 | 40.73* |
| 10. | IC-112 x IC-997 | 85.45 | DC3 | 157.12** |
| 11. | IC-112 x IC-996 | 197.94 | DC3 | 55.27** |
| 12. | IC-112 x IC-136 | 137.58 | DC3 | 63.71** |
| 13. | IC-112 x IC-126 | 334.19 | DC3 | 32.84 |
| 14. | IC-111 x IC-909 | 94.73 | DC3 | 1.57 |
| 15. | IC-111 x IC-997 | 332.66 | DC3 | 30.89 |
| 16. | IC-111 x IC-996 | 686.38 | DC2 | 34.47 |
| 17. | IC-111 x IC-136 | 508.02 | DC2 | 60.98** |
| 18. | IC-111 x IC-126 | 895.29 | DC1 | 46.28* |
| 19. | IC-909 x IC-997 | 643.97 | DC2 | 35.30 |
| 20. | IC-909 x IC-996 | 1062.62 | DC1 | -20.59 |
| 21. | IC-909 x IC-136 | 874.14 | DC1 | 8.57 |
| 22. | IC-909 x IC-126 | 1249.36 | DC1 | 3.97 |
| 23. | IC-997 x IC-996 | 147.34 | DC3 | 27.81 |
| 24. | IC-997 x IC-136 | 73.81 | DC3 | 21.34 |
| 25. | IC-997 x IC-126 | 294.13 | DC3 | 1.73 |
| 26. | IC-996 x IC-136 | 26.98 | DC4 | 18.44 |
| 27. | IC-996 x IC-126 | 58.08 | DC3 | -22.14 |
| 28. | IC-136 x IC-126 | 102.99 | DC3 | -19.60 |

divergence (1062.62) exhibited negative heterosis of – 20.59%. On the other hand, IC-996 X IC-136 having the parental divergence of 26.98 showed lower heterosis (18.44) followed by MG X IC-996 (31.64) exhibited heterosis of –5.01%. However high heterotic hybrids, IC-112 X IC-996, IC-112 X IC-136 and IC-111 X IC-136 with moderate genetic diversity of 85.45, 137.58 and 508.02 respectively, exhibited positive significant standardized potence (%). On the similar line Oganesyian (1976) reported that more the parents differ in yield the less marked was the heterosis. The results indicate that frequency and magnitude of heterotic hybrids were more in DC₃ followed by DC₂ than other two classes. In contrast to this hybrids having either high or low parental divergence failed to give significant positive heterosis. This suggests that the standardized potence (%) is not always co-related with genetic diversity. Moderate

genetic divergence is good for getting high heterotic hybrids which is not appreciable by extreme divergence levels. Such non- correspondence between divergence and heterosis was reported by Singh and Krinshnaprasad (1991) and Ramanujam *et al.* (1974). Thus it is concluded that the moderate genetic diversity can hold promises to expect high heterotic hybrids, which is not appreciable by extreme divergence levels.

REFERENCES

- Arunachalum, V. (1984).** Genetic distance in plant breeding. *Indian J. Genet.*, **41**:23-27.
- Bhatt, G.M. (1976).** Multivariate analysis approach to selection of parents for hybridization aimed at yield improvement in self pollinated crops. *Australian J. agric. Res.*, **21**:147-150.
- Bulgundi, S. (2000).** Heterosis and combining ability studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, pp. 1-140.
- Busbice, T.H. and Rawlings J.O. (1974).** Combining ability in crosses within and between diverse groups of alfalfa introductions. *Euphytica*, **23**: 86-94.
- Gopinath, G. and Madalgeri, B. (1986).** Genetics of yield and its components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **15**: 103-109.
- Mahalonobis, P.C. (1936).** On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., India*, **2**: 49-55.
- Oganesyian, A.G. (1976).** Some problems of heterosis for yield in eggplant. *Izv. S.Kh. nauk. Arm. SSR Zhurnal*, **5**: 241 (cf. Pl. Breed. Abstr., **48**: 6052).
- Ramanujam, S., Tiwari and Mehra, B. (1974).** Genetic divergence and hybrid performance in mungbean. *Theor. & Appl. Genet.*, **7**:306-308.
- Rao, C.R. (1952).** *Advanced Statistical Methods in Biometry.* John Wiley, New York.
- Singh, A.K., Pal, R.S., Mathra, Rai and Krishnaprasad, V.S.R. (2004).** Heterosis for yield its attributes in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Veg Sci*, **27**:20-25.
- Singh, D.P. and Krishnaprasad, V.S.R. (1991).** Genetic divergence of parents and hybrids in *Solanum melongena* L. *Indian J. Genet.*, **41**:268-276.