# Effect of various planting materials and different date of planting on yield and quality of *Kharif* oinion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. AGRIFOUND DARK RED D.D. NAYEE, L.R. VARMA AND H.H. SITAPARA

Accepted : April, 2010

### ABSTRACT

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to: **D.D. NAYEE** Department of Horticulture, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, SARDARKRUSHINAGAR (GUJARAT) INDIA A field experiment was conducted on sandy loam soil of Horticulture Instructional Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during the year 2005 and 2006. The experiment was conducted on "Effect of various planting material and different date of planting on growth and bolting of *Kharif* onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. AGRIFOUND DARK RED." Twelve treatments comprising of four planting materials *viz.*, seedling, 1.5 - 2.0 cm, 2.0 - 2.5 cm and 2.5 - 3.0 cm size onion sets and three dates of planting *viz.*,  $10^{\text{th}}$ ,  $20^{\text{th}}$  and  $30^{\text{th}}$  July were tested in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with four replications. On the basis of pooled data, relationship of different growth characters with various planting materials and different date of planting revealed that the highest plant population was found in  $D_3P_4$ , while maximum plant height and neck thickness of plant was recorded with treatment combination  $D_2P_3$ . Number of leaves per plant and bolting percent did not affected by combination of various planting materials and different date of planting.

Key words : Bolting, Genetical, Neck, Pungency, Sets

Onion is one of the most important vegetable crop of India. Onion is used green as well as bulb. It is popular salad crop and mature onion bulbs are widely used as a cooked vegetable in soups, stews and casseroles in addition to a flavouring agent in many additional dishes. Onion possesses nutritional and medicinal importance. The outstanding characteristic of onion is the pungency (which is due to volatile oil known as Allyl–propyl-disulphide).

Onion is mainly a *winter* season crop; however it is raised during *Kharif* in Maharashtra and Gujarat to catch the off season market. Onion is propagated by seeds and bulb. By propagation of sets we can get early yield as compared to propagation by seedlings. The growth and yield of cultivated crop plants is mainly influenced by two factors *viz.*, genetical and cultural or management. The second factor deals with cultural practices *viz.*, planting date, sowing method, seed rate, planting material, spacing, fertilizer, irrigation, plant protection, weed control etc. The time of sowing and planting material exerts a distinct effect on growth of onion. Therefore, the present study was indicating to study the effect of various planting materials and different date of planting on growth of *Kharif* onion.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted on sandy loam soil of Horticulture Instructional Farm, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during the year 2005 and 2006. Twelve treatments comprising of four planting material *viz*., seedling, 1.5 - 2.0 cm, 2.0 - 2.5 cm and 2.5 - 3.0 cm size onion sets and three dates of planting *viz.*,  $10^{th}$ ,  $20^{th}$  and  $30^{th}$  July were tested in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with four replications. All the recommended cultural practices were adopted during growing season.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The plant stand was found significant during both the years of experimentation and in pooled analysis. The maximum plant stand (98.08 %, 96.91 % and 97.50 %) was found in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled analysis, respectively with planting of 2.5 - 3.0 cm size onion sets  $(P_4)$ . The data presented in Table 1 revealed that the maximum plant stand (94.12 % and 92.25 %) was found in 2005 and 2006 with 30<sup>th</sup> July (D<sub>2</sub>) planting. The combined effect of various planting material and different date of planting on plant stand was found significant in both the years of experimentation and in pooled data. The data (Table 2) revealed that maximum plant height (37.17 cm, 41.36 cm and 39.26 cm) were recorded with  $P_3$  i.e. 2.0 - 2.5 cm size onion sets in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled analysis, respectively. It is clear from the data that the maximum plant height (34.32 cm, 34.93 cm and 34.63 cm) were recorded with  $D_3$  *i.e.* 30<sup>th</sup> July in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled analysis respectively. From the data in Table 2 it can be said that the maximum plant height (39.61 cm, 42.73 cm and 41.17 cm) were recorded with  $D_2P_2$  in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled analysis.

Trastrasta	Per cent plant stand				
Treatments	2005	2006	Pooled		
Planting material					
P <sub>1</sub> (Seedling)	74.58	73.83	74.21		
$P_2$ (Onion set size $1.5 - 2.0$ cm)	95.92	94.16	95.04		
$P_3$ (Onion set size 2.0 – 2.5 cm)	97.92	95.66	96.79		
$P_4$ (Onion set size 2.5 – 3.0 cm)	98.08	96.91	97.50		
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.469	0.556	0.364		
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.354	1.605	1.028		
Date of planting					
$D_1 (10^{th} July)$	87.62	86.75	87.19		
$D_2 (20^{th} July)$	93.12	91.44	92.28		
$D_3 (30^{th} July)$	94.12	92.25	93.19		
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.406	0.481	0.315		
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.173	1.390	0.891		
Interaction (D x P)					
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.812	0.963	0.630		
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.346	2.780	1.781		
C. V. %	1.77	2.14	1.96		

 Table 1 : Influence of different treatments on per cent plant stand

These results are in accordance with findings of Sankar *et al.* (1999) and Arya *et al.* (2006), Movalia (1996), Gupta *et al.* (1999), Singh *et al.* (2002) and Rajeshkumar *et al.* (2003).

Maximum number of leaves per plant was recorded with planting of 2.0 - 2.5 cm size onion sets *i.e.* P<sub>3</sub> as compared to small and large size sets. Minimum number of leaves per plant was observed with  $P_1$  *i.e.* transplanting of seedlings. Higher number of leaves were recorded with  $D_3$  *i.e.* 30<sup>th</sup> July during both the years of experimentation and in pooled analysis as compared to earlier planting, which was followed by  $D_1$  *i.e.* 10<sup>th</sup> July. Maximum numbers of leaves were recorded with interaction effect of  $D_2P_3$  in the year 2006 and in pooled analysis. While it was found non-significant difference during the first year 2005 (Table 2). Same results were obtained by Sankar *et al.*, (1999) and Arya *et al.*, (2006), Singh *et al.*, (1993), Kavani (1996), Movalia (1996), Singh *et al.*, (2002) and Cramer (2003).

Maximum neck thickness (1.20 cm) was recorded with planting of 2.0 – 2.5 cm size onion sets ( $P_3$ ) as compared to other size sets and seedlings during both the years of experimentation and in pooled analysis. The maximum neck thickness (0.95 cm) of plant was recorded with  $D_3 i.e. 30^{th}$  July in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled data. Maximum neck thickness was recorded with treatment combination of  $D_2P_3$  in both the years of experimentation and in pooled analysis (Table 3). These results are collaborated with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2002).

Maximum bolting (%) was recorded with planting of large size sets *i.e.* 2.5 - 3.0 cm size (P<sub>4</sub>), while minimum or no bolting was recorded with P<sub>1</sub> i.e. seedling in the year 2005, 2006 and in pooled analysis. The highest bolting percent (5.28 %) were noticed with crop planted on 10<sup>th</sup> July *i.e.* D<sub>1</sub> (Table 3). These results are in accordance with results of Nehra *et al.* (1994), Lawade and Kale

Table 2 : Influence of different treatments on plant height (cm) and number of leaves per plant							
Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves per plant			
	2005	2006	Pooled	2005	2006	Pooled	
Planting material							
P <sub>1</sub> (Seedling)	23.77	24.51	24.14	5.60	6.15	5.87	
$P_2$ (Onion set size $1.5 - 2.0$ cm)	34.06	34.43	34.24	7.85	7.77	7.72	
$P_3$ (Onion set size 2.0 – 2.5 cm)	37.17	41.36	39.26	8.62	8.40	8.52	
$P_4$ (Onion set size 2.5 – 3.0 cm)	33.63	34.08	33.86	8.15	7.12	8.13	
S. E. <u>+</u>	1.249	1.000	0.800	0.341	0.204	0.199	
C. D. (P=0.05)	3.597	2.879	2.263	0.981	0.587	0.562	
Date of planting							
$D_1 (10^{th} July)$	31.29	31.21	31.25	7.57	7.23	7.25	
$D_2 (20^{th} July)$	31.36	33.14	32.25	7.65	7.50	7.53	
D <sub>3</sub> (30 <sup>th</sup> July)	34.32	34.93	34.63	7.73	7.64	7.68	
S. E. <u>+</u>	1.082	0.866	0.693	0.295	0.176	0.172	
C. D. (P=0.05)	3.115	2.493	1.960	0.850	0.508	0.486	
Interaction (D x P)							
S. E. <u>+</u>	2.164	1.732	1.386	0.590	0.353	0.344	
C. D. (P=0.05)	6.230	4.986	3.919	NS	1.016	0.973	
C. V. %	13.67	10.47	12.11	16.90	9.69	13.63	

EFFECT OF VARIOUS PLANTING MATERIALS & DIFFERENTDATE OF PLANTING ON YIELD & QUALITY OF Kharif OINION CV. AGRIFOUND DARK RED 191

Table 3 : Influence of different treatments on neck thickness (cm) and bolting (%)							
Treatments	Neck thickness (cm)			Bolting (%)			
	2005	2006	Pooled	2005	2006	Pooled	
Planting material							
P <sub>1</sub> (Seedling)	0.55	0.53	0.54	0.58	0.58	0.58	
$P_2$ (Onion set size $1.5 - 2.0$ cm)	0.99	0.98	0.99	4.17	4.00	4.08	
$P_3$ (Onion set size 2.0 – 2.5 cm)	1.17	1.22	1.20	4.00	3.92	3.96	
$P_4$ (Onion set size 2.5 – 3.0 cm)	0.98	1.01	1.00	10.42	10.08	10.25	
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.025	0.020	0.016	0.251	0.225	0.168	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.071	0.057	0.045	0.724	0.649	0.476	
Date of planting							
$D_1 (10^{th} July)$	0.90	0.91	0.90	5.37	5.19	5.28	
$D_2 (20^{th} July)$	0.92	0.96	0.94	4.44	4.31	4.37	
D <sub>3</sub> (30 <sup>th</sup> July)	0.96	0.95	0.95	4.56	4.44	4.50	
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.021	0.017	0.014	0.217	0.195	0.146	
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.039	0.627	0.562	0.413	
Interaction (D x P)							
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.043	0.034	0.027	0.434	0.389	0.292	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.122	0.099	0.077	NS	NS	NS	
C. V. %	9.19	7.30	8.29	18.13	16.77	17.49	

NS-Non significant

(1986), Bhonde et al., (1990) and Khar et al., (1998).

#### Authors' affiliations:

L.R. VERMA AND H.H. SITAPARA, Department of Horticulture, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, SARDARKRUSHINAGAR (GUJARAT) INDIA

## **REFERENCES**

**Arya, J.K.**, Singh, P.V., Satyaprakash and Kumar, J. (2006). Influence of bulb size on vegetative growth of tuberose cv. Single. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, **2**(1):183-185..

**Bhonde, S.R.,** Shrivastava, K.J. and Pandey, V.B. (1990). Effect of age of transplanting on yield and quality of *Kharif* onion (*Allium cepa* L.). A.A.D.F., *News Letter*, **10** (3) : 13-15.

**Cramer, C.S.** (2003). Performance of fall sown onion cultivars using four seeding dates., *J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.*, **128** (4) :472-478.

**Gupta, R.S.**, Bhutani, R.D., Khurana, S.C. and Thakral, K.K. (1999). Effect of sowing time and seed rate on the production of onion sets for *Kharif* crop. *Veg. Sci.*, **26** (2) : 137-139.

**Lawade, K.E.** and Kale, P.N. (1986). Effect of monthly planting round the year on yield, bolting, self topping and twin bulb formation in onion. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.*, **11** (2) : 167-170.

**Movalia, A.G.** (1996). Comparative performance of direct seeded and transplanted onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under varying dates of sowing and nitrogen levels. M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar. (Gujarat)

**Rajeshkumar, Khurana, D.**, Bhatia, A.K. and Dudi, B.S. (2003). Effect of sowing time on the *Kharif* onion (*Allium cepa* L.) sets production. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **32** (1 & 2) : 134-137.

Sankar, V., Anil Khar and Lawande, K.E. (1999). Effect of mother clove size on growth and yield of garlic variety G. 41, NRCOG Annual Report, 1999-2000. pp. 23.

**Singh, A.K.,** Vijai Singh and Singh, V. (2002). Effect of agronomical package of practices on production and economics of green onion (*Allium cepa L.*). *New Botanist*, **29** (1-4) : 75-81.

\*\*\*\*\*