## Studies on crop regulation in curry leaf (*Murraya koenigii* Spreng.) during off season

R. SUBHA, P. JANSIRANI AND C. RAJA BABU

Accepted : November, 2009

## SUMMARY

An investigation on the effect of mulching, irrigation, water spray, biostimulants, nutrients and growth regulators on growth and productivity was conducted during winter season in comparison with monsoon season. To increase production and quality in winter season application of three per cent panchagavya as foliar spray could be advocated for the curry leaf crop. Though the best treatment of foliar spray of panchagavya was found to influence positively on all the characters of study in both the seasons, the analysis of cost of production, in terms of net income and benefit cost ratio implied that the yield improvement of fresh curry leaf in comparison with the control plot treatment in winter season was the highest and economical .Hence, it could be concluded that the fresh curry leaf yield and quality characters could be improved by foliar spray of three per cent panchagavya at 30 days intervals for two times per season was found to be economical.

Key words : Panchgagavya, Chlorophyll, Curry leaf, Crop rejulation, Growth regulating, Urea, Humicacid, Sali cylic acid, Substances, GA<sub>3</sub>

**Y**urry leaf (*Murraya koenigii* Spreng.) is a perennial herbal spice crop grown for its aromatic leaves. Besides, being a spice crop curry leaf plays a major role in the Ayurveda and Unani systems of medicine due to its wide range of medicinal properties. Fresh leaves of curry leaves on distillation give a yellow coloured volatile oil with a strong spicy, odoured, pungent and clove like taste. The essential oil has very good antibacterial and antifungal activity. There is less production of fresh curry leaves during winter season due to unfavourable environmental conditions especially due to low temperature. During that time curry leaf fetches very high market price. Hence, there is a need to promote the production of this crop during winter season to get more profit. It would not only improve the supply of fresh curry leaf but also help the growers to get high profit during the winter months.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted with curry leaf to study the effect of mulching, irrigation, water spray, biostimulants, nutrients and growth regulators on growth and productivity during winter season in comparison with monsoon season. The crop was studied from July to October 2006 (monsoon season or regular) and October to December 2006 (winter season or off season) in

Correspondence to:

C. RAJA BABU, Division of Plant Physiology, Central Coffee Research Institute, CHIKMAGALUR (KARNATAKA), INDIA
Authors' affiliations:
R. SUBHA AND P.JANSIRANI, Horticultural College and Research Institute, COIMBATORE (T.N.) INDIA

farmer's field at Kumaran nagar, Kumaran Kuntru, Mettupalayam Tamil Nadu. Totally eleven treatments inclusive of control viz., mulching with black polythene sheet (250 gauge) and coir pith, surface irrigation at 15 days intervals and water spray at 15 days interval, foliar spray of three per cent panchagavya, foliar spray of GA 50 ppm, humic acid 0.2 per cent, salicylic acid 100 ppm, and 200 ppm were applied 30 days after last harvest viz., after initiation of new shoots were impeded on previous season pruned crop. An untreated control was included for comparison. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design and replicated three times. At the time of harvest (90 days after last crop) morphological traits viz., plant height, number of secondary branches, leaf number per rachis chlorophyll content, fresh leaf yield per plant, fresh leaf yield per hectare were observed and analyzed statistically.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The important morphological characters that influenced the development and productivity of a crop were plant height, number of secondary branches, leaves per rachis and these plant morpho traits were differentially influenced by mulch and application of growth regulating substances. The plant height measured at 90 days after last harvest resulted in the greatest value in monsoon season crop compared to winter season crop. During monsoon season, the highest value of plant height (Table 1) was recorded by the plants treated with panchagavya three per cent as foliar spray. Influence of nitrogen *i.e.* present in panchagavya in promoting vegetative growth

Table 1 : Effec	et on growth o	of growth tr	eatment o	n morphologic	cal and physi	ological chara	acters of cur	rry leaf ( <i>Mur</i>	raya koenigii
Treatments	Plant height(cm)	S.B.No.	Leaves per rachis	F.L.W with petiole (g)	F.L.W. without petiole(g)	D.L.W with Petiole(g)	D.L.W. With Petiole	Specific leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> /g)	Specific leaf weight (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
$T_1$	98.46	29.12	17.36	2.189	1.902	0.986	0.612	55.94	0.035
$T_2$	91.21	27.32	16.23	1.982	1.785	0.975	0.692	52.81	0.041
T <sub>3</sub>	90.01	26.56	15.24	2.001	1.683	0.812	0.521	51.12	0.039
$T_4$	89.99	25.64	15.54	1.899	1.598	0.805	0.591	54.82	0.037
T <sub>5</sub>	105.74	30.32	18.89	2.265	1.995	1.105	0.751	66.62	0.045
$T_6$	103.24	28.23	15.20	1.999	1.639	0.851	0.712	49.92	0.038
T <sub>7</sub>	90.21	25.81	16.70	2.007	1.549	0.905	0.681	48.82	0.036
T <sub>8</sub>	104.62	29.54	17.40	2.225	1.965	1.051	0.748	64.58	0.044
T <sub>9</sub>	92.14	27.56	16.01	1.985	1.674	0.925	0.582	55.96	0.034
T <sub>10</sub>	89.13	26.10	16.47	1.995	1.609	0.950	0.571	57.12	0.033
T <sub>11</sub>	84.86	21.19	14.58	1.849	1.502	0.597	0.562	44.85	0.031
Mean	94.510	27.035	16.329	2.036	1.718	0.905	0.638	54.778	0.037
S.E. <u>+</u>	5.449	1.502	0.786	0.112	0.099	0.051	0.030	2.835	0.001
C.D.(P=0.05)	11.368	3.135	1.639	0.234	0.207	0.108	0.062	5.914	0.003
T <sub>1</sub> - mulching wi	ith polythene sh	neet T4-water	spray		T <sub>7</sub> -urea (0	0.5 %) as foliar	spray	T <sub>11</sub> -control	

T<sub>3</sub>-irrigation

T<sub>2</sub>-mulching with coir pith T<sub>5</sub>-3 % panchagavya as foliar spray

 $T_6$ -GA(50 ppm) as foliar spray

 $T_8$ -humic acid (0.2 %)as foliar spray

T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>10</sub>-salicylic acid 100 & 200 ppm as foliar spray, respectivly

in crops is a well established fact that nitrogen enhanced plant height through its effect on rapid meristematic activity. Similar findings were reported by Thamaraiselvi (2001) in rose, Kanimozhi (2003) in Coleus, Cynthia (2003) in Ashwagandha and Djanaguiraman et al. (2003) in tomato. Among the growth regulating treatments in winter season, foliar application of three per cent panchagavya followed by foliar spray of 0.2 per cent humic acid significantly enhanced the plant height at 90 days after harvest.

The number of secondary branches recorded per plant in the different stages of growth was found to be increased. The number of secondary branches was higher in monsoon season (Table 1) than in the winter season (Table 3). The superior performance of the number of secondary branches exhibited by the plants during monsoon months might be due to higher day and night temperatures, higher solar radiation and longer hours of sunshine. Less number of secondary branches observed in winter season crop might be due to lower day as well as night temperatures and less hours of sunshine that prevailed during the winter season. This would have not promoted more branches due to poor partitioning of photo synthates.

The number of secondary branches is an important character directly proportional to yield. During winter season increased in number of laterals by the application of panchagavya (three per cent) in plants was due to increased osmotic effects and uptake of nutrients thereby acceleration in biochemical metabolism which was also confirmed by Sridhar (2003) in Solanum nigrum. In the present study, number of secondary branches per plant was significantly increased with the application of panchagavya three per cent. Leaves per rachis are another important yield contributing parameter. In the present study, leaves per rachis were slightly higher in monsoon season than the winter season. The number of leaves per rachis was significantly increased with the foliar application of panchagavya three per cent. Increased number of leaves was due to the increased meristamatic activity in the plant and also due to the enhanced supply of photosynthates. These findings are in confirmation with the findings of Khandait (1991).

The highest chlorophyll content as 'a', 'b' and total chlorophyll recorded by foliar spray of panchagavya (three per cent) might be due to the better availability of nutrients and effective conversion of these pigments *i.e.*, with the application of panchagavya as foliar spray, no chlorotic symptom was observed. Similar to present results Sridhar et al. (2003) also observed the increased chlorophyll content due to the application of panchagavya in Solanum nigrum. The micro organisms that are present in panchgavya viz., Acetobacter xylinum, Acetobacter xylinoides, Saccharomycodes ludwigii, Schizosaccharamyces pombe and Saccaromyces cerevisiae and other active components would have acted as a catalyst to improve the plant growth in curry leaf with foliar application of panchagavya (three per cent).

Table 2 : Effect	t on growth of	growth treat	ment on morpholo	gical and pl	hysiological cl	naracters of curry	leat(Murraya ko	enigii Spreng	) during mon	soon season	
8	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyl	I otal chlorophyll	Fresh lea	I Freshle	at tresh leaf yie	Id Iron content	Calcium	Essential oil	Shelf life	Self life with
Treatments	"a" (mg per	1 "b"(mg	content (mg per	yield per	r yield per	plot per ha (tonne	cs (per cent)	content (mg	content (per	(unpacked)	five per cent
1. The second	ác)	pci g)	â	pialit (g)	(RS)	pci ila)		bei i uug)	cont	3	VCIIIIIAIIUII
T <sub>1</sub>	0.036	0.075	0.111	650.25	5.85	6.50	3.31	832.14	0.134	2.12	2.50
$T_2$	0.035	0.075	0.110	640.71	5.76	6.40	3.28	826.34	0.136	2.21	2.50
$T_3$	0.031	0.068	0.094	580.81	5.22	5.80	3.09	810.53	0.121	11.11	2.50
$T_4$	0.033	0.068	0.101	550.67	4.95	5.50	3.17	817.54	0.137	1.45	2.00
T <sub>5</sub>	0.040	0.078	0.118	690.52	6.21	6.90	3.72	950.65	0.187	3.21	3.94
T <sub>6</sub>	0.035	0.064	0.099	590.67	5.31	5.90	3.41	875.87	0.126	2.51	3.50
$T_7$	0.033	0.068	0.101	595.95	5.36	5.95	3.16	780.65	0.165	1.56	3.20
$T_8$	0.036	0.076	0.112	680.61	6.12	6.80	3.69	910.12	0.171	2.65	3.50
T <sub>9</sub>	0.034	0.069	0.103	580.48	5.22	5.80	3.16	805.48	0.119	1.16	2.90
T <sub>10</sub>	0.034	0.069	0.103	605.86	5.44	6.05	3.21	810.68	0.117	1.50	3.00
T <sub>11</sub>	0.029	0.063	0.092	530.39	4.77	5.30	3.02	760.12	0.122	00.1	2.00
Mean	0.034	0.070	0.104	608.810	5.473	6.081	3.292	834.556	0.139	1.851	2.818
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.001	0.003	0.005	33.381	0.300	0.333	0.164	41.163	0.006	0.125	0.125
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.003	0.008	0.012	69.633	0.626	0.696	0.343	85.866	0.014	0.262	0.262
T <sub>2</sub> - multimus wi T <sub>2</sub> -irrigation Table 3 : Bffeet	th coir pith to a growth of	T <sub>5</sub> -GA (5 T <sub>5</sub> -GA (5 T <sub>6</sub> -GA (5	apray anchagavya as folia 60 ppm) as foliar spi ment on morpholo	r spray T <sub>s</sub> ray T <sub>s</sub> ray T <sub>s</sub> ray T <sub>g</sub> ray T <sub>g</sub>	humic acid (0. humic acid (0. and T <sub>10</sub> -salic; hysiological c	2 %)as foliar spray 2 %)as foliar spray ylic acid 100 and 2 yracters of curry	00 ppm as foliar s leaf ( <i>Murraya k</i>	ipray <i>oenigii</i> Sprens	T <sub>11</sub> -0 g) during win	ontrol ter season	
Treatments	PI heigh	ant it(cm)	s.B.No. Leaves rach	s per F.I tis pe	W with F tiole (g)	.L.W. without petiole(g)	D.L.W with petiole(g)	D.L.W. with petic	Specification Sp	ĭc leaf ≿m² /g) we	Specific leaf eight (mg /cm <sup>2</sup> )
T	93	69.	20.12 17.1	8	1.781	1.099	0.815	0.659	44	.92	0.033
$T_2$	16	53	19.02 16.4	13	1.892	1.265	0.915	0.612	42	.02	0.034
$T_3$	92	.44	19.01 15.5	99	1.791	1.024	0.775	0.562	43	.12	0.033
$T_4$	92	.72	17.08 15.2	23	1.781	1.012	0.724	0.585	44	.82	0.034
$T_5$	66	16.	22.23 18.2	25	1.972	1.275	0.918	0.745	57	.21	0.039
$T_6$	16	.62	20.18 14.4	6t	1.685	1.124	0.825	0.566	39	.91	0.037
$T_7$	85	.21	18.34 15.8	68	1.692	1.157	0.814	0.665	38	.95	0.033
$T_8$	98	.62	21.28 17.3	34	1.952	1.196	0.917	0.715	55	.02	0.038
$T_9$	90	.52	17.45 16.4	6t	1.679	1.024	0.798	0.626	41	.68	0.031
$T_{10}$	85	.27	18.42 15.6	88	1.591	1.101	0.814	0.647	45	.98	0.033
$T_{\rm II}$	81	16.	14.25 13.8	33	1.589	0.960	0.719	0.495	37	.63	0.029
Mean	91.	221	18.852 16.0	33	1.764	1.107	0.821	0.625	44.	660	0.034
S.E. <u>+</u>	4.4	160	1.039 0.89	94	0.088	0.061	0.040	0.033	2.3	276	0.001
C.D. (P=0.05)		303	2.168 1.86	96	0.185	0.128	0.084	0.070	4.7	748	0.003
T <sub>1</sub> - mulching wi	ith polythene sh	eet T <sub>4</sub> water	spray anchagayya as folia	T <sub>7</sub> - r snrav T <sub>2</sub> -	urea (0.5 %) a	s foliar spray					
T <sub>1</sub> -irrigation	mid noo m	T 2- C 4	50 ppm) as foliar spi	rav T.	and Tu-salicy	lie acid 100 and 20	00 ppm as foliar s	prav	Tc	ontrol	

271

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., Jan. - June, 2010, 5 (1)]

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

Table 4 : Effec	rt on growth of	growth treatm	ent on morph	ological and	d physiolog	gical characte	ers of curry	leaf(Murraya	koenigii Spi	reng) during	winter season
Treatments	Chlorophyll "a" (mg per g)	Chlorophyll "b"(mg per g)	Total Chlorophy Il content (mg per g)	fresh leaf yield per plant (g)	fresh leaf yield per plot (kg)	fresh leaf yield per ha (tonnes per ha)	Iron content (percent)	Calcium content(mg per100g)	Essential oil content (per cent)	Shelf life (unpacked)	Self life with five per cent ventilation
T <sub>I</sub>	0.033	0.071	0.104	395.87	3.56	3.95	3.29	840.23	0.136	2.56	2.92
$T_2$	0.034	0.065	0.099	435.65	3.92	4.35	3.22	820.12	0.135	2.72	2.85
Т,	0.031	0.061	0.092	405.27	3.65	4.05	3.13	810.43	0.122	1.92	2.50
$T_4$	0.034	0.059	0.093	380.34	3.42	3.80	3.15	815.54	0.142	1.65	2.00
$T_5$	0.039	0.075	0.114	450.65	4.05	4.50	3.62	950.37	0.186	3.67	4.00
$T_6$	0.037	0.059	0.092	350.56	3.15	3.50	3.48	880.87	0.119	2.72	3.50
Τ,	0.035	0.058	0.093	340.71	3.06	3.40	3.11	780.21	0.159	1.46	3.00
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$	0.038	0.072	0.110	438.74	3.94	4.38	3.61	905.32	0.161	2.95	396
$T_9$	0.031	0.062	0.093	310.73	2.79	3.10	3.09	810.78	0.129	1.27	2.56
T <sub>10</sub>	0.033	0.057	060.0	330.54	2.97	3.30	3.15	800.67	0.128	1.69	3.00
T <sub>11</sub>	0.029	0.052	0.081	305.63	2.74	3.05	3.04	778.43	0.117	1.21	2 00
Mean	0.034	0.062	960.0	376.790	3.385	3.761	3.263	835.724	0.139	2.165	2.935
S.E.+	100.0	0.004	0.005	20.405	0.183	0.204	0.163	41.728	0.006	0.151	0.134
C.D.(P=0.05)	0.003	0.008	0.011	42.566	0.383	0.425	0.341	87.044	0.014	0.315	0.281
T <sub>1</sub> - mulching w T <sub>2</sub> -mulching wi	ith polythene s ith coir pith	heet $T_4$ -water spi $T_5$ -3 % pan $T_4$ (50	ray chagavya as fo nom) as foliar	oliar spray	T <sub>7</sub> -urea (0. T <sub>8</sub> -humic a	.5 %) as foliar acid (0.2 %)as	spray foliar spray	nnm ac foliar	T.	control	

Azospirillum present in panchagavya might have also increased the chlorophyll content of leaves which might be attributed to the N fixing ability of Azospirillum coupled with its ability to synthesis growth hormones such as IAA, GA and cytokinins besides other enzymes. The monsoon crop can perform well even in the absence of any treatment. In monsoon season crop, yield per plant in control was higher than in the winter season in terms of fresh leaf yield per plant. Plant height, number of secondary branches and chlorophyll content were all optimal under monsoon season which might have engineered towards the high yield. Environmental factors like solar radiation, temperature, long sunshine hours might have also subscribed to the high yield of curry leaf, an ever green foliage plant.

From the economics of cultivation also the treatment  $T_{\epsilon}$  of three per cent panchagavya as foliar spray recorded the highest net return, which could be recommended for exploitation of commercial cultivation of curry leaf. Though the treatment of mulch with black polythene sheet and coir pith recorded considerable amount of gross income as the best treatment next to spray of panchagavya, the cost of cultivation was higher than the other treatments of study which resulted in lesser benefit cost ratio than the control. Hence, it was concluded that application of panchagavya of three per cent, at 30 days intervals for two times per season could improve the herbage yield in the off season crop (winter crop) so as to fetch more returns.

- Cynthia, A.S.E. (2003). Standardization of organic production packages for *Withania somnifera* Dunal. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. India.
- Djanaguiraman, R. (2003). Physiological studies on the effects of nitrophenols on tomato and Cotton. Ph.D., Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-3, India
- Kanimozhi, C. (2003). Standardization of organic production packages for *Coleus forskohlii* Briq. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-3, India.
- Khandait, H. M. (1991). Standardization of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash levels for flower production of annual chrysanthemum cv. Yellow. M.Sc. Thesis. Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth (PDKV), Akola, Maharashtra, India.

- Sridhar, T. (2003). Effect of bioregulators on Black nightshade (*Solanum nigrum* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-3, India.
- Thamaraiselvi, S.P. (2001). Physiological studies on petal shedding in Edward rose (*Rosa bourboniana* L.) and Red rose (*R. centifolia* L.). M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*