### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Evaluation of different insecticides and bio-pesticides for control of pigeonpea pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner)

# ■ C. S. JAGADEESH BABU AND MALLIKARJUN

Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, U.A.S., G.K.V.K., BANGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA Email: malliharsur@gmail.com

### ARITCLE INFO

**Received** : 12.03.2012 **Revised** : 12.07.2012 **Accepted** : 31.07.2012

# Key Words:

Pigeonpea, Helicoverpa armigera, Chemical control

\*Corresponding author: Jagadeesh55k@rediffmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

The results of the investigation on chemical control of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner infesting pigeonpea indicated that among the different insecticides tested, indoxacarb 0.0075 per cent gave the highest per cent mortality of the pest followed by spinosad 0.009 per cent, profenophos+ cypermethrin 0.044 per cent and endosulfan 0.07 per cent. Endosulphon 0.07 per cent 0.0075 per cent recorded significantly highest grain yield (1486 kg/ha). While, highest cost benefit ratio of 1: 18.94 was also obtained from the treatment of endosulfan 0.07 per cent.

**How to view point the article:** Jagadeesh Babu, C.S. and Mallikarjun (2012). Evaluation of different insecticides and bio-pesticides for control of pigeonpea pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **5**(2): 272-274.

# INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea is an important pulse cum grain legume crop and due to the productivity, favourable conditions and economics of the crop; the area under crop is increasing year by year. Among the insect species infesting pigeonpea, the pod borer complex is reported to reduce the yield upto 27.77 per cent (Sahoo and Senapati, 2000) and among the borers, gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) is considered as most destructive. Thus, attempts were made in the present investigation to study the efficacy of certain insecticides and biopesticides against *H. armigera*.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The pigeonpea TTB-7 was sown at Zonal Agricultural Research station University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore during *Kharif*-2009 in a plot size of 15 ft x 5ft with the spacing of 90 cm x 30 cm. Twelve treatments were evaluated with 5 replications in randomized block design. First spray of insecticidal treatments was given at 50 per cent flowering and subsequent spray (second) was applied at 50 per cent pod formation stage of the crop. Observations on pod borer larvae

were recorded from five randomly selected plants from each treatment at one day before and 3, 7 and 10 days after spraying. The data were converted into per cent mortality by using the formula given by Abbott (1925) and modified by Henderson and Tilton (1955).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A perusal of data in Table 1 indicated that the treatment of indoxacarb 0.0075 per cent caused highest mortality (89 to 96%) of the pest followed by spinosad 0.009 per cent (86 to 95% mortality) and the ready mixed insecticide profenophos + cypermethrin 0.044 per cent (85 to 94% mortality). The next effective treatments were endosulfan 0.07 per cent, quinalphos 0.05 per cent, monocrotophos 0.05 per cent and novaluron 0.01 per cent with 88 to 79 per cent mortality of the pest. The results are in confirmation with the findings of Mittal and Ujagir (2005). The rest of the insecticides gave the yield of 1417 to 875 kg/ ha. Similar observations were also reported by Giraddi *et al.* (2002).Indoxacarb 0.0075 per cent gave highest net return(Rs. 19824/ha) followed by spinosad 0.009 per cent, profenophos + cypermethrin 0.044 per cent, endosulfan 0.07

ి ఓటి లో. ఇ. 13ి దర్గి దకుడ్ని లో టీిని కూగి ిగాక నల్లో దీడని కుల్లకోగారికి		305 Jan 23 2 [50.0]	acingosijesijesijesijesijes Per eent mortzity	octality			Crain yiold	10 X	ž
	SVCIE	First spray 7 DAS	SVCIOI	3 DAS	Socond sprey 7 DAS	10 DAS	(kg/ns) 958	rozlizzion	
Arhadiracimo	66.79 (57.63)	68,81 (56,05)	63,777 (52,99)	61.25 (51.50)	64.07 (53.17)	60.55 (51.09)		5040	1:2.52
B. 1 @ 2 B Live	60.73 (51.20)	63.51 (52.84)	67.06 (54.97)	53.95 47.260	57.15 (49.11)	61.51 (51.65)	8.1.2	3882	1, 2,56
B. B @ 4 g/ Erro	57.01 (49.03)	59.77 (50.63)	64.23 (53.21)	50.64 (45.37)	54,47 (47,56)	58.93 (50.14)	1001	27.16	1. 2.72
Dimolnosto 0.03%	71.30 (57.61)	73.65 (59.11)	68.55 (55.89)	66.00 (54.33)	68.85 (56.08)	65.29 (53.91)	1292	6412	1.13.97
Endosulphon 9.97%	85.76 (67.83)	88.20 (69.91)	82.95 (65.61)	80.38 (63.71)	83.26 (68.85)	79.66 (63.19)	1486	14392	1.18.94
Indoxeser's 0.0075 %	94,42 (76.24)	95.98 (18.44)	90.65 (72.19)	89.93 (71.50)	92.99 (74.65)	89.20 (70.81)	:: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	19824	1.10.07
Venesrotophes 0.05%	80.10 (63.51)	82.47 (65.25)	77.34 (61.57)	14.79 (59.86)	777.64 (61.78)	74.08 (59.39)	1601	11284	1.17.49
Noveluron 0.01%	76.55 (61.03)	78.90 (62.65)	73.79 (59.21)	71.26 (57.58)	74.10 (59.41)	76.55 (57.13)	1417	9832	1116.24
Profesorios - Cypermetinin (0.047%)	91.56 (73.11	94.33 (76.22)	88.62 (70.29)	85.78 (67.85)	88.77 (70.39)	85.05 (67.25)	1215	17892	96
Quimologinos 0.05%	83.53 (66.05)	85.89 (67.93)	80.78 (63.99)	78.24 (62.19)	81.08 (67.22)	77.53 (61.70)	1/51	12236	28.30
Spinoszd 0.009%	92.52 (74.13)	95.23 (11.39)	89.62(71.20)	86.51 (68.75)	89.76 (71.05)	85.78 (67.85)	811.	18844	1.6.56 6.56
S.R. +	\$5. 96 96	86. 86	2,60	13.77	2.9%	2.90	14.58		
C.D. @ 5%	86 57	65° 390 390	7.52	667.	86.73	8.37	12.61		
9.12 Rotransformoù valuo, l'éguros in the parentheses are arei	\$	9.45 enslorned velues	9.75 trensformed velues, DAS - Days after sowing	9.38 9.38	18.8	378	10.22		

per cent, quinalphos 0.05 per cent and monocrotophos 0.05 per cent that gave net returns of Rs. 18844 to 11284/ha (Table 1). Novaluron 0.01 per cent, dimethoate 0.03 per cent, azadiractine 0.00045%, Bt @ 2 g/litre and Bb @4 g/litre gave relatively less net returns of Rs. 8932 to 2716/ ha. The cost: benefit ratio (Table1) was high in the plots treated with endosulfan 0.07 per cent (1:18.94). While, it was in the range of 1:18.11 to 1:10.07 in the rest of the insecticidal treatments. The treatments viz., spinosad 0.009 per cent, Bb @ 4 g/ litre, Bt @ 2g/litre and azadirachtine 0.00045 per cent could not show any conspicuous gain over cost (1:6.68 to1:2.52). Such trend of biopesticides have also been reported by Mandal and Mishra (2003). Thus, spraying with indoxacarb 0.0075 per cent, spinosad 0.009 per cent, profenophos + cypermethrin 0.044 per cent, endosulfan 0.07 per cent and monocrotophos 0.05 per cent were found most effective and economic for controlling gram pod borer incidence on pigeonpea.

# REFERENCES

**Abbott, W. S.** (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Eco. Ent.*, 18: 265-267.

Giraddi, R.S., Dasareddy, S.V. and Lingappa, S. L. (2002). Bioefficacy of new molecules of insecticides against gram podborer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 72(5): 311-312.

Henderson, C.F. and Tilton, E. W. (1955). Test with acaricides against the brown wheat mite, 48(2): 157-161.

Mandal, S.M. A. and Mishra, B.K. (2003). Bioefficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against pod borers and pod fly on pigeonpea. *Appl. Zoological Res.*, 14: 42-43.

Mittal, V. and Ujagir, R. (2005). Evaluation of naturalyte spinosad against pod borer complex in early pigeonpea. *Indian Pl.Prot.*, **33**(2): 211-215.

Sahoo, B. K. and Senapati, B. (2000). Determination of economic thresholds for pod borer complex in pigeonpea. *Indian Pl. Prot.*, 28: 176-179.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*