Integrated nutrient management in rice-maize crop sequence

M.S. TALATHI*, S.S. PINJARI, N.J. RANSHUR, T.S. BHONDAVE AND J.S. SURYAWANSHI

Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, RATNAGIRI (M.S.) INDIA

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted during rainy and winter seasons of 1998 and 99 at the Agronomy Farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, dist. Ratnagiri to study the integrated nutrient management in rice – maize crop sequence. The grain yield of rainy season rice was higher under 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N either through glyricidia or FYM. Application of NPK at suboptimal dose *i.e.* 75 % and 50 % recommended dose of fertilizer reduced grain yield of rice significantly. The total productivity of rice – maize crop sequence was higher when 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N through glyricidia was applied to rice and 75 % recommended dose of fertilizer to succeeding maize crop. The maize crop supplied with 100% recommended dose of fertilizer registered significantly higher cob yield. The total productivity (net return, B: C ratio) was higher when both the crops supplied with 100 % recommended dose of fertilizer. The N, P, K uptake was more when rice crop received 50 % N substitution either through glyricidia or FYM. Where as in winter season, the maximum uptake of nutrients by maize was recorded when 100 % NPK was supplied as inorganic source.

Key words : Rice-maize sequence, Integrated nutrient management, Net profit, Nutrient uptake

INTRODUCTION

In absence any significant scope for horizontal expansion and to meet the ever increasing demand for food, fuel and fodder, the vertical expansion in agriculture through increased production per unit area and per unit time is the only alternative, which involves the intensive use of resources and input. But inadequate / unbalanced use of fertilizers and organic manures are resulting in the improvement of soil health. Therefore, increasing the production and productivity on sustainable basis is a challenge before the agricultural scientists and planners.

The rice – maize is a major cropping system of the country but the average productivity of the system, which was less. Rice - maize sequential cropping is an important cropping system needs large amount of nutrients to achieve high productivity. The long term experiment at many locations have indicated that even with application of recommended dose of fertilizers (NPK), it will not be possible to sustain the productivity of rice – maize system and emphasize the importance of integrated nutrient supply system in sustaining productivity (Hedge, 1996). This involves the use of chemical fertilizers in conjunction with organic sources such as green manuring, FYM etc. This approach restores and sustains soil health and productivity in long term (Mitra et al., 1992), besides meeting the nutritional deficiencies which are likely to occur due to continuous and intensive cultivation (Hegde, 1996). Therefore, the present investigation was initiated to study the effect of integrated nutrient supply of chemical fertilizers and organic sources on productivity and soil fertility in rice - maize sequence under South Konkan coastal zone of Maharashtra.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted in the rainy (kharif) and winter (rabi) seasons of 1998 and 1999 at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, dist. Ratnagiri. The soil of experimental plot was clay loam in texture with pH 6.36, organic carbon 1.30 g kg⁻¹; and the available nitrogen 295.22 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus 12.96 kg ha-1 and potassium 105.03 kg ha-1. There were 11 different treatment combinations (Table 1) replicated thrice in Randomized Block Design. Rice (var. Sahyadri) and maize (var. Konkan tapora) were grown as the test crop. The recommended dose of fertilizer was 100:50:50 and 120:60:60 N, P and K kg ha⁻¹ for rice and maize, respectively. In case of rice, fertilizers was applied in three slit doses, 40 % N + full P and K was applied as a basal dose *i.e.* at transplanting, 40 % N was applied 30 DAT (maximum tillering) and remaining 20 % N was applied at 60 DAT (panicle initiation) whereas in case of maize, half N and whole quantity of P and K was applied as a basal dose and half N 30 DAS. The treatment comprised application of different combinations of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients to rice and inorganic sources to maize. The grain and straw of rice, and grain, stover of maize samples were analyzed for their N content by Kjeldahl method. The samples were digested in diacid (HNO₃ HClO₄ 4:1) mixture. Phosphorus was estimated in diacid digest by vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method. The extract was used for determination of potassium by flame photometer. Data on grain and straw yield, total productivity/ha/year (grain and biomass), gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and benefit: cost ratio were obtained from the

| Table 1: I | Details of treatments and symbols use | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Symbol | Treatments | |
| Symbol | Kharif (Rice) | Rabi (Maize) |
| T ₁ | No organic manures, No chemical fertilizers | No organic manures, No chemical fertilizers |
| T ₂ | 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₃ | 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T_4 | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₅ | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₆ | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₇ | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₈ | 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N through FYM | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T9 | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 25% N through FYM | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₁₀ | 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N through Glyricidia | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers |
| T ₁₁ | 75% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 25% N through Glyricidia | 100% recommended NPK through fertilizers |

cropping sequence during two years and its mean. Economics of fertilizer application in the cropping system was worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results obtained are summarized in Table 2, 3, 4 and 5. Analysis of variance revealed that integrated nutrient managment had significant effect on yield contributing attributes.

Yield (*q* / *ha*):

The grain and straw yield of rice increased significantly due to application of different organic and inorganic sources of fertilizers compared with control (Table 2). The maximum grain (71.64 or 70.37 q/ha) and straw (73.62 or 72.37 q/ha) yield was recorded when 50 % RDF + 50 % N was applied either through glyricidia

 (T_{10}) or FYM (T_8) , respectively followed by 75 % RDF + 25 % N through glyricidia (T_{11}) or FYM (T_9) .

Application of 100 % RDF (T_5) recorded maximum grain (68.52 q/ha) and straw (70.64 q/ha) yield as compared to 75 % RDF (63.06 q/ha and 65.26 q/ha of grain and straw, respectively) as well as 50 % RDF. Unfertilized control (T_1) proved the lowest yield compared to all other treatments. The beneficial effect in respect of grain and straw yield was more prominent when 50 % N was substituted either through glyricidia or FYM which may be attributed to sustain nutrient supply or also as a result of better utilization of applied nutrients through improved micro – environmental conditions, especially the activities of soil micro-organisms involved in nutrient transformation and fixation. These results are in calibrating with findings of Powar and Mehta (1997).

During the winter season, the maize yield in general was higher when 75 and 100 % RDF (120:60:60 NPK

| | Rice | | | Maize | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Symbol | Treatment | Yield (q/ha) | Straw yield (q/ha) | Treatments | Yield (q/ha) | Stover yield (q/ha) | |
| T ₁ | Control | 37.27 | 37.17 | Control | 19.43 | 28.46 | |
| T_2 | 50 % RDF | 53.89 | 56.13 | 75 % RDF | 33.99 | 50.45 | |
| T ₃ | 50 % RDF | 55.26 | 57.21 | 100 % RDF | 40.06 | 59.52 | |
| T_4 | 75 % RDF | 62.30 | 64.56 | 75 % RDF | 34.95 | 51.55 | |
| T ₅ | 100 % RDF | 68.52 | 70.64 | 100 % RDF | 41.86 | 62.72 | |
| T ₆ | 100 % RDF | 68.14 | 70.28 | 75 % RDF | 36.14 | 53.55 | |
| T ₇ | 75 % RDF | 63.06 | 65.26 | 100 % RDF | 41.25 | 61.93 | |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF+50 % N through FYM | 70.37 | 72.37 | 75%RDF | 43.03 | 64.18 | |
| T9 | 75 % RDF+25 % N through FYM | 69.36 | 71.13 | 100 % RDF | 44.43 | 65.98 | |
| T ₁₀ | 50 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia | 71.64 | 73.62 | 75 % RDF | 42.64 | 63.44 | |
| T ₁₁ | 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia | 70.07 | 72.55 | 100 % RDF | 43.80 | 64.83 | |
| | 'F' test | Sig. | Sig. | | Sig. | Sig. | |
| | S.E. ± | 0.91 | 0.91 | | 0.71 | 1.10 | |
| | C.D. (P=0.05) | 2.51 | 2.52 | | 1.97 | 3.05 | |

Internat. J. agric. Sci. 5 (2) June-Dec., 2009

kg/ha) was applied to maize as compared to unfertilized control. Application of 100 % RDF recorded significantly higher grain (44.43 q/ha) and stover (65.98 q/ha) yield of maize during 1998 and 1999 followed by 75 % RDF (43.03 q/ha 64.18 q/ha grain and stover yield, respectively) as the preceding crop of rice received 100 % recommended dose of fertilizers in the form of 50 % recommended NPK through fertilizer + 50 % N either through glyricidia or FYM. In short, the result clearly indicated possibility of saving 25 % RDF to succeeding maize under rice - maize sequence. This was evidently due to the residual effect of organic sources applied to *kharif* rice. While working on residual effect of organic sources on succeeding crop, Ramamurthy and Shivshankar (1996) also reported the similar findings.

System productivity:

It was observed from the data presented in Table 3 that rice - maize system required 50 % N substitution either through glyricidia or FYM to kharif rice and 25 % N saving to succeeding crop of maize. The total production of rice - maize crop sequence as affected by integrated nutrient management indicated that application of 50 % recommended NPK through fertilizer + 50 % N through glyricidia to rice with 75 % RDF to succeeding maize recorded the highest total productivity (114.28 q/ ha) followed by 75 % recommended NPK + 25 % N through glyricidia to kharif rice with 100 % RDF to succeeding maize. There was considerable reduction in total productivity with reduction of fertilizer levels to 75 and 50 % of recommended dose. The lowest total productivity (56.70 q/ha) was noted in unfertilized control under rice - maize sequence. Working on similar line under rice- wheat sequence, Samui et al. (1998) reported possibility of substituting 50 % N through organic sources during *kharif* without any significant reduction in total grain productivity of sequence. This results gave credence to belief that about 25 to 50 % N needs of the rice should be substituted with integrated use of fertilizers, which can reduce 25 % nutrient requirement of succeeding crop without any detrimental effect on the productivity of the system.

NPK uptake:

The data regarding uptake of N, P and K by rice are presented in Table 4, which showed that substitution of 25 to 50 % N either through glyricidia or FYM registered significantly maximum uptake. This might be due to slow and continuous supply of nutrients to the rice plant, as required by the plant due to bio organic influence on chemical N as suggested by Sahrawat (1982). It was

| Rice Maize Name Name Maize Network Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name K T Control 35.00 10.69 7.40 7.40 8.459 55.80 9.04 7.06 2.25 1.25 5 T 50% RDF 55.80 19.45 12.86 4.37 7.30 84.59 55.80 1.26 5 5 2.25 1.25 5 5 2.25 1.25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5< | Tab | Table 3 : Total uptake of N. P and K (kg/ha) by rice and | y rice and | maize cro | p. (mean | data of t | maize crop. (mean data of two years) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| Treatments N P K N P K Treatments Control Staw Grain Straw Grain Straw Frain Straw | | | | | ł | tice | | | | | M | aize | | | |
| Grain Straw Grain Straw Grain Straw Grain Straw Grain Stover | | Treatments | | 7 | | | | K | | ~ | 7 | | Ь | | V |
| Control 35.00 10.69 7.40 2.14 4.36 46.95 $Control$ 25.42 9.04 7.06 2.25 50% RDF 56.89 19.45 12.86 4.37 7.30 84.59 75% RDF 53.82 27.10 14.35 6.35 50% RDF $55.\%$ RDF 53.82 27.10 14.35 6.35 53.62 21.22 11.01 75% RDF 75% RDF 53.82 27.10 14.35 6.37 34.72 21.22 11.01 75% RDF 75% RDF 75% RDF 55.40 28.29 15.02 6.99 100% RDF 75% RDF 75% RDF 55.40 28.29 15.02 6.99 100% RDF 75% RDF 75% RDF 55.40 28.25 11.01 75% RDF 75% RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75% RDF 75% RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75% RDF 77.59 29.75 18.54 7.26 10.9% RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 75% RDF 75% RDF 77.59 100% RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75% RDF 77.59 10.74 112.71 75% RDF 77.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75% RDF 75% RDF 77.69 8.35 10.74 71.48 38.72 23.71 12.07 75% RDF 75% RDF 70.60 37.80 27.37 12.74 12.57 12.77 | | | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | | Grain | Stover | Grain | Stover | Grain | Stover |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I}}$ | Control | 35.00 | 10.69 | 7.40 | 2.14 | 4.36 | 46.95 | Control | 25.42 | 9.04 | 7.06 | 2.25 | 1.25 | 24.71 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | T_2 | 50 % RDF | 56.89 | 19.45 | 12.86 | 4.37 | 7.30 | 84.59 | 75 % RDF | 53.82 | 27.10 | 14.35 | 6.35 | 5.82 | 49.69 |
| 75 % RDF 75 % RDF 75 % RDF 55.40 28.29 15.02 6.99 100 % RDF 89.26 36.63 23.98 8.68 12.33 117.85 100 % RDF 55.40 28.29 15.02 6.99 100 % RDF 89.79 36.48 23.53 8.61 11.79 116.15 75 % RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75 % RDF 77.59 29.75 18.54 7.26 10.42 103.39 100 % RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75 % RDF+50 % N through FYM 93.87 39.44 23.67 8.52 12.33 117.21 75% RDF 71.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 116.37 100 % RDF 71.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 8.36 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 77.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 8.36 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 77.69 | T_3 | 50 % RDF | 59.66 | 20.38 | 13.87 | 4.70 | 7.65 | 86.37 | 100 % RDF | 65.57 | 34.72 | 21.22 | 11.01 | 10.20 | 61.04 |
| 100 % RDF 89.26 36.63 23.98 8.68 12.33 117.85 100 % RDF 68.72 37.01 22.65 12.83 100 % RDF 89.79 36.48 23.57 8.61 11.79 116.15 75 % RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75 % RDF 77.50 36.48 23.57 8.61 11.79 116.15 75 % RDF 57.71 29.40 16.06 7.45 75 % RDF+50 % N through FYM 93.87 39.44 23.67 8.52 12.33 117.21 75 % RDF 71.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 12.24 116.37 100 % RDF 71.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 12.24 12.247 74.47 14.57 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 518 52.21 118.76 100 % RDF 70.60 37.80 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 | T_4 | 75 % RDF | 75.72 | 27.52 | 18.46 | 7.08 | 10.16 | 101.90 | 75 % RDF | 55.40 | 28.29 | 15.02 | 66.9 | 6.52 | 50.85 |
| $ 100\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 89.79 \qquad 36.48 \qquad 23.57 \qquad 8.61 \qquad 11.79 \qquad 116.15 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 57.71 \qquad 29.40 \qquad 16.06 \qquad 7.45 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 77.89 \qquad 29.75 \qquad 18.54 \qquad 7.26 \qquad 10.42 \qquad 103.39 \qquad 100\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 68.08 \qquad 36.50 \qquad 22.27 \qquad 12.07 \\ 50\% {\rm RDF} + 50\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm FYM} \qquad 93.87 \qquad 39.44 \qquad 23.67 \qquad 8.52 \qquad 12.33 \qquad 117.21 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 71.48 \qquad 38.87 \qquad 23.92 \qquad 13.82 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} + 25\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm Glyricidia} \qquad 97.56 \qquad 41.57 \qquad 27.00 \qquad 9.23 \qquad 12.44 \qquad 123.47 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 71.48 \qquad 38.87 \qquad 23.59 \qquad 12.97 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} + 25\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm Glyricidia} \qquad 97.56 \qquad 41.57 \qquad 27.00 \qquad 9.23 \qquad 12.44 \qquad 123.47 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 70.60 \qquad 37.80 \qquad 23.59 \qquad 12.97 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} + 25\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm Glyricidia} \qquad 97.56 \qquad 41.57 \qquad 27.00 \qquad 9.23 \qquad 12.44 \qquad 123.47 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 70.60 \qquad 37.80 \qquad 23.59 \qquad 12.97 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} + 25\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm Glyricidia} \qquad 97.56 \qquad 41.57 \qquad 27.00 \qquad 9.23 \qquad 12.44 \qquad 123.47 \qquad 75\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 70.60 \qquad 37.80 \qquad 23.59 \qquad 12.97 \\ 75\% {\rm RDF} + 25\% {\rm N} {\rm through} {\rm Glyricidia} \qquad 93.53 \qquad 38.89 \qquad 23.70 \qquad 9.05 \qquad 12.31 \qquad 118.76 \qquad 100\% {\rm RDF} \qquad 72.75 \qquad 39.53 \qquad 24.07 \qquad 14.30 \\ $ | T_{5} | 100 % RDF | 89.26 | 36.63 | 23.98 | 8.68 | 12.33 | 117.85 | 100 % RDF | 68.72 | 37.01 | 22.65 | 12.83 | 11.31 | 64.60 |
| 75 % RDF 50% RDF+50 % N through FYM 75 % RDF+50 % N through FYM 75 % RDF+50 % N through FYM 75 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia 75 % RDF+55 % N through Glyricidia 75 % RDF+55 % N through Glyricidia 75 % RDF+55 % N through Glyricidia 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 72 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 72 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 75 % RDF 72 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 72 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 72 % RDF 72 % RD | T_6 | 100 % RDF | 89.79 | 36.48 | 23.57 | 8.61 | 11.79 | 116.15 | 75 % RDF | 57.71 | 29.40 | 16.06 | 7.45 | 7.25 | 52.89 |
| 50% RDF+50 % N through FYM 93.87 39.44 23.67 8.52 12.33 117.21 75% RDF 71.48 38.87 23.92 13.82 75 % RDF+25 % N through FYM 91.49 37.10 22.06 8.36 12.27 116.37 100 % RDF 73.60 40.27 24.47 14.57 75 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 12.44 123.47 75 % RDF 70.60 37.80 23.59 12.97 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 70.60 37.80 23.59 12.97 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 72.75 39.53 24.07 14.30 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 72.66 37.80 23.59 12.97 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig </th <th>T_7</th> <td>75 % RDF</td> <td>77.59</td> <td>29.75</td> <td>18.54</td> <td>7.26</td> <td>10.42</td> <td>103.39</td> <td>100 % RDF</td> <td>68.08</td> <td>36.50</td> <td>22.27</td> <td>12.07</td> <td>10.92</td> <td>64.06</td> | T_7 | 75 % RDF | 77.59 | 29.75 | 18.54 | 7.26 | 10.42 | 103.39 | 100 % RDF | 68.08 | 36.50 | 22.27 | 12.07 | 10.92 | 64.06 |
| 75 % RDF+25 % N through FYM 91.49 37.10 22.06 8.36 12.27 116.37 100 % RDF 73.60 40.27 24.47 14.57 50 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 12.44 123.47 75 % RDF 70.60 37.80 23.59 12.97 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 72.75 39.53 24.07 14.30 °F test Sig | T_8 | 50% RDF+50 % N through FYM | 93.87 | 39.44 | 23.67 | 8.52 | 12.33 | 117.21 | 75%RDF | 71.48 | 38.87 | 23.92 | 13.82 | 11.84 | 68.03 |
| 50 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia 97.56 41.57 27.00 9.23 12.44 123.47 75 % RDF 70.60 37.80 23.59 12.97 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 72.75 39.53 24.07 14.30 °F' test Sig | T_9 | 75 % RDF+25 % N through FYM | 91.49 | 37.10 | 22.06 | 8.36 | 12.27 | 116.37 | 100 % RDF | 73.60 | 40.27 | 24.47 | 14.57 | 12.45 | 69.62 |
| 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia 93.53 38.89 23.70 9.05 12.31 118.76 100 % RDF 72.75 39.53 24.07 14.30 F' test Sig | T_{10} | 50 % RDF+50 % N through Glyricidia | 97.56 | 41.57 | 27.00 | 9.23 | 12.44 | 123.47 | 75 % RDF | 70.60 | 37.80 | 23.59 | 12.97 | 11.28 | 66.33 |
| . Sig | T_{11} | 75 % RDF+25 % N through Glyricidia | 93.53 | 38.89 | 23.70 | 9.05 | 12.31 | 118.76 | 100 % RDF | 72.75 | 39.53 | 24.07 | 14.30 | 11.94 | 68.42 |
| 2.16 1.07 1.18 0.27 0.26 3.21 1.91 1.26 0.82 0.74 (0.05) 6.41 3.18 3.64 0.80 0.76 9.51 5.68 3.74 2.43 2.18 | 9 | 'F' test | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig |
| 6.41 3.18 3.64 0.80 0.76 9.51 5.68 3.74 2.43 2.18 | | S.E. ± | 2.16 | 1.07 | 1.18 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 3.21 | | 1.91 | 1.26 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.49 | 2.01 |
| | | C.D. (P=0.05) | 6.41 | 3.18 | 3.64 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 9.51 | | 5.68 | 3.74 | 2.43 | 2.18 | 1.45 | 5.94 |

| Table 4 : | Production potential of rice based crop seq mean) | uences as affected by in | tegrated nutrien | nt management (1 | Based on pooled | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sumbol | Treatments | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Rice - Maize (q/ha) | | | | | | |
| Symbol | Kharif | Rabi | Kharif | Rabi | Total | | | | |
| T_1 | Control | Control | 37.27 | 19.43 | 56.70 | | | | |
| T ₂ | 50% RDF | 75 % RDF | 53.89 | 33.99 | 87.88 | | | | |
| T ₃ | 50% RDF | 100 % RDF | 55.26 | 40.06 | 95.32 | | | | |
| T_4 | 75 % RDF | 75 % RDF | 62.30 | 34.95 | 97.25 | | | | |
| T ₅ | 100 % RDF | 100 % RDF | 68.52 | 41.86 | 110.38 | | | | |
| T ₆ | 100 % RDF | 75 % RDF | 68.14 | 36.14 | 104.28 | | | | |
| T ₇ | 75 % RDF | 100 % RDF | 63.06 | 41.25 | 104.31 | | | | |
| T ₈ | 50 % RDF + 50 % N through FYM | 75 % RDF | 70.37 | 43.03 | 113.40 | | | | |
| T ₉ | 75 % RDF + 25 % N through FYM | 100 % RDF | 69.36 | 44.43 | 113.79 | | | | |
| T ₁₀ | 50% RDF + 50 % N through Gly. | 75 % RDF | 71.64 | 42.64 | 114.28 | | | | |
| T ₁₁ | 75 % RDF + 25 % N through Gly. | 100 % RDF | 70.07 | 43.80 | 113.87 | | | | |

also evident that higher uptake of nutrients by the crop was contributed towards increased grain and straw yield of rice which was not evident in unfertilized control.

During winter season, maximum uptake of NPK nutrients by maize was recorded under the maize crop which received 100 % RDF (T_9) preceded by the treatment receiving 50 % NPK through inorganic and 50 % N through organic source. This could be due to residual effects of the nutrients applied through organic sources to preceding rice on the succeeding winter season crop of maize. Residual effect of combined application of glyricidia / FYM and inorganic source of fertilizer on maize crop supports the observation of Gill and Meelu (1989). It is evident that higher uptake of the nutrients by the crop has contributed towards the increased grain yield which was not possible in unfertilized control.

The P and K uptake by the grain and straw showed the similar trend. The additional phosphorus supplied by the organic sources (glyricidia and FYM) and their influence on solubilizing the native phosphorus might have resulted into phosphorus uptake by rice grain and straw. The enhanced potassium absorption in the same treatment may be due to increased supply of potassium by organics and increase in concentration of potassium in rice plant in the corresponding treatment.

Economics of rice – maize cropping system:

Data regarding economics of rice – maize crop sequences (Table 5) as affected by different treatments indicated that the highest net profit (Rs. 22385.32) and B:C ratio (1.49) from the rice – maize crop sequences was due to application of 100 % RDF to both the crops under sequence. The similar results of higher monitory returns from rice – wheat sequence due to application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure was observed by Sharma *et al.* (1988). It was closely followed by 75 % recommended NPK + 25 % N through glyricidia to preceding rice with 100 % RDF to succeeding maize (Rs.

| Table 5: Economics of rice - maize crop sequence as affected by different treatments (mean of two years) | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| Symbol | Treatments Kharif (Rice) | Rabi (Maize) | - Gross returns (Rs./ha) | Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha) | Net returns (Rs./ha) | B : C ratio | |
| T ₁ | Control | Control | 35345.90 | 33045.44 | 2300.46 | 1.07 | |
| T_2 | 50% RDF | 75 % RDF | 54485.12 | 40878.97 | 13606.15 | 1.33 | |
| T ₃ | 50% RDF | 100 % RDF | 58687.52 | 42583.64 | 16103.88 | 1.38 | |
| T_4 | 75 % RDF | 75 % RDF | 60597.57 | 42950.72 | 17646.85 | 1.41 | |
| T_5 | 100 % RDF | 100 % RDF | 68515.05 | 46129.73 | 22385.32 | 1.49 | |
| T ₆ | 100 % RDF | 75 % RDF | 65125.87 | 44627.91 | 20497.96 | 1.46 | |
| T ₇ | 75 % RDF | 100 % RDF | 64560.47 | 44596.49 | 19963.98 | 1.45 | |
| T ₈ | 50 % RDF + 50% N through FYM | 75 % RDF | 70360.47 | 50172.24 | 20187.93 | 1.40 | |
| T ₉ | 75% RDF + 25% N through FYM | 100 % RDF | 70428.20 | 48725.91 | 21702.29 | 1.46 | |
| T ₁₀ | 50% RDF + 50% N through Gly. | 75 % RDF | 70989.02 | 50329.46 | 20659.56 | 1.41 | |
| T ₁₁ | 75% RDF + 25% N through Gly. | 100 % RDF | 70599.97 | 48768.86 | 21831.11 | 1.45 | |

21831.11) and 75 % recommended NPK + 25 % N through FYM to preceding rice with 100 % RDF to succeeding maize (Rs. 21702.29). Thus, it showed that application of 100 % NPK through fertilizers registered highest net returns compared to 50% recommended NPK through fertilizers + 50% N either through glyricidia or FYM, but the long term benefits in respect of soil fertility on sustainable basis can not be neglected.

REFERENCES

Gill, P.C. and Meelu, O.P. (1989). Studies on substitution of inorganic fertilizers with FYM and their effect on soil fertility in rice-wheat rotation. Proc. Symp Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, pp : 297 - 310.

Hegde, D.M. (1996). Integrated nutrient supply on crop productivity and soil fertility in rice - rice system. *Indian J.* Agron., **41**(1): 1 - 8.

Mitra, G.N., Sahu, S.K. and Parida, S.K. (1992). Integrated nutrient management of rice (*Oryza sativa*) - groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) cropping system in Agric Haploquepts. *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, **62** (6): 391 - 394.

Powar, S.L. and Mehta, V.B. (1997). Integrated nutrient management for rice in coastal saline soil of high rainfall area. *Ann. agric. Res.*, **18** (4) : 538 - 540.

Ramamurthy, V. and Shivashankar, K. (1996). Residual effect of organic matter and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of maize. *Indian J. Agron.*, **41** (2) : 247 - 251.

Sahrawat, K.L. (1982). Nitrogen availability indices for submerged rice soils. *Agron. J.*, 36: 416-447.

Samui, R.C., Kundu, A.L., Mazumdar, D. and Mani, P.K. (1998). Integrated nutrient management in rice - wheat system in West Bengal.

Sharma, R.P., Roy, R.K. and Jha, C.B. (1988). Production potential and economic of rice - wheat sequence as influenced by organic and inorganic fertilizers. *Ann. agric. Res.*, **9** (2) : 280 - 283.

Received : March, 2009; Accepted : May, 2009