Differentials between university men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness components

■ M. RAJAVELU, P. KANAGASABAI AND P. KARTHIKEYAN

Received: 09.09. 2011; Accepted: 15.01. 2012

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:

M. RAJAVELU

Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, CHIDAMBARAM (T.N.) INDIA

■ ABSTRACT

The study was conduced on the difference between University men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness indicated that there was no significant difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on speed. Similarly, on agility also there was no significant difference between university men offensive and defensive football players. In football players, the cardio-respiratory endurance also did not show any significant difference.

- Key Words: Physical education, Physical fitness components, Foot ball players
- How to cite this paper: Rajavelu, M., Kanagasabai, P. and Karthikeyan, P. (2012). Differentials between university men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness components. *Internat. J. Phy. Edu.*, 5 (1):99-100

Physical education is an education process that has its aim the improvement of human performance and enhancement of human performance and enhancement of human development. Through the medium of physical activities, physical education includes the acquisition and rejoinements of motor skills, the development and maintenance of fitness for optimal health and well being the attainment of knowledge about physical activities and exercise (Getchell, 1976).

Physical education, an integral part of the total education process is a field of endeavour that has as its aim of the development of physically, mentally, emotionally and socially fit (Ardy, 1994). Citizens through the medium of physical activities that have been selected with a view to realising these outcomes. Physical education includes the acquisition and refinement of motor skills, the development and maintenance of fitness for optional health and well being, the attainment of knowledge and the growth of positive attitude towards physical activity.

The purpose of the study was to find out the difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness variables namely, speed, agility and cardio-respiratory endurance. To achieve this purpose of the study, sixty men university football players studying in the Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University were selected as subjects at random. Among them, thirty offensive players and thirty defensive players were selected. Their age ranged between 18 to 24 years.

The following physical fitness components namely, speed, agility and cardio-respiratory endurance were selected as dependent variables for this study. The following test items were selected for the study to collect the relevant data. Speed was assessed by conducting 50 mts run. Agility was assessed through shuttle run. Cardio-respiratory endurance was assessed by cooper's 12 min run/walk test. The independent 't' ratio was used to find out the difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness components, if any. The .05 level of confidence was fixed to test the level of significance which was considered as an appropriate.

The mean, standard deviation and 't' ratio values between university men offensive and defensive football players on selected physical fitness components are

Table 1: The mean, standard deviation and 't' ratio values between university men offensive and defensive football players of selected physical fitness components Variables Mean SD 't' value Speed Offensive football players 7.51 1.34 Defensive football players 7.73 1.26 1.27 Agility Offensive football players 7.54 1.23 Defensive football players 7.67 1.01 0.448 Cardio-respiratory endurance Offensive football players 1578.61 20.68 1568.72 Defensive football players 21.11 1.834

represented in Table 1.

The results presented in Table 1 show that the mean values of offensive and defensive university men football players on speed were 7.51 and 7.73, respectively. The obtained 't' ratio value 1.27, was lesser than required table value 2.00 with df 58. Hence, it was concluded that there was no significant difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on speed.

Table 1 also shows that the mean values of offensive and defensive university men football players on agility were 7.54 and 7.67, respectively. The obtained 't' ratio value 0.448 was lesser than required table value 2.00 with df 58. Hence, it was concluded that there was no significant difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on agility.

The data of Table 1 further show that the mean values of offensive and defensive university men football players on cardio-respiratory endurance were 1578.61 and 1568.72,

respectively. The obtained 't' ratio value 1.834 was lesser than required table value 2.00 with df 58. Hence, it was concluded that there was no significant difference between university men offensive and defensive football players on cardiorespiratory endurance.

Authors' affiliations:

P. KANAGASABAI AND P. KARTHIKEYAN, Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, CHIDAMBARAM (T. N.) INDIA

■ REFERENCES

Ardy Friend Berg (1994). The fact on file dictionary of fitness. The Time Minor Publications, U.S.A.

Getchell, Bud (1976). Physical fitness: A way of life. Jon Wiley & Sons. New York.

^{*}Significant at .05 level of confidence (Table value required for significance with df 58 was 2.00)