

RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPPHT/6.1/1-5

Response of different chemical treatment on shelf-life and quality of tomato fruits (cv. GT-1) during storage in summer season

■ H.J. SENJALIYA*, R.P. RAJPUT¹, S.N. GALANI¹ AND G.S. MANGAROLIYA¹

Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

¹Agriculture Research Station (Fruit Crops), Junagadh Agricultural University, Mahuva, BHAVNAGAR (GUJARAT) INDIA

Email : hjpatel25@gmail.com

*Author for Correspondence

■ **Research chronicle** : Received : 11.11.2014; Revised : 01.04.2015; Accepted : 15.04.2015

SUMMARY :

The present investigation was carried out to study the response of different chemical treatment on shelf-life and quality of tomato fruits. The fruit samples were drawn and analysed periodically for various physical and bio-chemical changes. In summer results revealed that tomato fruits treated with post harvest treatments of KMnO_4 + BLE reduce ripening and gave maximum marketable fruits with increased shelf-life of tomato fruits. The minimum loss in weight was noted when tomato fruits treated with 2 per cent bael leaf extract. The fruits treated with KMnO_4 3000 ppm + 2 per cent BLE increased the per cent marketable fruits with increase in storage period. Maximum shelf-life (10 days) was observed in fruits treated with KMnO_4 2000 ppm + 2 per cent BLE and KMnO_4 2000 ppm alone. Maximum acidity was recorded in T_1 with 2 per cent bael leaf extract. Ascorbic acid content was highest with the post harvest application of GA_3 400 ppm in combination with 2 per cent bael leaf extract. The fruits treated with GA_3 increases TSS, reducing sugar and total sugar at initial and later stage of storage, but acidity was increased at later stage of storage. Maximum percentage of reducing and total sugar was recorded in control condition.

KEY WORDS : Tomato, Summer, Storage, Shelf-life, Bale leaf extract, KMnO_4 , GA_3

How to cite this paper : Senjaliya, H.J., Rajput, R.P., Galani, S.N. and Mangaroliya, G.S. (2015). Response of different chemical treatment on shelf-life and quality of tomato fruits (cv. GT-1) during storage in summer season. *Internat. J. Proc. & Post Harvest Technol.*, **6** (1) : 1-5.

Tomato is a solanaceous fruit and one of the most popular vegetables grown all over the world. Tomato ripening used to be thought of simply as the result of a series of degradative processes, probably because some of the more obvious changes require the action of hydrolytic enzymes. In tomato application of

chemical as pre-and post harvest sprays or dips offer great promise in extending the shelf-life of horticultural commodities. Use of GA_3 and kinetin having anti-senescence properties has been found effective in extending the shelf-life of many fruits and vegetables. Application of KMnO_4 as ethylene scrubber has been

reported to play an important role in prolonging the shelf-life of mature green and red ripe tomatoes. Application of bael leaf extract helps in reducing the micro-flora. In order to achieve the following objectives, the performances of these chemicals in extending the storage life the tomato fruits were dipped in its solutions.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during summer and *Kharif* season on tomato cv. GT-1. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with two concentration of GA₃ viz., 200 and 400 ppm and three concentration of KMnO₄ viz., 1000, 2000 and 3000 ppm and its combination with 2 per cent bael leaf extract (BLE) with three replications. Each treatment was consisted of 2 kilogram fruits and stored in ambient condition. The tomato fruits were free from mechanical damage, bruises, sun burns and fungal/insect attacks and fruits were uniform size and maturity, harvested at turning stage retaining small pedicel intact, having specific gravity between 1.02 and 1.04 were selected in summer season.

Method of treatment :

The treatments were given as post-harvest dips. In these treatments, the fruits were dipped for 10 minutes in gibberellic acid 200 and 400 ppm, bael leaf extract 2 per cent and then air dried for 30 minutes after each treatment. For the treatment of KMnO₄. This KMnO₄ 1000, 2000 and 3000 ppm solution applied only to the newspaper, which were dipped in the aqueous solution and after complete drying used as a cushioning material in the cardboard boxes employed for packaging of tomato fruits. In post-harvest dips for mixed application, for gibberellic acid and bael leaf extract treatment, fruits were dipped in mix solution of gibberellic acid and bael leaf extract for 10 minutes. For KMnO₄ and bael leaf extract treatment, fruit were dipped in solution of bael leaf extract at 10 minutes and then fruits were wrapped in newspapers and placed in cardboard boxes.

Statistical analysis:

The obtained data was analyzed by statistical significant at P<0.05 level, S.E. and C.D. at 5 per cent level by the procedure given by (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

Physiological loss in weight :

On the 4th day of storage the minimum weight loss (1.32%) was observed in treatment T₉ and at 12th day of storage the minimum per cent weight loss 6.24 per cent was observed in treatment T₁, respectively. Reduced weight loss with bael leaf extract treatments has been reported by Kumar *et al.* (2005) and Kumar *et al.* (2005).

Marketable fruits (%) :

The maximum marketable fruits (60.00 %) on 4th day of storage were observed in treatment T₁₂ and at 12th day of storage maximum marketable fruit per cent (63.89 %) was found in treatment T₁₁, respectively. In the initial stage fruits were hard, green and unmarketable but at later stages of storage treatment T₁₁ was found best for ripening and it gave firm, bright red colour with good flavour fruits, as the concentrations of the treatment increased the colour and quality of fruits were deteriorated, as higher levels failed to ripen and restricted breakdown of chlorophyll and conversion of starch to sugar. The results were confirmed with Kumar *et al.* (2005).

Shelf-life (days) :

The data indicated that the shelf-life of tomato fruits was significantly influenced by various post-harvest treatments during storage. The maximum shelf-life (10.00 days) was found in treatments T₁₀ and T₇. It was due to the compounds of KMnO₄ + BLE that minimize the degradation of chlorophyll, ascorbic acid, less carotenoid accumulation, rate of respiration, protein breakdown and decrease in enzyme activities. The findings were by Stead and Chithambo (1980); Kumar *et al.* (2005); Sharma and Dashora (1999); Sandooja *et al.* (1987) and Kumar *et al.* (1988) in tomatoes and Varit and Songsin (2011) in banana.

Spoilage fruit (%) :

The data indicated that lowest spoilage of fruit (0.0 %) was noted in treatment T₅, T₉ and T₁₁ on 4th day of storage and at 12th day of storage minimum spoilage of fruit (30.00 %) was noted in treatment T₁₀, respectively.

Table 1 : Effect of post harvest treatments on physiological loss in weight, marketable fruits, shelf-life, spoilage and firmness of tomato fruit during storage in summer season

Tr. No.	Treatments	Physiological loss in weight (%)		Marketable fruits (%)		Shelf-life in days	Spoilage (%)		Firmness (kg/cm ²)	
		Storage period (days)					Storage period (days)			
		4	12	4	12		4	12	0	12
T ₁	2% Bael leaf extract	1.38	6.24	45.68	55.29	9	11.25	38.45	0.34	0.20
T ₂	GA ₃ 200 ppm	1.79	7.14	44.68	51.64	8	15.86	48.64	0.35	0.20
T ₃	GA ₃ 400 ppm	1.93	7.15	56.74	50.43	9	17.53	47.35	0.37	0.19
T ₄	GA ₃ 200 ppm + 2% BLE	1.82	7.10	58.96	52.36	7	16.23	42.72	0.36	0.17
T ₅	GA ₃ 400 ppm + 2% BLE	1.34	6.50	51.26	53.48	8	0.00	38.33	0.36	0.19
T ₆	KMnO ₄ 1000 ppm	1.39	7.25	49.85	55.84	9	8.20	32.69	0.34	0.20
T ₇	KMnO ₄ 2000 ppm	1.85	7.16	55.24	56.28	10	7.20	36.46	0.33	0.21
T ₈	KMnO ₄ 3000 ppm	1.87	7.09	52.54	52.68	8	12.67	35.28	0.36	0.18
T ₉	KMnO ₄ 1000 ppm + 2% BLE	1.32	7.21	51.28	59.68	9	0.00	33.59	0.35	0.19
T ₁₀	KMnO ₄ 2000 ppm + 2% BLE	1.37	7.29	47.67	60.48	10	4.20	30.00	0.34	0.22
T ₁₁	KMnO ₄ 3000 ppm + 2% BLE	1.61	7.18	48.36	63.89	9	0.00	35.94	0.35	0.20
T ₁₂	Control	1.59	9.10	60.00	40.21	5	20.14	58.21	0.36	0.16
	S.E. ±	0.02	0.09	0.67	0.75	0.17	0.13	0.55	0.01	0.00
	C.D. (P=0.05)	0.06	0.27	1.95	2.19	0.49	0.36	1.61	0.01	0.01
	C.V. (%)	2.16	2.19	2.23	2.39	3.43	2.29	2.41	2.47	2.11

BLE = Bael leaf extract

Table 2: Effect of post harvest treatments on total soluble solids, reducing sugar, total sugar, acidity and ascorbic acid of tomato fruit during storage in summer season

Tr. No.	Treatments	Total soluble solids (%)		Reducing sugar (%)		Total sugar (%)		Acidity (%)		Ascorbic acid mg/100 g	
		Storage period (days)				Storage period (days)					
		0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12
T ₁	Bael leaf extract 2% (BLE)	4.24	5.35	0.26	1.70	0.61	4.98	0.76	0.65	29.81	37.45
T ₂	GA ₃ 200 ppm	4.27	5.47	0.25	1.72	0.63	4.99	0.77	0.63	29.79	36.96
T ₃	GA ₃ 400 ppm	4.26	5.42	0.24	1.74	0.64	5.00	0.78	0.64	29.76	36.97
T ₄	GA ₃ 200 ppm + 2% BLE	4.24	5.33	0.26	1.68	0.63	5.01	0.75	0.65	29.78	36.72
T ₅	GA ₃ 400 ppm + 2% BLE	4.25	5.28	0.25	1.70	0.65	4.94	0.78	0.64	29.75	37.50
T ₆	KMnO ₄ 1000 ppm	4.25	5.32	0.27	1.56	0.62	4.92	0.77	0.64	29.77	36.91
T ₇	KMnO ₄ 2000 ppm	4.27	5.40	0.26	1.67	0.61	4.91	0.76	0.63	29.76	37.15
T ₈	KMnO ₄ 3000 ppm	4.26	5.45	0.24	1.68	0.64	4.89	0.75	0.62	29.80	37.20
T ₉	KMnO ₄ 1000 ppm + 2% BLE	4.25	5.49	0.25	1.65	0.63	4.85	0.76	0.61	29.78	36.97
T ₁₀	KMnO ₄ 2000 ppm + 2% BLE	4.24	5.43	0.26	1.65	0.62	4.84	0.77	0.63	29.77	37.22
T ₁₁	KMnO ₄ 3000 ppm + 2% BLE	4.27	5.47	0.24	1.61	0.65	4.83	0.77	0.61	29.79	37.21
T ₁₂	Control	4.26	5.43	0.27	1.81	0.66	5.13	0.76	0.59	29.76	36.25
	S.E. ±	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.21
	C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.13	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.16	0.02	0.03	NS	0.60
	C.V. (%)	1.92	1.39	2.54	2.70	2.37	1.93	1.51	2.76	0.56	0.96

BLE= Bael leaf extract; NS = Non-significant

The antisenescence properties of KMnO_4 + bael leaf extract help in maintaining the fruits on fresh condition during storage, that findings of Kumar *et al.* (2005).

Firmness of fruit (kg/cm²) :

The highest firmness (0.30 kg/cm²) was found in treatment T₉ at 4th day of storage and at 12th day of storage the highest firmness (0.22 kg/cm²) was found in treatment T₁₀, respectively. The similar results were confirmed with Sashikala *et al.* (2002); Kumar *et al.* (2005) in tomato and Seymour (1993) and Siribon and Banlusilp (2004) in banana.

Total soluble solids (TSS) (%) :

The data indicated that the TSS of fruits was significantly influenced by various post harvest treatments during storage. The highest TSS (5.49%) was observed in treatment T₉ on 12th day of storage. Due to accumulation of sugar as consequence of starch hydrolysis, while the later it decreased due to consumption of sugar for respiration during storage. Similar trend was recorded by Kumar *et al.* (2005); Sandooja *et al.* (1987) and Kumar *et al.* (1988) in their findings in tomato.

Reducing sugar (%) :

The highest reducing sugar content of 1.81 per cent was observed in treatment T₁₂ on 12th day of storage. It is corroborated to the fact that the treatments stimulated the rate of starch hydrolysis and increased rate of respiration and oxidation might be responsible for retention of sugars

during storage. Similar trend was obtained by Viradia (1982).

Total sugar (%) :

There was significant difference found in various treatments on 12th day of storage. The highest total sugar content of 5.13 per cent was observed in T₁₂ on 12th day of storage. It can also be observed that total sugar content was reduced in the later period of storage. This may be due to their rapid utilization in respiration. These are in confirmation with those obtained by Vyas (2004) and Wills *et al.* (1989) in banana.

Acidity (%) :

It is apparent from the data that acidity of tomato fruits in various treatments exhibited significant difference during storage and there was constant decrease in acidity during the entire period of storage. On 12th day of storage highest acidity (0.65%) was observed in treatment T₁ and T₄. Due to their effects on the utilization of organic acids in respiration which delays ripening and restricts starch degradation hence, results in higher acidity contents. This result was confirmed with the findings of Yeneko and Loo (1980).

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp) :

The data indicated that the ascorbic acid content in various treatments exhibited significant difference during days of storage. On 12th day of storage highest ascorbic acid (37.50 mg/100 g) was noted in treatment T₁₀. This result was confirmed with the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2005).

LITERATURE CITED

- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research* 2nd Ed., John Wiley and Sons, NEW YORK, U.S.A.
- Kumar, J., Thareja, R.K., Kalloo, G., Banerjee, M.K. and Arora, S.K. (1988). Effect of ethylene absorbent on shelf-life of tomato cv. HISAR ARUN. *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, **18** (3): 224-227.
- Kumar, S.V., Rana, M.K., Kumar, Jitender and Sharma, N.K. (2005). Effect of various chemicals on softening and storage losses of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **34** (1/2): 184-186.
- Kumar, Suriender, Kumar, Jitender and Godara, R.K. (2005). Effect of different types of polyethylene and Bael leaf extract on shelf-life of Aonla. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **34** (1/2): 49-50.
- Sandooja, J.K., Sharma, R.K., Pandita, M.L. and Batra, B.R. (1987). Studies on shelf-life of different maturity stages of tomato as affected by various chemicals. *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, **17** (1): 39-46.
- Sashikala, P., Suresh, C.P. and Kabir, J. (2002). Studies on post-harvest fruit characters influencing shelf-life of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Res. Crops*, **3** (1): 129-133.
- Sharma, P.D. and Dashora, L.K. (1999). Effect of packaging, gibberellic acid and potassium permanganate on the post harvest shelf-life of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Scient. Hort.*, **6**: 93-98.

- Siriboon, N. and Banluslip, P. (2004).** Study on the ripening process of “Namwa” banana. *Annu. J. Technol.*, **7**(4):159-164.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1994).** *Statistical methods*. 1994 Eighth Edition. East-West Press Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Stead, D.E. and Chithambo, G.S.G. (1980).** Studies on the storage of tropical fruits in polythene bags. Luso: *J. Sci. Technol.*, **1** (2): 3-9.
- Varit, S. and Songsin, P. (2011).** Effects of hot water treatments on the physiology and quality of ‘Kluai Khai’ banana, *Internet. Food Res. J.*, **18**(3):1013-1016.
- Viradia, R.R. (1982).** Effect of growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of tomato. M.Sc. Thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Navsari (GUJARAT) INDIA.
- Vyas, Y.H. (2004).** Effect of Bio-regulators on growth, yield and quality of tomato. M.Sc. Thesis, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (GUJARAT) INDIA.
- Wills, R.H.H., McGlasson, W.B., Graham, D., Lee, T.H. and Hall, E.G. (1989).** Post-harvest: An Introduction to the physiology and handling of fruits and vegetables. *An AVI Book, Van Nostrand Reinhold*, New York, 173pp.
- Yeneko and Loo (1980).** Effect of potassium and calcium fertilizers on the yield, quality and stability on tomato. *Chinese J. Soil Sci.*, **22** (3): 130-131.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ of Excellence ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
6th Year