# **R**ESEARCH **P**APER

ADVANCE RESEARCH JOURNAL OF C R P I M P R O V E M E N T Volume 6 | Issue 1 | June, 2015 | 12-19 ••••• e ISSN-2231-640X

DOI : 10.15740/HAS/ARJCI/6.1/12-19 Visit us: www.researchjournal.co.in

#### AUTHORS' INFO

Associated Co-author : 'Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, BHUBANESWAR (ODISHA) INDIA

#### Author for correspondence: PLABITA RAY

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, BHUBANESWAR (ODISHA) INDIA Email: plabitaray@gmail.com

# Effect of fertility, genotypes and spacing on yield and soil properties of rice under SRI during dry season in coastal Odisha

PLABITA RAY AND T. BARIK<sup>1</sup>

 ${f A}$ BSTRACT : A study was carried out at the Agronomy Main Research Station, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar during the Rabi seasons of 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 in split plot design with three replications. The six main plots consisted of the combinations of three fertility levels and two genotypes; while the subplots had four different methods of planting. The pooled data for both the years revealed that the fertility level with 3 splits of N @ 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS+25 per cent top dressing at 60 DAS ( $F_2$ ) recorded the significantly highest grain yield (6424 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) while HI (0.44) of highest value was recorded with  $F_2$  (organics). The hybrid 'Arise gold' produced significantly higher (6606 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yield as compared to that of conventional variety Lalat ( $5214 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The treatment of S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm square planting with two spaced (5cm) seedlings hill-1 recorded significantly highest grain yield (6811 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with the treatment  $S_4$  - 30 cm with three seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup> in a traingular method (6642 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In case of grain nutrient uptake F<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>1</sub> (hybrid 'Arise gold') and  $S_2$  recorded the highest value whereas in straw  $F_2$ ,  $V_1$  (Lalat) and  $S_3$  (30 cm with two seedlings with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest uptake. The highest pH was recorded with F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> (25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest EC while the highest organic carbon percentage was recorded in  $F_2$ ,  $V_2$  and  $S_4$  (30 cm with three seedlings with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings in a triangular method hill-1). The highest available soil N, P and K was recorded with  $F_3$ ,  $V_2$  and  $S_1$ .  $F_3$  recorded the highest value in microbiological properties.

KEY WORDS : SRI, Fertility levels, Organic, Genotypes, Planting geometry, Microbial property

How to cite this paper : Ray, Plabita and Barik, T. (2015). Effect of fertility, genotypes and spacing on yield and soil properties of rice under SRI during dry season in coastal Odisha. *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, 6(1): 12-19.

Paper History : Received : 30.01.2015; Revised : 08.04.2015; Accepted : 10.05.2015

Records the state of the most ancient crops being cultivated in 117 countries, hence, called as "global grain". It is the staple cereal food grain of majority of India's over one billion population, contributing nearly 44 per cent of total food grain production. In India, it is grown over an area of 44.1 mha with a total production of 105 m t and a productivity of

2393 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. India has to produce 114 mt of rice by the year 2030 to meet the food grain requirement of burgeoning population. Irrigated rice in particular, is a heavy consumer of water. It takes 5000 litres of water to produce 1 kg of rice and consumes 7650 m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> (CMIE, 2012). As water for irrigation is becoming limited producing more rice with less water, as well as with less

land and minimum fertilizer if possible, is important for sustainability of rice production systems. The possible way to increase the productivity is through formulating better production technologies with improved cultivars and efficient nutrient management practices. The system of rice intensification (SRI) was introduced in India during the year 2000 as a viable alternative of rice cultivation that enhances the productivity while minimizing the inputs. However, while highlighting SRI (Uphoff et al., 2002) stated that the best SRI yields can be achieved with HYV's or hybrids. Some farmers' experiences indicate that, only long and medium duration rice varieties perform better than short duration varieties. Hence, it is necessary to evaluate and harness the potential of different duration rice varieties developed at research stations for their suitability to SRI method. Our farmers are using more and more chemical fertilizers, excessive irrigation and more pesticides that have adverse impacts on soil health and crop productivity. The use of organic manures such as farm yard manure (FYM) and vermicompost has proved to be a viable component for rice across the globe. At the same time the plant geometry and spatial configuration exploit the initial vigour of the genotypes, enhances soil aeration and provides congenial condition for better establishment also need to be evaluated. Keeping the above considerations in view, an investigation was planned to evaluate rice cultivars in relation to various fertility levels in SRI.

### **R**ESEARCH **P**ROCEDURE

A field experiment was carried out in the Rabi seasons of 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 at the Agronomic Main Research Station, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar located at a latitude and a longitude of 20°15' N and 85°52'E, respectively, with an altitude of 25.9m above the mean sea level. The station comes under the East and South Eastern Coastal Plain Agro-climatic Zone of Odisha. The texture of the soil was sandy loam with an average pH of 5.83, EC 0.0125 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, 0.54 per cent of organic carbon, 159.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of available nitrogen, 51.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of available phosphorus and 332.38 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of potash. The experiment was conducted in Split Plot Design with three replications with the following treatments. For the main plot the combinations of fertility levels of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  (100-50-50  $N-P_2O_5-K_2O$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>-N splitted as 50% at planting + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS; 100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg

ha<sup>-1</sup> -N splitted as 50% at planting + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS+25% top dressing at 60 DAS and organic-FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with variety of  $V_1$ (Hybrid -OFD 6444 gold or 'Arise gold') and V  $_{2}$  (Lalat) were taken. For the subplot four spacing of  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  and  $S_4$  (25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>; 25 cm with two seedlings with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings hill-1; 30 cm with two seedlings with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup> and 30 cm with three seedlings with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>) were taken. Sprouted seeds were sown in wet nursery beds with the practices recommended for SRI nursery. Fourteen day old seedlings were transplanted on the main field. Crop was weeded twice *i.e.*, at 30 and 60 days after transplanting with cono weeder in a crisscross manner. Experimental plots were kept at saturation upto panicle initiation stage by suitably maintaining the water level in the side channels of each bed. Thereafter, a thin film of water was allowed over the beds till 10 days before the harvest of the crop.

## Research Analysis and Reasoning

The treatment of  $F_2$  reported the highest grain and straw yield in the first year whereas in the second year  $F_{a}$  recorded highest grain yield which may be attributed to cumulative application of organics in later (Table 1). Chemical fertilizers have been reported to give higher yield in SRI during *Rabi* by Surekha *et al.* (2012). It was seen that both  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  recorded the same HI for both the years. The hybrid rice Arise gold  $(V_1)$  was found to be significantly higher (23.77% in first year and 30.14%) in the second year) in grain yield as compared to that of  $V_{2}$  for both the years. Hybrid rice giving higher yield over conventional rice which is accordance to the findings of Islam *et al.* (2010). As far as the spacing goes, the treatment S<sub>2</sub> recorded the highest grain yield which was at par with the treatment of  $S_4$ . The above two planting geometry had higher plant population (100 and 106.25 %, respectively) and yield attributing characters over S<sub>1</sub> planting geometry which may be the reason for their grain yield to remain statistically at par. Simillar trend was noticed for the dimension of HI. However, the treatment of  $S_3$  recorded significantly highest straw yield (8.77 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the first year and 8.60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the second year).

Organics had more uptake and reduced loss of N from soil (Table 2 and 3). Increased potassium uptake with organic source of FYM was due to priming effect

EFFECT OF FERTILITY, GENOTYPES & SPACING ON YIELD & SOIL PROPERTIES OF RICE UNDER SRI DURING DRY SEASON

Treatments	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Straw yie	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		HI	
Treatments	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	
Fertility levels							
$F_1$	5.40	4.41	8.06	7.13	0.39	0.37	
$F_2$	6.60	6.25	8.87	8.19	0.44	0.43	
F <sub>3</sub>	6.50	6.30	8.27	8.01	0.44	0.44	
S.E. ±	0.24	0.06	0.15	0.20	0.01	0.01	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.75	0.18	0.47	0.64	0.04	0.02	
Variety							
$\mathbf{V}_1$	6.82	6.39	8.62	8.39	0.44	0.43	
$V_2$	5.51	4.91	8.18	7.17	0.40	0.40	
S.E. ±	0.19	0.05	0.12	0.16	0.01	0.01	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.61	0.15	0.38	0.52	0.03	0.02	
Spacing							
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	4.64	4.43	7.82	6.81	0.37	0.39	
$S_2$	7.12	6.51	8.42	7.67	0.45	0.45	
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	5.95	5.36	8.77	8.60	0.40	0.38	
$S_4$	6.96	6.32	8.59	8.02	0.45	0.43	
S.E. ±	0.18	0.15	0.12	0.22	0.01	0.01	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.53	0.42	0.36	0.62	0.03	0.03	

 $F_1$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_2$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_3$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>4</sub>-30 cm with three seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>

Treatments	Nitr	ogen	Phosp	Phosphorus		Potash	
Treatments	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	
Fertility							
F <sub>1</sub>	62.34	50.56	10.10	7.32	14.47	11.57	
F <sub>2</sub>	76.81	72.37	12.48	12.63	18.18	16.65	
F <sub>3</sub>	75.07	74.09	12.78	13.35	18.05	18.68	
S.E. ±	2.99	1.53	0.50	0.44	0.571	0.503	
C.D. (P=0.05)	9.43	4.83	1.57	1.37	1.8	1.59	
Variety							
$\mathbf{V}_1$	79.25	75.15	13.61	13.15	19.01	17.21	
$V_2$	63.57	56.20	9.96	9.06	14.79	14.05	
S.E. ±	2.44	1.25	0.41	0.36	0.466	0.411	
C.D. (P=0.05)	7.70	3.94	1.28	1.12	1.47	1.3	
Spacing							
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	53.43	50.10	8.72	8.01	12.48	12.83	
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	83.12	76.82	13.95	13.42	20.28	17.2	
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	68.62	61.67	11.21	10.37	15.98	14.63	
$S_4$	80.45	74.10	13.26	12.60	18.86	17.86	
S.E. ±	2.15	1.73	0.56	0.46	0.648	0.465	
C.D. (P=0.05)	6.16	4.97	1.60	1.33	1.86	1.33	

 $F_1$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_2$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_3$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>-30 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>

Treatments -	Nitr	ogen	Phos	phorus	Pot	ash
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
F <sub>1</sub>	31.69	28.84	4.78	4.197	137.87	122.51
$F_2$	36.00	35.22	5.72	5.053	157.4	144.80
F <sub>3</sub>	35.38	34.13	4.66	4.71	149.52	143.38
S.E. ±	1.09	1.34	0.19	0.19	3.29	4.91
C.D. (P=0.05)	3.44	4.21	0.60	0.61	10.37	15.48
Variety						
$\mathbf{V}_1$	36.12	36.03	5.58	5.15	153.41	149.07
$V_2$	32.60	29.43	4.53	4.15	143.12	124.73
S.E. ±	0.89	1.09	0.16	0.16	2.69	4.01
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.81	3.43	0.49	0.50	8.47	12.64
Spacing						
$S_1$	31.17	27.58	4.69	4.02	135.9	118.44
$S_2$	33.83	31.85	4.82	4.49	148.27	134.82
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	37.18	37.09	5.77	4.98	156.42	153.29
$S_4$	35.25	34.39	4.93	5.10	152.46	141.05
S.E. ±	1.11	1.27	0.22	0.17	3.51	3.77
C.D. (P=0.05)	3.17	3.65	0.63	0.48	10.07	10.81

 $F_1$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_2$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS+25% top dressing at 60 DAS),  $F_3$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>4</sub>-30 cm with three seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>

Treatments	p	H	EC (d	dS/m)		arbon (%)
Treatments	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
F <sub>1</sub>	5.42	5.38	0.18	0.19	0.97	0.97
$F_2$	5.25	5.31	0.32	0.33	0.93	0.94
F <sub>3</sub>	5.77	5.73	0.18	0.18	0.74	0.77
S.E. ±						
C.D. (P=0.05)						
Variety						
$\mathbf{V}_1$	5.42	5.41	0.18	0.19	0.82	0.84
V <sub>2</sub>	5.54	5.54	0.27	0.27	0.94	0.95
S.E. ±						
C.D. (P=0.05)						
Spacing						
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	5.33	5.37	0.25	0.25	0.87	0.9
$S_2$	5.56	5.6	0.20	0.21	0.80	0.77
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	5.44	5.4	0.23	0.24	0.90	0.92
$S_4$	5.59	5.54	0.23	0.23	0.95	0.98
S.E. ±						
C.D. (P=0.05)						

 $F_1$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_2$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_3$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>4</sub>-30 cm with three seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>

EFFECT OF FERTILITY, GENOTYPES & SPACING ON YIELD & SOIL PROPERTIES OF RICE UNDER SRI DURING DRY SEASON

Treatments -	1	Ν		P	4	K
Treatments —	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
F <sub>1</sub>	184.22	181.82	84.44	80.84	228.06	234.17
$F_2$	165.43	153.63	81.12	74.99	204.82	206.8
F <sub>3</sub>	214.40	188.59	138.47	142.52	233.43	237.19
S.E. ±	3.55	2.79	0.85	0.596	3.323	5.004
C.D. (P=0.05)	11.18	8.79	2.67	1.88	10.47	15.77
Variety						
$V_1$	178.41	161.91	99.00	96.9	214.85	212.31
$V_2$	197.62	187.46	103.69	102	229.36	239.8
S.E. ±	2.90	2.28	0.69	0.486	2.713	4.086
C.D. (P=0.05)	9.13	7.18	2.18	1.53	8.55	12.87
Spacing						
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	209.18	195.40	104.77	103.18	238.88	247.31
$S_2$	176.83	164.41	99.42	97.3	218.71	226.56
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	187.98	174.32	101.20	99.83	214.87	210.66
$S_4$	178.08	164.59	99.99	97.48	215.95	219.68
S.E. ±	2.12	1.74	0.37	0.443	3.636	3.721
C.D. (P=0.05)	6.06	4.98	1.05	1.27	10.43	10.67

 $F_1$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_2$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_3$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>4</sub>-30 cm with three seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup>

Table 6: Actinomycetes population (CFU per gram) of rice grown soil for the year 2013-2014 and 2014-2015

Tractmonto	Active tillering		Panicle in	nitiation	Post harvest		
Treatments -	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	
Fertility							
$F_1$	2.22	1.82	4.80	5.08	6.71	7.18	
F <sub>2</sub>	5.24	5.12	8.97	8.12	12.60	13.00	
F <sub>3</sub>	10.63	10.51	13.11	13.16	17.48	17.45	
S.E. ±	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.32	
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.63	0.72	0.70	0.87	0.72	1.02	
Variety							
$\mathbf{V}_1$	5.85	5.58	8.74	8.72	12.17	12.53	
$V_2$	6.20	6.06	9.18	8.86	12.36	12.55	
S.E. ±	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.27	
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Spacing							
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	5.89	5.50	9.07	8.52	12.13	12.47	
$S_2$	5.79	5.72	8.81	8.67	12.26	12.57	
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6.18	5.95	8.62	8.91	12.34	12.64	
$S_4$	6.25	6.11	9.34	9.06	12.33	12.48	
S.E. ±	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.16	
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

 $F_{1}$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 50% top dressing at 30 DAS),  $F_{2}$ -100-50-50 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N splitted as 50% at sowing + 25% top dressing at 30 DAS+25% top dressing at 60 DAS),  $F_{3}$ -Organic (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and vernicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); V<sub>1</sub>-Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V<sub>2</sub>-Lalat; S<sub>1</sub>-25 cm with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>-25 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>-30 cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill<sup>-1</sup> NS=Non-significant

#### PLABITA RAY AND T. BARIK

Treatments	Active	Active tillering		initiation	Pos	st harvest
Treatments	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
$F_1$	5.90	5.88	15.96	15.80	26.52	25.90
F <sub>2</sub>	9.58	9.69	23.29	23.78	34.87	35.25
F <sub>3</sub>	12.67	13.13	34.18	32.44	44.39	40.83
S.E. ±	0.26	0.25	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.43
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.81	0.77	1.06	1.31	1.32	1.35
Variety						
$V_1$	9.01	9.48	24.91	23.51	35.16	34.70
V <sub>2</sub>	9.76	9.64	24.04	24.50	35.36	33.28
S.E. ±	0.21	0.20	0.27	0.34	0.34	0.35
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Spacing						
$\mathbf{S}_1$	9.07	9.47	24.51	23.93	34.82	33.86
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	9.12	9.13	24.36	23.67	34.84	33.83
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9.78	9.63	24.34	24.14	35.06	34.74
$S_4$	9.56	10.02	24.68	24.28	36.32	33.53
S.E. ±	0.23	0.20	0.18	0.11	0.08	0.14
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 $\begin{array}{l} F_1-100-50-50 \ \text{N-P}_2\text{O}_5\text{-K}_2\text{O} \ \text{kg} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ (\text{N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 50\% top dressing at 30 DAS)}, \ F_2-100-50-50 \ \text{N-P}_2\text{O}_5\text{-K}_2\text{O} \ \text{kg} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ (\text{N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 25\% top dressing at 30 DAS)}, \ F_3-\text{Organic} \ (\text{FYM 20 t ha}^{-1} \ \text{and vermicompost 2 t ha}^{-1}); \ V_1-\text{Hybrid} \ (\text{OFD 6444 gold}) \ \text{or 'Arise gold', V}_2-\text{Lalat; S}_1-25 \ \text{cm with one seedling hill}^{-1}, \ \text{S}_2-25 \ \text{cm with two seedlings} \ (\text{with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings}) \ \text{hill}^{-1}, \ \text{S}_3-30 \ \text{cm with two seedlings} \ (\text{with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings}) \ \text{in a triangular method hill}^{-1} \ \text{NS=Non-significant} \end{array}$ 

Treatments	Active	tillering	Panicle	nitiation	Post h	narvest
Treatments	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
$F_1$	4.97	5.53	22.86	21.40	24.04	25.32
$F_2$	7.31	8.36	24.32	27.83	34.02	32.54
F <sub>3</sub>	13.05	15.56	32.44	41.20	40.26	41.98
S.E. ±	0.30	0.30	0.49	0.44	0.53	0.42
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.93	0.93	1.53	1.40	1.68	1.32
Variety						
$\mathbf{V}_1$	8.69	9.70	26.51	30.01	32.32	33.39
$V_2$	8.20	9.94	26.58	30.28	33.23	33.16
S.E. ±	0.24	0.24	0.40	0.36	0.44	0.343
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Spacing						
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	8.77	9.59	26.74	29.98	33.11	32.47
$S_2$	8.34	10.10	27.11	29.77	32.26	33.83
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	7.87	9.80	26.51	30.56	32.59	33.83
$S_4$	8.79	9.77	25.81	30.27	33.15	32.98
S.E. ±	0.29	0.23	0.19	0.05	0.16	0.063
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 $\begin{array}{l} F_1-100-50-50 \ N-P_2O_5-K_2O \ kg \ ha^{-1} \ (N \ splitted \ as \ 50\% \ at \ sowing \ + \ 50\% \ top \ dressing \ at \ 30 \ DAS), \ F_2-100-50-50 \ N-P_2O_5-K_2O \ kg \ ha^{-1} \ (N \ splitted \ as \ 50\% \ at \ sowing \ + \ 25\% \ top \ dressing \ at \ 30 \ DAS), \ F_3-Organic \ (FYM \ 20 \ th^{-1} \ and \ vermicompost \ 2 \ th^{-1}); \ V_1-Hybrid \ (OFD \ 6444 \ gold) \ or \ 'Arise \ gold', \ V_2-Lalat; \ S_1-25 \ cm \ with \ one \ seedling \ hill^{-1}, \ S_2-25 \ cm \ with \ two \ seedlings \ (with \ a \ gap \ of \ 5cm \ between \ 2 \ seedlings) \ hill^{-1}, \ S_3-30 \ cm \ with \ two \ seedlings \ (with \ a \ gap \ of \ 5cm \ between \ 2 \ seedlings) \ in \ a \ triangular \ method \ hill^{-1} \ NS=Non-significant \ NS=Non-s$ 

EFFECT OF FERTILITY, GENOTYPES & SPACING ON YIELD & SOIL PROPERTIES OF RICE UNDER SRI DURING DRY SEASON

Table 9 : Fungi population						
Treatments		tillering		initiation		arvest
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
F <sub>1</sub>	3.96	3.35	5.39	3.80	7.62	4.06
F <sub>2</sub>	5.73	5.66	8.66	7.66	10.58	10.73
F <sub>3</sub>	9.78	9.29	11.40	11.19	12.43	12.39
S.E. ±	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.21	0.30	0.29
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.58	0.70	0.56	0.65	0.94	0.90
Variety						
$\mathbf{V}_1$	6.61	6.21	8.65	7.56	10.02	9.06
$V_2$	6.37	5.99	8.32	7.53	10.40	9.06
S.E. ±	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.24	0.23
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Spacing						
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	6.61	6.28	8.55	7.60	10.21	9.17
$S_2$	6.62	5.79	8.55	7.48	9.87	9.11
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6.27	6.28	8.61	7.73	9.71	8.93
$S_4$	6.46	6.04	8.23	7.38	11.05	9.03
S.E. ±	0.21	0.23	0.20	0.23	0.13	0.22
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 $\begin{array}{l} F_{1}\text{-}100\text{-}50\text{-}50\text{ N-P}_{2}O_{5}\text{-}K_{2}O\text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ (N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 50\% top dressing at 30 DAS), } F_{2}\text{-}100\text{-}50\text{-}50\text{ N-P}_{2}O_{5}\text{-}K_{2}O\text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ (N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 25\% top dressing at 30 DAS), } F_{3}\text{-}Organic (FYM 20 t ha}^{-1} \text{ and vermicompost 2 t ha}^{-1}\text{ ); } V_{1}\text{-}Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) \\ \text{ or 'Arise gold', } V_{2}\text{-}Lalat; } S_{1}\text{-}25 \text{ cm with one seedling hill}^{-1}, } S_{2}\text{-}25 \text{ cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill}^{-1}, } S_{3}\text{-}30 \text{ cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill^{-1} \\ \text{ NS=Non-significant} \end{array}$ 

Table 10 : Microbial biom	nass carbon (MBC) µ C p	oer gram of soil for	the year 2013-2014	and 2014-2015		
Treatments	Active	Active tillering		initiation	Post harvest	
Troutmonts	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
Fertility						
F <sub>1</sub>	23.74	30.48	47.96	36.93	82.25	88.33
$F_2$	39.00	41.04	56.95	55.72	113.83	107.81
F <sub>3</sub>	53.74	53.63	75.05	84.43	152.68	152.66
S.E. ±	0.36	0.37	0.44	0.29	0.34	0.32
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.14	1.15	1.37	0.91	1.06	1.01
Variety						
$\mathbf{V}_1$	38.68	42.36	60.56	58.81	115.88	114.63
$V_2$	38.98	41.07	59.42	59.24	116.63	117.91
S.E. ±	0.30	0.30	0.36	0.24	0.28	0.26
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Spacing						
S1	39.34	41.59	60.27	59.54	115.97	115.49
$S_2$	38.34	42.23	59.66	59.07	116.55	116.34
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	39.47	41.30	59.24	58.91	115.81	117.05
$S_4$	38.15	41.74	60.79	58.58	116.68	116.19
S.E. ±	0.16	0.18	0.06	0.24	0.21	0.19
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 $\begin{array}{l} F_{1}\text{-}100\text{-}50\text{-}50\text{ N-P}_{2}O_{5}\text{-}K_{2}O\text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ (N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 50\% top dressing at 30 DAS), } F_{2}\text{-}100\text{-}50\text{-}50\text{ N-P}_{2}O_{5}\text{-}K_{2}O\text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ (N splitted as 50\% at sowing + 25\% top dressing at 30 DAS), } F_{3}\text{-}Organic (FYM 20 t ha}^{-1} \text{ and vermicompost 2 t ha}^{-1}); V_{1}\text{-}Hybrid (OFD 6444 gold) or 'Arise gold', V_{2}\text{-}Lalat; S_{1}\text{-}25 \text{ cm with one seedling hill}^{-1}, S_{2}\text{-}25 \text{ cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) hill}^{-1}, S_{3}\text{-}30 \text{ cm with two seedlings (with a gap of 5cm between 2 seedlings) in a triangular method hill^{-1} \\ NS=Non-significant \\ \end{array}$ 

such that organics on decomposition release organic acids which solubilises fixed and non-exchangable form of K (Rajithasri et al., 2013). Split application of nitrogen to rice under SRI could have maintained a constant supply of nutrients at different growth stages thereby improving the status of growth as well as yield attributes resulting in higher grain yield. Application of nitrogen upto the flowering stage could have attributed to the increased grain filling percentage by increasing nitrogen concentration. Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase (RUBISCO) content, photosynthetic rate of flag leaves and by delayed senescence due to continuous availability of nitrogen in sufficient quantities from sowing to ripening might have reduced the loss of nitrogen due to increase in number of splits of applied nitrogen. Nitrogen is known to be absorbed vigorously at early stages of growth due to greater cell division and accumulation of protein at panicle primordial stages and after flowering the rate of absorption decreases due to decreased root activity and increased dry weight. So, Nitrogen uptake was lower in F.. Similar results were reported by Lal and Mohapatra (1975).

The organic treatment recorded the highest pH. The inorganic treatment with three splits recorded the highest EC and organic carbon percentage (Table 4). The highest soil available N, P and K was recorded with the treatment of organic along with the variety  $V_2$  and  $S_1$  (Table 5). As far as the microbial properties go, organic treatment recorded the highest number of bacteria, azotobacteria, fungi, actinomycetes and microbial biomass carbon (Table 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10). It has been reported that organic farming treatments maintain more microbial population than conventional farming (Wang and Chao, 1995; Bolton *et al.*, 1982; Rangaswami and Venegatesan, 1966 and Krishnakumar *et al.*, 2005). The soil microbes continue to increase with the advancement of crop growth (Krishnakumar *et al.*, 2005).

# LITERATURE CITED

**Bolton, E.F.,** Driks, V.A. and Donnell, M.M.Mc. (1982). The effect of drainage, rotation and fertilizer on corn yield, plant height, leaf nutrient composition and physical properties of prookston clay soil in Southern Western

Ontario. Can. J. Soil. Sci., 62: 297-308.

- Islam, S.M., Peng, S., Visperas, R.M., Sultan, M., Bhuiya, U., Hossain, A.S.M. and Julfiquar, A.W. (2010). Comparative study on yield and yield attributes of hybrid, inbred, and npt rice genotypes in a tropical irrigated ecosystem. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.*, 35(2): 343-353.
- Krishnakumar, S., Saravanan, A., Natrajan, S.K., Veerabadran, V. and Mani, S. (2005). Microbial population and enzymatic activity as influenced by organic farming. *Res. J. Agric.* & *Biolog. Sci.*, 1(1): 85-88.
- Lal, M. and Mohapatra, I.C. (1975). Effect of phosphorus varing in water soluble and citrate soluble phosphorus content on uptake of major nutrients (NPK) by rice. *Oryza*, **12** : 37-42.
- Naidu, J., Rao, J.G. and Rao, U. (2013). Performance of rice under SRI as influenced by effect of graded nutrient levels and time of nitrogen application. *Internat. J. Adv. Biolog. Res.*, 3(4): 572-575.
- **Rajithasri, P.,** Kumar, M.R. and Jayashree, G. (2013). Evaluation of rice (*Oryza sativa*) varities and hybrids in relation to different nutrient management practices for yield, nutrient uptake and economics in SRI. *Ann. Biolog. Res.*, **4**(10) : 25-28.
- Rangaswami, G. and Venegatesan (1966). Agricultural microbiology. Asia publishing House, London, 413 pp.
- Surekha, K., Jhansilakshmi V., Somasekhar, N., Latha, P.C., Kumar, M.C., Shobha Rani, N., Rao, K.V. and Viraktamath, B.C. (2012). Status of organic farming and research experiences in rice. *J. Rice Res.*, 3(1): 23-35.
- Uphoff, N., Fernandes, E.C.M., Longping, Y., Jiming, P., Rafaralahy, S. and Rabenandrasana, J. (2002). Assessment of the system of rice intensification: Proceedings of an International Conference, Sanya, China, April 1-4,. Ithaca, NY: Cornell International Institute for Food Agricultural and Development.
- Wang, Yin-P.O. and Chao, Chen Ching (1995). The effect of organic farming practices on the chemical, physical and biological properties of soil in Taiwan, Sustainable food production in the Asian and Pacific region. FFTC book series, No.46. pp.33-39.

## WEBLIOGRAPHY

CMIE (2012). Centre for monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE). Apple heritage, Mumbai.URL: *http://www.CMIE.com/*.