



e ISSN-2230-9411



RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJFCI/6.2/123-126

## Suitability of different crops and cropping systems for contingency crop planning

A.V. WAKURE, R.M. DHEWARE AND R.G. BHAGYAWANT

**ABSTRACT :** The experiment was conducted at the Dry Land Agricultural Research Centre, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani during *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons of 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. Eight different promising cropping systems of important crop of Marathwada region were tested in varied weather condition under rain fed agriculture. At the end of two year experiment it was investigated that, sowing of all the cropping systems in 26<sup>th</sup> MW recorded the highest mean productivity as compared to delayed sowing after 26<sup>th</sup> MW. The data further revealed that the parlimillet + pigeonpea (C<sub>5</sub>), sorghum + pigeonpea (C<sub>4</sub>), greengram – *Rabi* sorghum (C<sub>8</sub>), soybean + pigeonpea (C<sub>6</sub>) showed the better performance over the sowing dates as compared to all the other cropping systems. The lowest mean productivity of 537 kg/ha was obtained when sorghum + pigeonpea ICS sown in 32<sup>nd</sup> MW (D<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>) followed by D<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>4</sub>C<sub>7</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub> treatment combinations.

**KEY WORDS :** Suitability, Crops, Cropping systems, Contingency crop planning

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Wakure, A.V., Dheware, R.M. and Bhagyawant, R.G. (2015). Suitability of different crops and cropping systems for contingency crop planning. *Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.*, 6 (2) : 123-126.

**ARTICLE CHRONICAL :** Received : 15.09.2015; Revised : 15.11.2015; Accepted : 26.11.2015

### INTRODUCTION

In India, dryland agriculture has a distinct place in agriculture occupying 67 per cent (95.8 M ha) of the cultivated area, contributing 44 per cent of food grains and supporting 40 per cent human and 60 per cent livestock population. Dryland agriculture is characterized by poor resources, infrastructure and low investment in technology and inputs with small and marginal farmers. Besides arable farming, dryland farmers are dependent on livestock as an alternative source of income. This fact

emphasizes the crucial role that dryland agriculture is playing in India economy and food security (Singh *et al.*, 2002). Dryland agriculture is characterized by wide spatio-temporal variations with regard to productivity of crops because of high fluctuations in agro climatic conditions.

Crop production in dryland region is a gamble with rainfall. In order to impact stability and provide sustainability, improved technology and appropriate cropping systems for a given agro-climatic environment. Intercropping is an important practice known for giving additional yield and returns without appreciable reduction in the yield of main crops and gives assurance against total crop failure especially under aberrant weather conditions. Similarly, the double cropping is one of the most important cropping system of increasing productivity and stability of dryland yields (Swaminathan, 1972).

#### MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address of the Correspondence : A.V. WAKURE, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Agricultural University, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA

Address of the Coopted Authors : R.M. DHEWARE AND R.G. BHAGYAWANT, College of Agriculture (V.N.M.K.V.), AMBAJOGAI (M.S.) INDIA

Treat. code	Sowing dates (4)	2006-2007	2007-2008
D <sub>1</sub>	Sowing within a week	26-06-2007	27-06-2007
D <sub>2</sub>	Sowing 15 days after D <sub>1</sub> (28 MW)	10-07-2006	09-07-2007
D <sub>3</sub>	Sowing 15 days after D <sub>2</sub> (30 MW)	25-07-2006	27-07-2007
D <sub>4</sub>	Sowing 15 days after D <sub>3</sub> (32 MW)	09-08-2006	09-08-2007

Amongst different cropping systems, double cropping had given increased productivity in dryland conditions (Ventateshwarulu, 1980).

The climate of Marathwada is characterized by a hot summer followed by general dryness throughout the year expect during the south west monsoon period. The most important crops of the regions are *Kharif* sorghum, cotton, soybean, pearl millet, pigeonpea, green gram, black gram, sunflower and castor. Similarly, *Rabi* sorghum, chickpea, safflower and linseed are grown in post monsoon season (*Rabi*).

Time of sowing is the most important non-monetary input affecting the yield of crops. Even in photo and thermo-insensitive crops, it is a critical input for higher yield. All these intercropping and double cropping are recommended for timely sowing and it was observed that these cropping systems were performed well under normal season. However, the research information on performance of these cropping systems under varied sowing dates (late) was not available to exploit the benefit of unfavorable weather conditions prevailing at a particular time.

Considering the above points in view an experiment entitled "Suitability of different crops and cropping systems for contingency crop planning" was conducted in order to know the best suitable cropping pattern suitable for the meteorological week of Marathwada.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Dryland Agricultural Research Centre, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani during *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons of the year 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. The experiment filed was uniform in soil depth (90 cm) and fairly leveled during both the years of experimentation.

The soil of the experimental filed was medium black clay having more than one mere depth. In general experimental plot was low in nitrogen (N), moderate in available phosphorus, high in available potash and slightly

alkaline in reaction.

Eight different promising cropping systems of important crop of Marathwada region were tested in varied weather condition under rainfed agriculture. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The treatment consisted of four sowing dates as a main plot and eight cropping systems as subs plot treatment having thirty two treatment combinations.

Treat. code	
C <sub>1</sub>	Sole cotton
C <sub>2</sub>	Sole pigeonpea
C <sub>3</sub>	Sole castor
C <sub>4</sub>	Sorghum + pigeonpea (4:2)
C <sub>5</sub>	Pearlmillet + pigeonpea (3:3)
C <sub>6</sub>	Soybean + pigeonpea (4:2)
C <sub>7</sub>	Cotton + soybean (1:1)
C <sub>8</sub>	Greengram – <i>Rabi</i> sorghum

### Other details :

Experimental design	:	Split plot
Replications	:	Three

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The date pertaining to mean productivity as influenced by different sowing dates and cropping systems during 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 are presented in Table 1.

It was observed from the Table 1 that the mean productivity was influenced significantly due to different sowing dates, cropping systems and its interaction during both the years and in pooled analysis. In general, the highest mean productivity of 2451 kg/ha was recorded in 2006-2007 due to favorable rainfall situation as compared to 2007-2008 (1846 kg/ha) whereas, the mean pooled productivity was 1999 kg/ha.

From Table 1 it is revealed that the normal sowing on 26<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> June (D<sub>1</sub>, 26<sup>th</sup> MW) recorded the highest

mean productivity of 3026, 2779, 2903 kg/ha during 2006-2007, 2007-2008 and in pooled data, respectively and it was significantly higher than rest of sowing dates. Similarly, the second highest position in mean productivity was acquired by D<sub>2</sub> (15 days after normal sowing D<sub>1</sub>,

26<sup>th</sup> MW) and it was significantly superior over subsequent delayed sowings during both the years of experimentation. The lowest mean productivity of 1363, 955 and 1159 kg/ha was observed when sowing of cropping system was taken up in D<sub>4</sub>, (32<sup>nd</sup> MW) during

**Table 1 : Mean productivity (kg/ha) of different cropping system as influenced by various showing dates**

Treatments	2006-07	2007-08	Pooled
<b>Sowing dates</b>			
D <sub>1</sub> (26 <sup>th</sup> MW)	3026	2779	2903
D <sub>2</sub> (28 <sup>th</sup> MW)	2458	2252	2355
D <sub>3</sub> (30 <sup>th</sup> MW)	1757	1397	1577
D <sub>4</sub> (32 <sup>nd</sup> MW)	1363	955	1159
S.E. ±	21	26	29
C.D. (P=0.05)	56	69	80
<b>Cropping systems</b>			
C <sub>1</sub> – Sole cotton	1314	1056	1185
C <sub>2</sub> – Sole pigeonpea	1933	1599	1766
C <sub>3</sub> – Sole castor	1986	1759	1873
C <sub>4</sub> – Sorghum + pigeonpea (4:2)	2779	2318	2549
C <sub>5</sub> – Pearl millet + pigeonpea (3:3)	2696	2985	2841
C <sub>6</sub> – Soybean + pigeonpea (4:2)	2170	1849	2010
C <sub>7</sub> – Cotton + soybean (1:1)	1971	1423	1697
C <sub>8</sub> – Greengram – R. sorghum	2359	1777	2068
S.E. ±	39	36	44
C.D. (P=0.05)	106	101	122
<b>Interaction (C x D)</b>			
S.E. ±	77	68	89
C.D. (P=0.05)	209	187	245
Mean	2151	1846	1999

**Table 2 : Interaction effect of sowing dates x cropping systems on mean productivity (kg/ha) (Pooled 2006-2007 and 2007-2008)**

Cropping systems	Sowing dates				Mean
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	D <sub>4</sub>	
C <sub>1</sub> – Sole cotton	1864	1304	899	672	1185
C <sub>2</sub> – Sole pigeonpea	2093	1905	1660	1406	1766
C <sub>3</sub> – Sole castor	2377	1988	1857	1271	1873
C <sub>4</sub> – Sorghum + pigeonpea (4:2)	4816	3884	957	537	2549
C <sub>5</sub> – Pearl millet + pigeonpea (3:3)	3652	3104	2568	2039	2841
C <sub>6</sub> – Soybean + pigeonpea (4:2)	3031	2393	1636	978	2010
C <sub>7</sub> – Cotton + soybean (1:1)	2634	2037	1358	759	1697
C <sub>8</sub> – Greengram – R. sorghum	2752	2229	1681	1610	2068
Mean	2903	2355	1577	1159	1999
	S.E. ±	C.D. (P=0.05)			
Sowing date	29	80			
Cropping system	44	122			
Sowing date x cropping	89	245			

2006-2007, 2007-2008 and in pooled data, respectively. The mean productivity was significantly reduced as the sowing were delayed after  $D_1$  (26<sup>th</sup> MW) during both the years of experimentation. The superior performance of various crops and cropping system sown at normal sowing time and subsequent reduction under delayed sowing were reported by Dhobale *et al.* (1987); Mokashi *et al.* (1997) and Brar *et al.* (2002).

### Cropping systems :

The mean productivity was influenced significantly due to different cropping system during both the years and also in pooled data (Table 1). Amongst the different cropping systems pearl millet + pigeonpea ( $C_5$ ) ICS recorded highest mean productivity of 2985 and 2841 kg/ha in 2007-2008 and pooled data, respectively and it was significantly superior to that of the productivity obtained in rest of the cropping systems under study. In 2006-2007, sorghum + pigeonpea ( $C_4$ ) recorded higher mean productivity than other cropping systems. The second highest position in mean productivity during 2007-2008 and in pooled data was recorded by sorghum + pigeonpea ( $C_4$ ) which was significantly superior over all other treatments except pearl millet + pigeonpea. Greengram – *Rabi* sorghum ( $C_8$ ) and soybean + pigeonpea ( $C_6$ ) cropping systems were found at par to each other in respect of productivity and found significantly superior over cotton + soybean ( $C_7$ ), sole castor ( $C_3$ ), sole pigeonpea ( $C_2$ ) and sole cotton ( $C_1$ ) during both the years of experimentation and in pooled data. The lowest productivity of 1185 kg/ha was recorded by sole cotton ( $C_1$ ). The advantage of higher mean productivity of pearl millet + pigeonpea ( $C_5$ ) over sowing dates was also reported by Gautam and Kaushik (1980); Shelke (1988); Sukhadia and Dhoble (1990) and Mokashi *et al.* (1997).

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that the treatment combination of sorghum + pigeonpea ICS in 26<sup>th</sup> MW ( $D_1C_4$ ) recorded the highest mean productivity of 4816 kg/ha and it was significantly superior over all other treatment combinations. The second highest position in mean productivity was acquired by treatment combination of pearl millet + pigeonpea ( $D_1C_5$ ) sown in 26<sup>th</sup> MW and it was significantly superior over rest of the treatment combinations. The greengram – *Rabi*

sorghum ( $D_1C_8$ ) and cotton + soybean ( $D_1C_7$ ) were at par with each other and found significantly superior over  $D_1C_3$ ,  $D_1C_2$  and  $D_1C_1$ . The lowest productivity of 537 kg/ha was obtained when sorghum + pigeonpea ICS sown in 32<sup>nd</sup> MW ( $D_4C_4$ ) followed by  $D_4C_1$ ,  $D_4C_7$  and  $D_3C_4$  treatment combinations.

In general, sowing of all the cropping systems in 26<sup>th</sup> MW recorded the highest mean productivity as compared to delayed sowing after 26<sup>th</sup> MW. The data further revealed that the pearl millet + pigeonpea ( $C_5$ ), sorghum + pigeonpea ( $C_4$ ), greengram – *Rabi* sorghum ( $C_8$ ), soybean + pigeonpea ( $C_6$ ) showed the better performance over the sowing dates as compared to all the other cropping systems.

The lowest mean productivity of 537 kg/ha was obtained when sorghum + pigeonpea ICS sown in 32<sup>nd</sup> MW ( $D_4C_4$ ) followed by  $D_4C_1$ ,  $D_4C_7$ ,  $D_3C_1$  and  $D_3C_4$  treatment combinations. The result were supported with the findings of Bhang (1985) and Dhobale *et al.* (1997).

## REFERENCES

- Bhang, N.B. (1985). Effect of sowing date on different *Kharif* crops. M.Sc. Thesis, Marathwada Agricultural University, pp : 160, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).
- Dhobale, M.V., Pawar, B.R., Patil, V.D. and Daware, D.G. (1987). Studies on sowing dates and infestation of bollworms on yield of different cotton genotypes. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.*, **1** (1) : 85-87.
- Gautam, R.C. and Kaushik, S.K. (1980). Studies on planting dates and productivity of intercropping system of pearl millet with short duration grain legumes. *Indian J. Agron.*, **25** (3): 441-446.
- Shelke, V.V. (1988). Comparative studies on different proven intercropping system Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani, M.S. (INDIA).
- Singh, H.P., Shrama, K.D. and Dixit, S. (2002). Management of environmental and nature resources in rainfed region of India. Paper presented in 2<sup>nd</sup> International Agronomy Congress, held 26-30<sup>th</sup> Nov. 2002 NEW DELHI, INDIA.
- Swaminathan, M.S. (1972). Converting scientific breakthrough into a production advance. *Rural India* **2** : 93 - 102.
- Ventateshwarulu, J. (1980). Improved dryland farming system for india. *Andragric. J.*, **27** (3&4): 131-147.