

"Seed production"- a tool for income generation

SUBHASH KATARE, S.B.SHARMA, MOHD. MUSTAFA AND ROSHAN GALLANI

See end of the article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to : SUBHASH KATARE Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jaora, RATLAM (M.P.) INDIA Email :kvk.katare@ gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The seed production technology is the most successful technology for farmers. By producing seeds which helps the farmers in the availability of latest variety seed as well as increase in seed replacment ratio. This help farmers in income generation and improment in there socio economic status. By the success of Shri Rakesh Rathore other farmers also motivated for seed production and process for formation of Seed Production Group is in progress.

Katare Subhash, Sharma S.B., Mustafaa Mohd. and Gallani Roshan (2011) Seed production- a tool for income generation. *Agric. Update*, **6**(3&4): 213-216.

INTRODUCTION

In the district Ratlam farmers grow old variety and unidentified seed of different crops without recommended fertilizer and improper plant protection measures. Therefore they do not get potential yield and income. There is wide scope of seed replacement (presently 2-3.5 per cent) by improve variety and follow up of recommended crop production practices. So that farmers can get potential yield and income. There is need to motivate farmers to produce their own seed for their own purpose as well as to multiply and send to other farmers. This will increase seed replacement ratio (up to 10-15 per cent) in the district. As well as there will be increase in the availability in the newly release varieties.

Mr. Rakesh Rathore son of Shri Mangilal, is a farmer of village Roopnagar district Ratlam of Madhya Pradesh. He is educated upto high School. He has one sister. He has 15 bighas(3 ha.) of land near the village. Out of this land, only 10 bighas are irrigated and some land under grass. In fact, he had no knowledge of what to do with his land. Once he happened to visit at Krishi Vigyan Kendra jaora district. Rratlam where he shaw the agriculture technology work at farm. Then, he thought to approach the KVK, Ratlam to take guidance in agriculture, horticulture and fodder crop cultivation and rearing improved animals (Shriram and Chauhan,2002).

Therefore, it was decided to study the success story of Seed Production Technology of the above farmer of our adopted village Roopnagar. Shri Rakesh Rathore has 3.0 ha land in which the cropping system followed by him is as such : *Kharif, Rabi* and Zaid season 2008-09 farmer grow soybean, urd, maize in *kharif,* Wheat, Mustard, Gram and Garlic in *Rabi* and Chilli, Okra and Fodder in summer season. The farmer use local and old variety seed of above crop available with him. Imbalance use of fertilizer and improper plant protection measures results in poor production of the crop and less income, (Sharma and Shandil, 1999).

METHODOLOGY

The intervention by KVK was seed varietal replacement of different crops without changing the cropping system of the farmer during *Kharif, Rabi* and Summer seasons with full package of recommended practices(Chaudhary, 2004).

Key words : Seed production

Received:

Jun., 2011; **Revised:** Sep., 2011; **Accepted :** Oct., 2011

Operations	Farmers practice	Recommended practice
Seed	J.S7105 / 335	J.S9305 / 9560
Kharif	T-9, Desi	L.B.G20, J.U86
Soybean	Local Seed	J.M216, AT Maize
Urd		
Maize	Lok-1, W.H147	J.W273 / 1142
Rabi	Ujjain-21	J.G130
Wheat	Local (Amleta /	G282
Gram	Mahadev)	
Garlic		
Sowing	Wheat and mustard by	Line sowing and Ridge
method	broadcasting	and furrow system
Seed	Not done	Done by fungicide and
treatment		Rhizobium culture
Fertlizer	50% of RDF as soil	S.T.V. based soil
	application and at the	application
	time of interculture	
Irrigation	Un sheduled irrigation	Irrigation done at
		critical stage
Insect pests	Unjudicious use of	Plant protection
control	pesticides	measures done as per
		need based
Marketing	Sold in local market as	Sold to other farmers as
	grain.	quality seed of HYV.

As the farmer belongs to adopted village of KVK the method followed for implementation of technology were as follows:

On /Off campus training of seed production technology. Farmers participated in the training (07 days in phases) and developed knowledge and skill of different component of seed production technology.

Front Line Demonstration (FLD) of improve varieties of oilseed, pulses and other crops were demonstrated in the village where farmers got opportunities to understand during the field day the difference between the improve variety performance as compared to local check in terms of yield, resistant to disease etc.

Exposure visit to Seed Processing Plant and Govt. Seed Producing Farms, where he learn how of the seed cleaned, graded, packed and stored.

Linkages for various schemes and programmes carried by the state agriculture department (Beej Nigam), horticulture, dairy cooperative society and private seed agency for farmers.

OBSERVATION AND ANALYSIS

The results are summarized below according to objectives of the study:

Outcome diffussion of technology :

The seed produced by the farmer of different crops were sold to the farmer of the village Roopnagar and nearby villages. The farmers of other blocks of the district mainly Ratlam, Jaora, Alote and Piploda as well as district Mandsaur took seed from the farmer of the Roopnagar. In all 2850 farmers are benifited and the technology spread in the 2878 ha (Punna Rao and Israel ,2003).

Impact:

Shri Rakesh S/o Mangilal Rathore by introduction of Seed Production Technology in his cropping system just by replacing HYV seed with full package increases in total income from Rs. 143250.00 to Rs. 403480.00 and net income Rs. 260230.00.

Since then he followed the seed production technology in the coming years. During last two year farmers by multiply improved variety seed and selling to the farmers he is earning higher income (Singh, 2002 and

Table 1 : Kharif season (Before adopting the technology) Image: Comparison of the technology is the technology										
Sr.No.	Crops	Variety	Area (ha)	Yield (Qts.)	Gross cost (Rs.)	Gross income (Rs.)	Net income (Rs.)			
1.	Soybean	JS-7105 / JS-335	2.0	28.00	23600	56000	32400			
2.	Maize	Local	0.50	12.50	3500	6250	2750			
3.	Urd	T-9 / Desi	0.50	3.00	3150	5400	2250			
	Total				30250	67650	37400			

Note:- Cost of cultivation-(Per ha.)-Soybean-11800, Maize-7000, Urd-6300

Table 2 : Kharif season (After adopting the technology) Image: Comparison of the technology is the technology									
Sr.No.	Crops Variety Area (ha)		Yield (Qts.)	Gross cost (Rs.)	Gross income (Rs.)	Net income (Rs.)			
1.	Soybean	JS-9305/ JS-95-60	2.0	34.40	26110	75680	49570		
2.	Maize	J.M216/ A.T. Maize	0.50	22.00	3900	17600	13700		
3.	Urd	L.B.G20	0.50	5.00	3600	10000	6400		
	Total				33610	103280	69670		

Note:- Cost of cultivation-(Per ha.)-Soybean-13055, Maize-7800, Urd-7200







Farmers visit to seed production field (Wheat G.W.-275)

Table 3	Table 3: Rabi season (Before adopting the technology)										
Sr.No.	Crops	Variety	Area (ha)	Yield (Qts.)	Gross cost (Rs.)	Gross income (Rs.)	Net income (Rs.)				
1.	Wheat	Lok-1/ W.H147	1.00	40.00	10500	24000	13500				
2.	Gram	Ujjain -21	1.50	18.00	11250	21600	10350				
3.	Garlic	Local (Amleta / Mahadev)	0.50	30.00	19000	30000	11000				
Total 40750 75600 34850											

Note:- Cost of cultivation-(Per ha.)-, Wheat-10500, Garlic-3800, Gram-7500,

Table 4 : Rabi season (After adopting the technology)										
Sr.No.	Crops	Variety	Area (ha)	Yield (Qts.)	Gross cost (Rs.)	Gross Income (Rs.)	Net Income (Rs.)			
1.	Wheat	J.W273 / J.W1142	1.00	45.00	11600	45000	33400			
2.	Gram	J.G130	1.50	27.60	12600	55200	42600			
3.	Garlic	G-282	0.50	50.00	22300	200000	177700			
	Total				46500	300200	253700			

Note:- Cost of cultivation-(Per ha.)-Wheat-11600, Garlic-44600, Gram-8400

Table 5	Table 5 : Kharif Season									
		_	Horizontal spread of technology							
Sr.No.	Crops	Variety		1 st Year			2 nd year			
			No. of village	No. of farmers	Area (in ha.)	No. of village	No. of farmers	Area (in ha.)		
1.	Soybean	JS-9305	10	80	40	22	217	535		
2.	Maize	J.M216/ A.T. Maize	7	25	63	18	167	473		
3.	Urd	L.B.G20/J.U-86	8	39	23	27	377	213		
	Total		25	144	126	67	761	1221		

Table 6	: Rabi Season							
	Horizontal spread of technology							
Sr. No.	Crops	Variety		1 st Year			2 nd year	
			No. of	No. of	Area (in	No. of	No. of	Area (in
			village	farmers	ha.)	village	farmers	ha.)
1.	Wheat	J.W273 / J.W1142	7	59	32	25	630	715
2.	Gram	J.G130	11	38	23	23	517	327
3.	Garlic	G-282	4	32	10	41	942	615
	Total		22	139	65	109	2089	1657

215 Agric. Update | Vol. 6 | Issue 3&4 | Aug.& Nov., 2011 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

Bhatnagar *et.al.*,2003). Hence it helps him in improvement socio economic status in the village. From the income generated he has :

- Established dairy farm (06 buffaloes + 01 calf)
- Increase irrigation facilities by connecting well to the tube well by underground pipe line.
- He also converted his Kachha House to Pucca House with a extra room for seed godown.

Authors' affiliations: S.B. SHARMA, MOHD. MUSTAFA AND ROSHAN GALLANI, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jaora RATLAM (M.P.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Bhatnagar; P.S., Shukla; A.K., Singh; A.B., and Pandey; S.K.(2003). Impact of improved technology on soybean production. *Agric. Extn. Review* (May-June) pp-26-29.

Bhatnagar; P.S.,and Tiwari; S.P. (1990). Technology for increasing soybean production in India. Technical Bulletin No.-1, NRCS (ICAR), Indore pp.-9-16.

Chaudhary, K. Prasad (2004). Mr. Surinder Singh -a success story. *Agric. Extn. Review* (Jan.-Feb.) 29-30.

Hussain, M.M., Parigrahi, R. and Ghosala, M.K. (1995): Extent of adoption constraints to the diffusion of farm and allied technology among farmers of Balipal block. Environ. and Eco. **13**(2):269-274.

Jat, K.R. (1991). A study on constraints in adoption of improved technology of mustard cultivation by small and marginal farmers. M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis. S.K.N. College of Agriculture JOBNER, RAJASTHAN (India).

Punna Rao, P. and Israel, P.(2003). Technology dissemination through DATTC. *Agric. Extn. Review*, May-June, pp. 3-8.

Roy, Nishi (2001). Shanti- a path setter in farming system a case study. *Agric. Extn. Review* (July-August) pp.-23-24

Sharma, D.D. and Shandil. B.C.(1999). Success story of farmer. *Agric. Extn Review* (May-June) pp-**17**-18.

Singh, P.K. (2002). Impact participation in planning of adoption of new technology through FLD. *MANAGE Extn. Review* July-Dec. (2002) : **45**-48.

Shriram and Chauhan. M.S. (2002). Source of information used by the farmers regarding the improved technology of wheat cultivation. *Indian*. J. Extn.. Edu., **2**(10): 134-136.

Witcombe, J.R. Petre, R., Jones, S. and Joshi, A. (1999).Farmer participatory crop improvement-IV. The spread and impact of a rice variety identified by participatory varietal selection, *Experimental Agriculture*. **35**:471-487.

******** ******