Genetics of pod yield and yield contributing characters in okra [*Abelmoschus* esculentus (L). Moench]

P.M. MISTRY AND P.S. VASHI

Received : April, 2011; Accepted : June, 2011

SUMMARY

The nature and magnitude of gene action was analyzed by six generation mean for pod yield and yield contributing characters in six inter varietals crosses of okra. The results revealed that both additive and dominance gene effects were significant for majority of the traits in all the six crosses studied, however the magnitude of dominance gene effects was much higher than the additive effects in all the crosses for majority of the traits which indicated pre-dominant role of dominance gene effects in the inheritance of yield/plant and its related traits. Study indicated that dominance gene effects for pod yield and yield contributing characters were of prime importance. All the characters recorded significant additive and dominance gene effect but the magnitude of dominance gene effect was higher. Among the non-allelic interactions, additive x additive and dominance x dominance were found significant, the opposite sign of (h) and (l) indicated that both the characters are controlled by duplicate type of epistasis. So, further improvement could be expected through bi-parental mating or reciprocal recurrent selection.

Mistry, P.M. and Vashi, P.S. (2011). Genetics of pod yield and yield contributing characters in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L). Moench]. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, **6** (2): 298-303.

Key words : Dominance gene effects, Additive effects, Six generation mean, Abelmoschus esculentus (L). Moench, Okra

Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L). Moench] is one of the important vegetables grown for its immature non-fibrous edible pods in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world. Study of nature and magnitude of gene effects governing the inheritance of quantitative characters is of prime importance in formulating breeding methods used for crop improvement programme. In self pollinated crops an approach based on generation mean analysis has particular suitability as in addition to additive and dominance gene effect, it also estimate the types of epistasis present. In present investigation, an attempt has been made to estimate gene effects operative for control of pod yield and yield contributing characters by using six generation means in six inter varietals crosses of okra.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material in present investigation

Correspondence to:

P.M. MISTRY, Department of Soil and Water Management Research Unit, Main Paddy Research Centre, Navsari Agricultural University, NAVSARI (GUJARAT) INDIA Email : drpmmistry2510@yahoo.in

Authors' affiliations:

P.S. VASHI, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, NAVSARI (GUJARAT) INDIA comprised of six inter varietal crosses of okra viz., Parbhani Kranti x Pusa Sawani, Parbhani Kranti x GO₂, Arka Anamika x Pusa Sawani, Arka Anamika x VRO₅, Arka Abhay x HRB-55 and Arka Abhay x VRO₆, their respective parents, F_2 's, BC₁ and BC₂ populations. The material was grown in compact family block design with three replications on Agriculture Experimental Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Paria, Ta. Pardi, Dist. Valsad during summer-2007. The parents and F_1 's consisted of one line of 3.0 m, BC₁ and BC₂ consisted of two lines of 3.0m and F_2 's consisted of four lines of 3.0 m in each replication. The distance between two rows was 45 cm and within plants 30 cm. Recommended agronomical practices were followed for okra.

Five random competitive plants in each treatment in P_1 , P_2 and F_1 , ten plants in BC₁ and BC₂ and 20 plants in F_2 generation were selected for recording the observation.

Simple scaling test A, B, C and D of Mather (1949) was used to detect presence of the epistasis. Six parameter model given by Hayman (1958) used to obtained estimate of m, d, h, i, j and l parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before any model is fitted to estimate gene actions involved in yield and its components, scaling tests were performed as given by Mather (1949). The scaling tests

 \bullet HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE \bullet

Characters	Cross	a yielu allu yielu	yield and yield contributing characters per plant Generation				
Characters	Cross	P ₁	P ₂	F ₁ Gene	F ₂	BC ₁	BC ₂
Dave to first fruit set	C ₁	49.20	46.73	42.73	51.13	46.07	47.33
Days to first fruit set	C_1 C_2	49.20	40.73	42.73	50.90	46.80	47.33
	C_2 C_3	49.60	47.93	45.50	51.10	48.93	48.70
		49.00 49.47	51.13	43.30	53.47	48.93	48.70 50.20
	C_4		49.93			49.07 46.67	49.03
	C ₅	47.07		45.00	51.53		
	C ₆	46.93	48.53	45.13	50.63	46.47	48.00
Plant height (cm)	C_1	155.07	146.40	141.53	145.27	148.93	145.70
	C_2	151.40	154.20	160.93	144.97	155.30	153.90
	C ₃	142.40	145.53	149.53	138.60	147.63	147.30
	C_4	144.20	108.80	146.77	136.83	145.47	121.83
	C ₅	160.53	136.20	164.93	127.13	162.43	157.33
	C ₆	168.60	127.13	156.60	116.83	158.90	145.40
Branches/ plant	C_1	4.13	3.40	4.73	3.50	4.40	4.10
	C ₂	4.20	3.80	4.80	3.10	4.30	4.13
	C ₃	4.07	3.47	4.80	3.10	4.40	3.70
	C_4	4.00	3.60	4.47	3.47	4.17	3.67
	C ₅	4.13	4.00	4.80	3.83	4.30	4.10
	C_6	4.57	3.40	4.77	3.13	4.57	4.23
Pod length (cm)	C_1	9.46	11.39	14.39	10.91	11.04	11.66
	C_2	9.97	11.83	13.37	10.01	10.41	11.93
	C ₃	12.84	11.28	10.51	11.86	11.94	10.77
	C_4	12.87	12.41	13.60	10.07	13.10	12.65
	C ₅	11.98	11.11	14.71	10.24	12.10	11.70
	C_6	11.69	12.19	13.59	9.91	12.28	11.50
Pod girth (cm)	C_1	1.62	1.71	1.86	1.53	1.66	1.72
C	C_2	1.64	1.59	1.77	1.56	1.65	1.60
	C ₃	1.64	1.68	1.75	1.47	1.67	1.70
	C_4	1.65	1.73	1.57	1.51	1.59	1.62
	C ₅	1.53	1.58	1.82	1.54	1.60	1.63
	C_6	1.57	1.64	1.74	1.44	1.62	1.67
Number of	C_1	22.73	20.27	28.00	17.93	22.77	21.10
pods/plant	C_2	22.13	21.93	26.93	19.02	22.77	22.13
pous/plant	C_3	19.48	20.97	18.73	14.80	19.27	18.90
	C_4	19.67	17.00	22.73	16.17	19.93	19.57
	C_4 C_5	28.07	21.37	30.13	19.87	29.67	23.47
	C ₅ C ₆	27.67	23.67	28.07	20.75	29.07	26.47
Pod yield	C_6 C_1	327.80	325.27	434.57	278.23	328.93	341.73
(g/ plant)		327.80	292.53	434.37 376.30	278.25 281.10	328.93 326.93	341.73
(& plant)	C ₂						
	C_3	246.07	290.40	265.47	190.92	254.33	269.63
	C_4	278.07	303.73	356.42	218.60	295.30	313.67
	C ₅	430.90	289.45	436.53	281.17	431.02	330.50
C . Dorbhoni Vacati - D	C ₆	403.20 C : Parbhani	<u>360.73</u>	412.93	275.03	412.30	407.93
C ₁ : Parbhani Kranti x Pusa Sawani C ₄ : Arka Anamika x VRO ₅		C ₂ : Parbhani C ₅ : Arka Abh	Kranti x GO_2 ,		a Anamika x Pusa a Abhay x VRO ₆	a Sawani	

A, B, C and D (Table 2) indicated presence of appreciable amount of epistasis in different characters, except in cross Parbhani Kranti x Pusa Sawani for days to first fruit set, plant height and branches/plant; in Parbhani Kranti x GO₂

for days to first fruit set, plant height and branches/plant; in cross Arka Anamika x Pusa Sawani for plant height, branches/plant, pod length, pods/plant and yield/plant; in cross Arka Anamika x VRO₅ for plant height, branches/

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., 6 (2); (July, 2011)]

Table 2 :		e of scaling ting charac		pod yield	and yield
Charactera		0		ng test	
Characters	Cross	А	В	С	D
Days to	C_1	0.20	5.20**	23.13**	8.86**
first fruit	C_2	0.00	2.33**	16.93**	7.30**
set	C ₃	2.77**	4.90**	16.80**	4.57**
	C_4	1.20**	1.80**	18.33**	7.67**
	C ₅	1.27**	3.13**	19.13**	7.37**
	C_6	0.87**	2.33**	16.80**	6.80**
Plant	C_1	1.26	3.46*	-3.46	-4.11**
height	C_2	-1.73	-7.33**	-47.60**	-19.27**
(cm)	C ₃	3.33	-0.47	-32.60**	-17.73**
	C_4	-0.03	-11.90**	0.80	6.36**
	C_5	-0.60	13.53**	-118.06	-65.50**
	C_6	-7.40**	7.07*	-141.60**	-70.63**
Branches/	C_1	-0.07	0.07	-3.00**	-1.50**
plant	C_2	-0.40*	-0.33	-5.20**	-2.23**
	C_3	-0.07	-0.87**	-4.73**	-1.90**
	C_4	-0.13	-0.70**	-2.67**	-0.90**
	C_5	-0.33	-0.60**	-2.40**	-0.73**
	C_6	-0.20	0.30	-4.97**	-2.53**
Pod length	C_1	-1.78**	-2.45**	-6.00**	-0.88**
(cm)	C_2	-2.52**	-1.34**	-8.50**	-2.31**
	C ₃	0.52	-0.25	2.32**	1.02**
	C_4	-0.27	-0.71**	-12.20**	-5.62**
	C_5	-2.49**	-2.42**	-11.54**	-3.31**
	C_6	-0.71**	-2.77**	-11.42**	-3.97**
Pod girth	C_1	-0.17**	-0.13**	-0.93**	-0.31**
(cm)	C_2	-0.10**	-0.17**	-0.53**	-0.13**
	C_3	-0.04*	-0.03*	-0.92**	-0.42**
	C_4	-0.03**	-0.05*	-0.47**	-0.19**
	C ₅	-0.16**	-0.14**	-0.62**	-0.16**
	C_6	-0.06**	-0.03*	-0.91**	-0.41**
Number	C_1	-5.20**	-6.07**	-27.26**	-8.00**
of	C_2	-3.53**	-4.60**	-21.86**	-6.87**
pods/plant	C_3	0.32	-1.90**	-18.72**	-8.56**
	C_4	-2.53**	-0.60*	-17.47**	-7.17**
	C_5	1.13**	-4.57**	-30.23**	-13.40**
	C ₆	-0.26	1.20**	-24.47**	-12.70**
Pod yield/	C_1	-104.50**	-76.37**	-409.27**	-114.20**
plant	C_2	-42.87**	-14.70**	-241.17**	-91.80**
	C ₃	-2.87	-16.60**	-303.73**	-142.13**
	C_4	-43.88**	-33.42**	-420.23**	-171.47**
	C ₅	-5.40	-64.98**	-468.75**	-199.18**
	C ₆	8.47**	42.20**	-489.67**	-270.17**

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., 6 (2); (July, 2011)]

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

plant and pod length; in cross Arka Abhay x HRB-55 for plant height, branches/plant and yield/plant and in cross Arka Abhay x VRO₆ for branches/plant and pods/plant. Presence of epistatic gene action for pod yield and its related traits have been reported earlier by Pullaiah *et al.* (1996), Aher *et al* (2003), Ghai and Mahajan (2004) and Kumar *et al* (2005) which supported the present results.

Based upon the present findings the gene actions involved in the inheritance of various characters affecting pod yield and its components are discussed below

Yield/plant:

Digenic epistatic model, based on six generations, showed that (Table 3) on an average dominance gene effect contributed maximum towards yield. Additive gene effects were negative in four crosses and small in two crosses in relation to dominance for yield. However, cross combination Arka Abhay x HRB-55 and Arka Abhay x VRO₆ exhibited significant positive additive effect. Results also indicated that magnitude of additive effect was generally dependent upon the magnitude of differences between the two parental lines while signs depend on the magnitude of P₁ and P₂ parents.

Among digenic epistasis, major role in the inheritance of yield/plant was showed by additive x additive and dominance x dominance gene interactions. The additive x additive gene effects were positive and significant in all the six crosses, while dominance x dominance gene effects had significant diminishing effect in all the crosses. Among three types of epistasis, sign attached to dominance x dominance effects was of more importance. Gamble (1962) suggested that negative effects of dominance x dominance was undesirable. Duplicate type of epistasis played significant role in the inheritance of yield/plant in all the crosses. Both additive and non additive gene action were important in the inheritance of yield/ plant in okra as reported by several workers viz., Liou et al. (2002), Mitra and Das (2003), Singh and Singh (2003), Deo et al. (2004), Kumar et al. (2004), Jindal and Ghai (2005), Sarvanan et al. (2005) and Dahake and Bangar (2006). Role of dominance and dominance x dominance gene action in the expression of yield/plant have been reported by Ghai and Mahajan (2004) and Kumar et al. (2005). In all crosses in addition to dominance effect additive x additive type of epistasis was also important. Duplicate epistasis was present in all the six crosses.

Days to first fruit set:

For days to first fruit set non-additive gene effects played a major role in all the crosses (Table 3). The dominance gene effects were highly significant in all the

Table 3 : Estimate	e of gene ef	fects for number of	of pods and pod y	ield per plant				
Characters	Cross		Gene action					
		m	d	h	i]	1	
Days to first fruit	C_1	51.13**	-1.27**	-22.97**	-17.73**	-2.50	12.33**	
set	C_2	50.90**	-0.90**	-17.67**	-14.60**	-1.17	12.27**	
	C ₃	50.77**	0.23**	-10.60**	-7.80**	-1.07	0.13	
	C_4	53.47**	-1.13**	-18.17**	-15.33**	-0.30	12.33**	
	C ₅	51.53**	-2.37**	-18.23**	-14.73**	-0.93	10.33**	
	C_6	50.63**	-1.53**	-16.20**	-13.60**	-0.73	10.40**	
Plant height (cm)	C_1	145.27**	3.23**	-1.00	8.20**	-1.10	-12.93**	
	C_2	144.97**	1.40	46.67**	38.53**	2.80	-29.47**	
	C ₃	138.60**	0.33	41.03**	35.47**	1.90	-38.33**	
	C_4	136.83**	23.63**	7.53**	-12.73**	5.93	24.67**	
	C ₅	127.13**	5.10**	147.57**	131.00**	-7.07	-143.93**	
	C ₆	116.83**	13.50**	150.00**	141.27**	-7.23	-140.93**	
Branches/ plant	C_1	3.50**	0.30**	3.97**	3.00**	-0.07	-3.00**	
	C ₂	3.10**	0.17*	5.27**	4.47**	-0.03	-3.73**	
	C ₃	3.10**	0.70**	4.83**	3.80**	0.40	-2.87**	
	C_4	3.47**	0.50**	2.47**	1.80**	0.30	-0.93*	
	C ₅	3.83**	0.20	2.20**	1.47**	0.13	-0.53	
	C_6	3.13**	0.33**	5.85**	5.07**	-0.25	-5.17**	
Pod length (cm)	C_1	10.91**	-0.63**	5.74**	1.77**	0.34	2.45**	
	C_2	10.01**	-1.52**	7.10**	4.63**	-0.59	-0.77	
	C ₃	11.86**	1.17**	-3.60**	-2.05**	0.39	1.77*	
	C_4	10.07**	0.45**	12.20**	11.23**	0.22	-10.26**	
	C ₅	10.24**	0.40**	9.80**	6.63**	-0.03	-1.73**	
	C ₆	9.91**	0.78**	9.60**	7.95**	1.03	-4.47**	
Pod girth (cm)	C_1	1.53**	-0.07**	0.82**	0.63**	-0.02	-0.33**	
	C_2	1.56**	0.06**	0.41**	0.26**	0.03	0.02	
	C ₃	1.47**	-0.03**	0.93**	0.85**	-0.00	-0.77**	
	C_4	1.51**	-0.05**	0.23**	0.35**	-0.01	-0.23**	
	C ₅	1.53**	-0.04**	0.58**	0.32**	-0.01	-0.02	
	C ₆	1.44**	-0.05**	0.95**	0.82**	-0.02	-0.73**	
Number	C ₁	17.93**	1.67**	22.50**	16.00**	0.43	-4.73**	
of pods/	C ₂	19.02**	0.63**	18.63**	13.73**	0.53	-5.60**	
plant	C ₃	14.80**	0.37**	15.64**	17.13**	1.11	-15.55**	
	C_4	16.17**	0.37*	18.73**	14.33**	-0.97	-11.20**	
	C ₅	19.87**	6.20**	32.22**	26.80**	2.85	-23.37**	
	C ₆	20.75**	1.27**	27.80**	25.40**	-0.73	-26.33**	
Pod	C_1	278.23**	-12.80**	336.43**	228.40**	-14.07	-47.53**	
yield/	C_1	281.10**	-0.13	253.42**	183.60**	-14.08	-126.03**	
plant	C_2 C_3	190.92**	-15.30**	281.50**	284.27**	6.87	-264.80**	
	C_4	218.60**	-18.07**	408.45**	342.93**	-5.23	-265.63**	
	C_4 C_5	281.17**	100.52**	474.73**	398.37**	29.79	-327.98**	
	C_5 C_6	275.03**	4.37**	571.73**	540.33**	-16.87	-527.98**	

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, resepctively

crosses. Among epistasis the magnitude of dominance x dominance effect was high, significant and positive in crosses all the crosses except cross Arka Anamika x Pusa Sawani. Additive x additive effects were significant and negative in all the crosses. Duplicate epistasis was present in all the crosses except cross Arka Anamika x Pusa Sawani.

Predominant role of non-additive gene action in the expression of days to first fruit set have been reported by Ahmed *et al.* (2004), Jindal and Ghai (2005) and Dahake

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., 6 (2); (July, 2011)]

and Bangar (2006).

Plant height:

The dominance effect was positive and significant in five crosses. Additive x additive and dominance x dominance type of non-allelic gene actions were useful for the inheritance of plant height. Additive gene effects also contributed in four crosses *viz.*, Parbhani Kranti x Pusa Sawani, Arka Anamika x VRO₅, Arka Abhay x HRB-55 and Arka Abhay x VRO₆. Thus further change in plant height could be possible through selection in this population. Duplicate gene action was present for plant height in four crosses having significant magnitude for dominance x dominance gene effects, while one cross exhibited complementary epistasis.

Dhankhar and Dhankhar (2001), Rewale *et al.* (2003), Dahake and Bangar (2006) and Kumar *et al.* (2006) observed non-additive gene action for this trait. Kumar *et al.* (2005) and Sarvanan *et al.* (2005) reported role of dominance effects whereas role of dominance x dominance have been reported by Ghai and Mahajan (2004) and Kumar *et al.* (2005). While, duplicate type of epistasis was reported by Pullaiah *et al.* (1996) and Tripathi *et al.* (2002).

Branches/plant:

For this trait dominance effects were highly significant in all the crosses, while additive gene effects were highly significant for four crosses but the magnitude of dominance effect was higher compared to additive in all the crosses which suggested its greater role in the expression of this trait. In all the crosses dominant tend to increase the branches per plant while dominance x dominance seemed to appear as the retardant. Duplicate type of epistasis was present in all the crosses, except cross Arka Abhay x HRB-55, where the dominance x dominance gene effects exhibited significant magnitude.

Involvement of non-additive gene effect (including epistasis) in the expression of this trait has been reported by Rewale *et al.* (2003), Mitra and Das (2003), Kumar *et al.* (2004) and Kumar *et al.* (2006).

Pod length:

The magnitude of dominance effect was significant, positive and high compared to additive in five crosses indicating its major role in inheritance of this trait.

Additive x additive gene effects were highly significant and positive for four crosses while it was negative for two crosses. Dominance x dominance gene effects were found highly significant for five crosses and had diminishing effects in three crosses while two crosses

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., 6 (2); (July, 2011)]

exhibited enhancing effects. Dominance gene effect tended to increase the magnitude of pod length. Duplicate type of epistasis was present in four crosses while one cross exhibit complementary type epistasis.

Kumar *et al.* (2005) and Sarvanan *et al.* (2005) reported dominance gene effects for this trait. While, Rewale *et al.* (2003), Kumar *et al.* (2004), Dahake and Bangar (2006) and Kumar *et al.* (2006) also reported preponderance of non-additive gene action (including epistasis) in the expression of pod length in okra.

Pod girth:

The dominance gene effects were highly significant and positive in magnitude in all the crosses while additive effects were significant and negative in all the crosses and had diminishing effects in all the crosses except cross Parbhani Kranti x GO₂ (Table 3). For this trait additive x additive gene effects were found highly significant and positive for all the crosses while, dominance x dominance were highly significant and negative in four crosses. Additive x additive effect caused beneficial role in all the crosses. Duplicate epistasis made major contribution in four crosses for this trait. Preponderance of non-additive gene effects was observed by Deo et al. (2004) and Dahake and Bangar (2006) while predominant role of dominance effect was reported by Saravanan et al. (2005). Duplicate type epistasis was reported by Pullaiah et al. (1996) and Tripathi et al. (2002).

Pods/plant:

All the crosses showed highly significant and positive additive and dominance gene effect for pods/plant; however, the magnitude of dominance type gene effects were higher in magnitude for all the crosses indicating that the non-additive type of gene action contributing maximum for inheritance of this trait. Among epistasis, additive x additive type gene effects were highly significant and positive for all the crosses, while the dominance x dominance type of gene effects were highly significant and had diminishing effects for all the crosses. Duplicate type of epistasis was made major contribution for all the crosses.

Aher *et al.* (2003) and Kumar *et al.* (2005) also reported importance of dominance effect in the inheritance of this trait. While the non-additive type of gene action (including epistasis) was reported by Dhankhar and Dhankhar (2002), Rewale *et al.* (2003), Mitra and Das (2003), Kumar *et al.* (2004), Saravanan *et al.* (2005), Dahake and Bangar (2006) and Kumar *et al.* (2006). While duplicate type of epistasis was reported by Kumar *et al.* (2005). In the present investigation magnitude of non-fixable components was high for yield/plant as well as its components. Exploiting this type of variability, population improvement approach is generally suggested. Some forms of recurrent selection like diallel selective mating (Jensen, 1970) or bi-parental mating in early segregating generation as suggested by Joshi and Dhawan (1966) might prove to be effective alternative approach. These methods utilize both additive and non-additive genetic variance during selection of genotypes and permit the release of conceal variability. Such populations may be composed of numerous parental lines. The constant recombination's increases the probability of desire gene combinations. However, since okra is an often cross pollinated crop and in absence of cytoplasmic genetic male sterility it would not be feasible. Hence, till it become feasible, cyclic method of breeding would be the alternative approach to conserve the frequency of desirable genes influencing yield contributing traits. Therefore, directional selection would be preferable as a method for increasing frequency of desirable homozygotes.

REFERENCES

- Aher, R.P., Mahale, V. D. and Aher, A. R. (2003).Genetics studies on some quantitative characters in Okra. J. Maharastra Agric. Univ., 28 (2): 151-153.
- Ahmed, S., Malik, A.J., Mahmood, A., Kumbhar, M.B. and Karim, A. (2004). Inheritance studies in okra under drought coindition. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **20** (1): 57-65.
- Dahake, K.D. and Bangar, N.D. (2006). Combining ability in okra. J. Maharastra agric. Univ., **31**(1): 39-41.
- Deo, C., Shahi, J.P., Singh, J.N. and Sharma, O. (2004). Genetics of yield and yield traits in okra. *Indian J. Hort.*, **61**(4): 323-326.
- Dhankhar, B.S. and Dhankhar, S.K. (2001). Heterosis and combining ability studies for some economic characters in okra. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **30** (3-4): 230-233.
- Dhankhar, B. S. and Dhankhar, S. K. (2002). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in okra [*Abelomoschus esculentus* (L).Moench].*Vege. Sci.*, **29** (1): 63-65.
- Gamble, E.E. (1962). Gene effects in corn (*Zea mays* L.) I. Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. *Canadian J. Pl. Sci.*, **42** : 339-348.
- Ghai, T.R. and Mahajan, M. (2004). Gene effects of some economic traits in okra. *Crop Improvement*, **31**(1): 75-81.
- Jensen, N. F. (1970). A diallel selective mating systems for cereal breeding. Crop Sci., 10(6): 629-635.
- Jindal, S.K. and Ghai, T.R. (2005). Diallel analysis for yield and its components in okra. *Vege. Sci.*, **32**(1): 30-32.
- Joshi, A.B. and Dhawan, N. L. (1966). Genetic improvement of yield with special reference to self pollinated crops. *Indian J. Genet.*, 26: 101-113.
- Kumar, N. S., Saravanan, K., Sabesan, T. and Ganesan, J. (2005). Gentics of yield and components in okra. [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. Indian J. Agric. Res., 39 (2): 150-153.

Kumar, P.S., Sriram, P. and Karuppiah, P. (2006). Studies on combining ability in okra [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. Indian J. Hort. 63(2): 182-184.

- Kumar, S.T., Kumar, N.S. and Manivannan, K. (2004). Genetics of fruit yield and its component characters in okra [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. South Indian Hort., 52(1-6): 76-81.
- Liou, Minli, Guo, Jie Wei and Wei, Shu Tu (2002). Combining ability analysis of yield and component in okra. [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. J. Agri & Forestry, **51**(2): 1-9.
- Mather, K. (1949). 'Biometrical genetics' Methuen London.
- Mitra, Shusmita and Das, N. D. (2003). Combining ability studies in okra. *J. Interacademicia* **7**(4): 382-387.
- Pullaiah, N., Reddy, T.B., Resdisekhar, M. and Reddy, M. (1996). Inheritance of yield components in okra [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. Vege. Sci. 23 (1): 52-56.
- Rewale, V. S., Bendale, V.W., Bhave, S.G., Madav, R.R. and Jadhav, B.B. (2003). Combining ability of yield and yield components in okra. *J. Maharastra agric. Univ.*, 28(3): 244-246.
- Singh, B. and Singh, S. P. (2003). Combining ability studies in okra. *Plant Archives*, **3**(1): 133-136.
- Tripathi, V., Arora, S. K. and Samnotra, R. K. (2002). Generation mean analysis for yield and its components in okra [Abelomoschus esculentus (L).Moench]. Environ. & Ecol., 20 (1): 224-229.

****** *****

•HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE•

[Internat. J. Plant Sci., 6 (2); (July, 2011)]