



Stainless steel for dairy and food industry

ASHWANI KUMAR DEWANGAN, ASHISH D. PATEL AND A.G. BHADANIA

KEY WORDS : Stainless steel, Dairy industry, Food industry

HOW TO CITE THIS PAPER : Dewangan, Ashwani Kumar, Patel, Ashish D. and Bhadania, A.G. (2015). Stainless steel for dairy and food industry. *Res. J. Animal Hus. & Dairy Sci.*, 6(1) : 66-71.

INTRODUCTION

Stainless steels (SS) were invented to overcome the problem of corrosion which is a major concern of food and many other industries. The alloy of steel containing iron-chromium-nickel is known as stainless steels. Stainless steels typically contain between 9 and 30 per cent chromium and varying amounts of nickel, molybdenum, copper, sulfur, titanium, niobium, etc., may be added to obtain the desired mechanical properties and service life. Stainless steel is considered noble metal for use in dairy industry. Stainless steels are classified based on the chemical composition and it provides information to overcome many types of corrosion. Some of the limitations of SS employed in food and dairy industry are attack by lactic and malic acids at elevated temperature and poor thermal conductivity. However, these limitations may be overcome by carefully selection and fabrication, optimized operating condition, care and maintenance of the equipment Raghavan *et al.* (1994).

History :

The works on material science at several places around the world lead to the discovery and development

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM

Address for correspondence :

Ashwani Kumar Dewangan, Department of Dairy Engineering, S.M.C. College of Dairy Science, Anand Agricultural University, ANAND (GUJARAT) INDIA

Associated Authors' :

Ashish D. Patel and A.G. Bhadania, Department of Dairy Engineering, S.M.C. College of Dairy Science, Anand Agricultural University, ANAND (GUJARAT) INDIA

of the stainless steels. Stainless steels were invented by K. Stahl in the year 1912. This invention has helped a lot to improve corrosion resistivity and mechanical behaviour of the material as compared to pure steels. These developments in metallurgy were not significantly to fulfill requirements of food industry Covert and Tuthill (2000). English metallurgist H. Brearly in the year 1913 working on a project to improve rifle barrels accidentally discovered that adding chromium to low carbon steel gives it stain resistance. The first application for these stainless steels was in cutlery manufacturing in which the previously used carbon steel was replaced by the new stainless steels Ryan *et al.* (2002). Among the iron-base alloys investigated were iron-chromium-nickel alloys with high chromium contents. It was found that specimens of alloys with more than 20 per cent Cr did not rust in the laboratory for quite some time. These discovery leads to the development of steel with 0.25 per cent carbon, 20 per cent chromium and 7 per cent nickel, this was the first austenitic stainless steel Covert and Tuthill (2000). Parallel with the work in England and Germany, F.M. Becket working in Niagara Falls, USA found cheap and scaling-resistant material for troughs in pusher type furnaces that were run at temperatures upto 1200°C. It is subsequently concluded that at least 20 per cent chromium was necessary to achieve resistance to oxidation or scaling. This was the starting point of the development of heat-resistant steels for applicable in chemical, food, dairy, beverage, bio-processing, pharmaceuticals industries

Covert and Tuthill (2000).

Classification of SS :

Stainless steels are basically classified as austenitic, ferritic, martensitic, duplex and super-austenitic grades. Each of these main groups contains a number of alloys that are defined according to the chemical composition and specified in European and American International Standards Dillon *et al.* (1992a) and Tverberg (2000b). Apart from chromium, the alloy constituents molybdenum, nickel and nitrogen are of great importance to the corrosion resistance. Carbon will always be present to a certain degree, and it is important for the welding properties Dillon *et al.* (1992a and c). In addition, copper, manganese, sulphur, titanium and niobium are used as alloy constituents to impart certain properties. Stainless steel is typical wrought alloy AISI (American Iron and Steel Institution) series designations, includes: 200 (high manganese austenitic), 300 (austenitic), and 400 and 500 (ferritic and martensitic) Dillon *et al.* (1992b). Martensitic and ferritic steels are magnetic and martensitic steels are typically hardened by heat treatment and are not easily formable. Austenitic steels harden when cold worked. Duplex grades (austenitic/ferritic) are more resistant to stress corrosion cracking than austenitic and are tougher than ferritic grades Gonzalez (2000).

Desirable properties of SS :

The properties of stainless steel play an important role in the design of various equipment. The use of high quality SS in fabrication of processing equipment helps not only to prevent corrosion but also ensures purity of food product handled in those equipment. In addition to this, stainless steels are easy to clean and maintain and a number of different products can be manufactured in the same equipment. If properly utilized, equipment made of stainless steel can be expected to last for many years Tuthill *et al.* (1998). In selecting austenitic stainless steels, a number of factors other than corrosion performance should be considered. Among these are their usually attractive appearance, good mechanical properties and excellent fabrication characteristics. On a life-cycle basis, the alloys are often the most cost-effective Covert and Tuthill (2000). Important characteristics to be considered in selecting the proper type of stainless steel for a specific application are listed below Raghavan *et al.* (1994).

- Corrosion resistance

- Resistance to oxidation and sulfidation
- Strength and ductility at ambient and service temperatures
- Suitability for intended fabrication techniques
- Suitability for intended cleaning procedures
- Stability of properties in service
- Toughness
- Resistance to abrasion, erosion, galling and seizing
- Surface finish and/or reflectivity
- Physical property characteristics such as magnetic properties, thermal conductivity and electrical resistivity
- Total cost, including initial cost, installed cost and the effective life expectancy of the finished product.

Metallurgical properties of SS :

Austenitic SS (nonmagnetic) :

The steel containing high content of both chromium and nickel are called austenitic SS. Most commonly used steel contain 18 per cent Cr and 8 per cent Ni called as 18/8 steel. Austenitic stainless steel comprises most (70–80 %) of the total stainless steel production and is particularly prevalent in food-processing applications Covert and Tuthill (2000); Tverberg (2000a) and Weeks and Bennett (2006b). Austenitic stainless steel (AISI 200 e.g. 201, 202 and AISI 300, e.g. 304, 302 and 316) is non-magnetic, ductile, not hardenable by heat treatment, and easily fabricated Dillon *et al.* (1992b). Their major weakness is chloride stress corrosion cracking (SCC), being susceptible at temperatures over 55°C Avery (1991). Apart from chromium, austenitic SS typically contains 8 to 30 per cent nickel and varying quantities of molybdenum.

Austenitic SS having low carbon and cannot be hardened through heat treatment. However, a certain work hardening may result from cold deformation. The popularity of austenitic stainless steel is due to its corrosion resistance, weldability and shaping properties. Likewise, their good high-temperature and low-temperature stability make it useful for wide range of application Tuthill *et al.* (1997).

Ferritic SS (magnetic) :

The steel containing greater amount of Cr (16 – 18 %) and about 0.12 per cent carbon are called ferritic stainless steel. Ferrite SS (AISI 400 series e.g., 410, 430)

are magnetic and hardenable by heat treatment apart from chromium it contains only small quantities of nickel. It is moderately corrosion-resistant. The impact resistance is reduced considerably at low temperatures Dillon *et al.* (1992b), possessing inferior fracture toughness but better strength and SCC resistance compared with austenitic SS Raman (2003). Owing to their high strength, they are more difficult to fabricate and weld. Ferritic SS grades are used for strongly oxidizing environments (e.g., nitric acid) Dillon *et al.* (1992b) and Dillon *et al.* (1992a). They are essentially resistant to chloride SCC Avery (1991); Tuthill *et al.* (1997); Tverberg (2000a) and Weeks and Bennett (2006b), but susceptible to IGA (inert granular attack), pitting and crevice corrosion Johnson (1988).

Martensitic SS (magnetic) :

The first group of stainless steel is the 'martensitic' SS. These contain only about 13 per cent chromium (and so they are the least expensive stainless steels) but they have high levels of carbon (even upto 1 %) Dillon *et al.* (1992b). The high level of carbon in the martensitic stainless steels makes them difficult to form and weld, it also makes them very hard and strong and heat treatment can make them even harder. These stainless steels are ideal where the environment is not particularly aggressive, but resistance to wear is important. AISI 440C contains 1 per cent carbon and is extremely hard and so it is used for the wearing parts of pumps. Grade AISI 420 contains a minimum of 0.15 per cent carbon and is ideal for knife blades Dillon *et al.* (1992b); Dillon *et al.* (1992a) and Tverberg (2000a).

Duplex SS (ferritic/austenitic) :

Duplex SS possesses both characteristics of austenite and ferrite in their microstructure Covert and Tuthill (2000). They have high strength and good ductility, making them potentially suitable for food-processing Dillon *et al.* (1992b); Dillon *et al.* (1992a). In very corrosive environments such as mustard and vinegar-making, cheese plants or fish-canning plants, it may be necessary to use one of the second groups of iron-carbon-chromium-nickel stainless steels are 'duplex' steels. These have very high levels of chromium 22 per cent in grade AISI 2205 and 23 per cent in grade AISI 2304 and in the case of AISI 2205, about 3 per cent molybdenum is used Dillon *et al.* (1992b). Most duplex steels are also more expensive than the austenitic SS. They have a resistance to general

corrosion similar to the austenitic stainless steels but much higher mechanical strength Tuthill *et al.* (1997). They also have much better resistance to stress corrosion cracking than austenitic stainless steels and a resistance to crevice and pitting corrosion superior to the AISI 316 austenitic Dillon *et al.* (1992a); Dillon *et al.* (1992b); Tverberg (2000a); Tverberg (2000c) and Weeks and Bennett (2006b).

Super-Austenitic SS :

The super-austenitic stainless steels are tolerant of extremely aggressive conditions Covert and Tuthill (2000) and Choulet (1997). During the production of soy sauce, for instance, the ingredients ferment in tanks for about six months, during which they produce a sauce rich in organic acids, amino acids and alcohols and with a pH of about 4.7 and a sodium chloride content of around 17 per cent Dillon *et al.* (1992a). High levels of chromium, nickel, molybdenum and nitrogen and low carbon content confer to austenitic stainless steels superior corrosion resistance in a wide array of aggressive environments. Typical examples are grade (AISI 904 L), which has a molybdenum content of over 4 per cent and grades (AISI 254SMO) contain more than 6 per cent molybdenum Dillon *et al.* (1992b); and Tverberg (2000a); Tverberg (2000c).

Application of SS in food and dairy industry :

Stainless steel exhibits some of the most suitable characteristics of the construction materials used for food equipment. It is the most widely used material in direct contact with food found in the industry Dillon *et al.* (1992b). Under special corrosion conditions, such as handling of acidic fluid foods or foods containing SO₂, AISI 316 or 316L stainless steel should be employed with preference over AISI 302 or AISI 304. AISI 302 stainless steel is used to improve the external design appearance of food equipment, but not equipment in contact with food or corrosive agents. AISI 304 stainless steel is the most commonly used for general purposes to provide better strength Francis (2000).

It is suggested that there are six major reasons for the wide spread use of stainless steel in the food and dairy industry; corrosion resistance; durability; ease of fabrication; heat resistance; flavour and colour protection; and cleanability Dillon *et al.* (1992b). Corrosion resistance and cleanability of stainless steel are important in relation to food hygiene. Austenitic stainless steel should be

preferred for food and dairy application because it is characterized most stable and corrosion resistant than other stainless steel. Application of SS in food and dairy includes Francis (2000);

- Processing equipment such as pasteurizer, homogenizer, separator, decanter, metal detector, heat-exchanger, mixing tank and process tank etc.
- Storage tanks and form equipment consists silo tank, road tanker, milking machine, cans and bulk milk cooler etc.
- Accessories include the fittings, valve, pumps, and lab instruments etc.

Corrosion in SS :

Corrosion is the tendency of a metal to return to its most stable thermodynamic state which is the most negative free energy of formation. In straight forward terms, it is the chemical reaction of a metal with an environment to form a stable compound (e.g., oxide, sulfate, and carbonate). Every single metal undergoes corrosion to some degree under certain conditions Tuthill and Brunkow (2004), making material selection appropriate to the process a critical factor influencing equipment longevity (Tverberg, 2000c). Corrosion can be classified as under.

Aqueous corrosion :

It refers to corrosion in liquids or moist environments at temperatures upto 300°C, usually in water-based environments.

High temperature corrosion :

It denotes corrosion in hot gases at temperatures upto 1300°C.

Key factors affecting corrosion severity are ineffective plant maintenance, inadequate understanding of corrosion sources, incorrect material specification for operating conditions (e.g., temperature, chemicals), sub-optimal operational practices (e.g., poor rinsing of residues, overall cleanability) and misuse of halogen-releasing sterilants (e.g., sodium hypochlorite) IDF (1985). Stainless steel undergoes five types of aqueous corrosion which is given.

General corrosion :

In this case, the passive layer on the metal surface

is broken down completely and corrosion is therefore, characterized by being uniform on the entire metal surface. General corrosion is frequent in reducing acids Tuthill and Avery (1999).

Inter-crystalline corrosion (inter-granular corrosion):

Inter granular attack (IGA), inter granular corrosion (IGC), or inter granular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) is commonly associated with welding. It is localized, preferential attack, at and adjacent to grain boundaries with relatively little corrosion within the grain, in which the alloy disintegrates (*i.e.* grains fall out) and/or loses its strength Weeks and Bennett (2006a).

Stress corrosion cracking :

SCC is defined as premature cracking in the presence of tensile stress and corrodents, neither of which acting alone causes failure (Raman, 2003). The presence of dissolved oxygen or other oxidizing species is critical to the cracking of austenitic SS in chloride solutions; specifically, if oxygen is removed cracking does not occur Tverberg (2000b). SCC is aggravated by low pH Dillon *et al.* (1992c).

Pitting/cavitation erosion/pinpoint corrosion :

Pits are formed by the significant reduction of the chromium-to-iron ratio surrounding a particle impurity Freemantle (2002). Cr in the passive layer becomes dissolved exposing iron and creating an active site Tverberg (2000a). Rapid dissolution occurs within the pit, producing excess positive charge and resulting in chloride ion migration to maintain electro-neutrality. Pitting possesses a similar mechanism to crevice corrosion.

Crevice corrosion (deposit corrosion) :

Crevice corrosion is a type of galvanic corrosion comprised of four stages. For chloride crevice corrosion specifically, these stages are :

- Depletion of oxygen from the electrolyte within the crevice causing it to become anodic (*i.e.* unbalanced excess of positive charge) to adjacent exposed surfaces owing to metal dissolution in the crevice but not the adjacent surface Dillon *et al.* (1992a),
- Increase of acidity and rebalance of charge when metal ions combine with hydroxyl ions (slower migration) and chloride ions (faster migration)

owing to greater mobility) and rise in metal chloride concentration in the crevice electrolyte Fontana (1986),

- Permanent breakdown of the protective passive film and onset of rapid corrosion, and
- Crevice corrosion propagation Borenstein (1994) and Johnson (1988).

Corrosion preventive aspects of SS :

Though stainless steel is naturally passivated by exposure to air and other oxidizers, additional surface treatments often are needed to prevent corrosion. Passivation, pickling, electro-polishing and in some circumstances, mechanical cleaning, are important surface treatments for the successful performance of stainless steel used for piping, pressure vessels, tanks and machined parts in a wide variety of applications Tuthill and Brunkow (2004). Among them: pulp mills, nuclear power plants, hospital sterilization systems, food processing equipment, biotechnology processing plants, breweries, electronic-chip washing facilities, swimming pool hardware, water treatment plants and chemical process plants Tuthill *et al.* (1997). Determining which treatment should be used for specific applications is confusing to many specifiers. Cleaning and De-scaling of stainless steel parts, equipment and systems, an excellent resource document for the cleaning of stainless steel, although it does not cover electro-polishing Raghavan *et al.* (1994).

Passivation treatments :

Exposure to air is the natural, primary passivation treatment for stainless steel. This exposure produces a thin, durable chromium oxide film that forms rapidly on the alloy surface and gives stainless steel its characteristic stainless quality. Exposure of the surface to water or other oxidizing environments also produces this passivating film Gilmore *et al.* (1998). Additional passivation is called for in many specifications to remove light surface contamination from machined stainless steel parts, including shop dirt, iron particles from cutting tools, and machining lubricants Tverberg (2000a). Passivation treatments of stainless steel with nitric or mild organic acids are useful mild cleaning operations performed after machining to enhance the protective nature of the natural, air-formed film. Nitric acid treatment enhances the level of chromium in the

protective film on stainless steels Tuthill and Brunkow (2004).

Electro-cleaning and electro-polishing :

Electro-cleaning, an electro-polishing technique, is a useful alternative to pickling treatments. It is widely used to remove imperfections from the surface of stainless steel after fabrication. It removes embedded iron particles and similar film defects as does pickling Gilmore *et al.* (1998). Unlike pickling, electro-cleaning does not roughen the surface, but makes it smoother. A 12V dcpower source with variable current capability is connected to the stainless steel, making it the anode. A copper cathode and an electrolyte usually phosphoric acid are then used to corrode away the protective film and several layers of the surface in a controlled manner by varying the current and dwell time Tuthill and Brunkow (2004).

Mechanical cleaning :

Mechanical de-scaling methods commonly used to clean welds. These include abrasive blasting, brushing, grinding, and chipping. However, if these mechanical cleaning procedures are not performed carefully, they can do more harm than producing good effect Tuthill *et al.* (1997).

Conclusion :

Stainless steels of various grades are widely used as engineering material for the fabrication of equipment. Stainless steels are corrosive resistant but under certain conditions these materials can stain and can corrode. Dairy and food industries are concerned with reliability of equipment and product purity. To achieve these, stainless steels are often the economical and practical materials of choice for process equipment. It is necessary to select most suitable grade of SS depending on the requirements for the specific application. The understanding of metallurgy and ways of fabrication are very essential to select right grade of SS. The food and dairy processing industries, corrosion becomes a critical factor directly influencing equipment, and indirectly, overall equipment longevity. Key to minimizing corrosion impact needs better understanding of factors affecting corrosion as early as the equipment design phase and throughout subsequent processing operation.

LITERATURE CITED

- Avery, R.E. (1991). Resist chlorides retain strength and ductility with duplex stainless steel alloys. *Chem. Engg. Prog.*, **87**(3) : 78-82.
- Borenstein, S.W. (1994). *Prevention in Microbiologically influenced corrosion handbook*. Industrial Press Inc., NEW YORK, U.S.A.
- Choulet, R.J. (1997). Stainless steel refining, AISE seminar in Debrit, *Paraxiar Technol. Incorp.*, **2**(8) : 120-126.
- Covert, R.A. and Tuthill, A.H. (2000). Stainless steels: An introduction to their metallurgy and corrosion resistance. *Dairy Food Environ. Sanit.*, **20**(7) : 506-517.
- Dillon, C.P., Rahoi, D.W. and Tuthill, A.H. (1992a). Stainless steels for bio-processing, Part I: materials selection. *Bio-Pharm.*, **5**(3) : 38-42.
- Dillon, C.P., Rahoi, D.W. and Tuthill, A.H. (1992b). Stainless steels for bio-processing, Part II: Classes of alloys. *Bio-Pharm.*, **5**(4) : 32-35.
- Dillon, C.P., Rahoi, D.W. and Tuthill, A.H. (1992c). Stainless steels for bio-processing, Part III: Classes of alloys. *Bio-Pharm.*, **5**(5) : 30-38.
- Fontana, M.G. (1986). *Corrosion Engineering*, (3rd Ed.). McGraw- Hill, NEW YORK. U.S.A.
- Francis, F.J. (2000). *Wiley Encyclopedia of Food Science and Technology* (2nd Ed.), John Wiley and Sons, Inc., **1**, NEW YORK, U.S.A.
- Freemantle, M. (2002). Stainless steel failure explained corrosion is caused by chromium depletion around sulfide impurities. *C&EN*, **80**(7) : 11.
- Gilmore, T.M., Maller, R.R. and Mills, V. (1998). Protecting stainless steel dairy equipment from corrosion. *Dairy Food Environ. Sanit.*, **18**(8) : 510-514.
- Gonzalez, M.M. (2000). Stainless steel in bio-technology tubing industry, Biotechnology/Pharmaceutical Facilities Design. *Thousand oaks California*, **7**(13) : 23-30.
- IDF (1985). An introduction to the commercially available surface finishes of stainless steels and notes on their corrosion resistance. Bulletin-189, International Dairy Federation.
- Johnson, M.J. (1988). The beneficial effects of increasing Cr, Ni, Mo and N on the corrosion resistance of stainless steels. *Bioprocess Engg. Sympos.*, **4**(11) : 53-58.
- Junker, B. (2009). Corrosion in bio processing application, *Bioprocess Biosyst Engg.*, **32** : 1-29.
- Raghavan, C.V., Shrichandan, B.R. and Shrinivas, R.P.N. (1994). Selection and uses of stainless steel in food industry, *Indian Food Industry*, **13**(2) : 26-32.
- Raman, R.K.S. (2003). Interplay of microbiological corrosion and alloy microstructure in stress corrosion cracking of weldments of advanced stainless steel. *Sadhana*, **28**(3&4):467-473.
- Ryan, M.P., Williams, D.E., Chater, R.J., Hutton, B.M. and McPhall, D.S. (2002). Why stainless steel corrodes in nature, *Food Proc.*, **415**(14) : 770-774.
- Tuthill, A.H. and Brunkow, R. (2004). Stainless steels for bio-processing. *Bio-proc.*, **2**(11) : 46-53.
- Tuthill, A.H., Avery, R.E. and Covert, R.A. (1997). Cleaning stainless steel surfaces prior to sanitary service. *Dairy Food Environ. Sanit.*, **17**(11) : 718-725.
- Tuthill, A.H., Avery, R.E., Lamb, S. and Kobrin, G (1998). Effect of chlorine on common materials in fresh water. *Mater Performs*, **37**(11) : 52-56.
- Tuthill, A.H. and Avery, R.E. (1999). Phorgotten phenomena: heat tints on stainless steels can cause corrosion problems. *Mater Perform*, **38**(2) : 72-73.
- Tverberg, J.C. (2000a). A stainless steel primer. Part I. The types of stainless steel. *Flow Control*, **6**(8) : 30-42.
- Tverberg, J.C. (2000b). A stainless steel primer. Part II. Corrosion mechanisms. *Flow Control*, **6**(9) : 34-39.
- Tverberg, J.C. (2000c). A stainless steel primer. Part III. Proper selection of stainless steels. *Flow Control*, **6**(10) : 28-36.
- Weeks, D.T. and Bennett, T.M. (2006a). Specifying equipment for high purity fluid flow. *Chem. Eng.*, **113**(8) : 27-30.
- Weeks, D.T. and Bennett, T.M. (2006b). How to specify equipment for high-purity processes. *Pharm. Proc.*, **23**(11): 16-20.

Received : 14.02.2014; Accepted : 19.05.2015