#### RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Screening of soybean varieties against girdle beetle and other pests

## ■ HARISH KUMAR NETAM\*<sup>1</sup> AND SUJEET SINGH KANWAR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, RAIPUR (C.G) INDIA <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, RAIPUR (C.G) INDIA

#### ARITCLE INFO

**Received** : 01.10.2012 **Revised** : 20.01.2013 **Accepted** : 10.02.2013

Key Words : Screening, Soybean

lepidopteran pests, Sucking pests, Girdle beetle

\*Corresponding author:

#### ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted on Randomized Block Design in entomology field with plot size of 1m x 5m, row to row spacing 30 cm, replicated three times and date of sowing of this crop 5<sup>th</sup> july 2010. Total numbers of girdle beetle affected plants were recorded in each plot at ten days interval. In a screening trial with 12 genotypes including three check varieties, girdle beetle infestation ranged from 1.7 damaged plants in L129, H<sub>2</sub> P<sub>2</sub> and F5 02-2 Sel-3 to 3.7 damaged plants per meter row in genotype G<sub>4</sub>P<sub>15</sub>. L129 with 3.1 larvae per meter row was least infested by lepidopterous pests and F<sub>1</sub> P<sub>21</sub> with 5.3 larval/meter row was most damaged by caterpillar pests.Similary, genotype L129 with 2.8 insects/plant was least preferred and F<sub>4</sub> P<sub>20</sub> with 3.7 sucking pests/ plant was most preferred by white flies and jassids. Based on overall pest incidence, genotype L129 with least number of girdle beetle damaged plants and lepidopterous larvae per meter row and minimum density of sucking pests per plant was least preferred by these insects recording 31.1 q/ha grain yield.

How to view point the article : Netam, Harish Kumar and Kanwar, Sujeet Singh (2013). Screening of soybean varieties against girdle beetle and other pests. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, 6(1) : 73-76.

## **INTRODUCTION**

harish.netam15@gmail.com

Soybean is a wonder crop of twentieth century. It is an excellent source of protein and oil. It is a two dimensional crop as it contains about 40-42 per cent high quality protein and 20-22 per cent oil. It also contains 20-30 per cent carbohydrates. The protein quality of soybean is equivalent to that of meat, milk products and eggs. Hence, it is well established fact that soybean is cheap source of protein and edible oil. These characteristics have made soybean to fit well in sustainable agriculture. During the late sixties and early seventies, the soybean crop was considered to be comparatively safe crop as regards to insect pest attack. However, Gangrade (1976) reported over 99 insect species attacking soybean crop at Jabalpur.but now the situation has changed and as many as 275 insect species have been recorded attacking soybean crop in India. Researchers in many parts of India have confirmed that seed yield and seed quality are being adversely affected by major insect pests viz., girdle beetle, tobacco caterpillar, green semilooper, *Helicoverpa* armigera, jassids and white fly.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Twelve advanced genotypes of soybean were screened against girdle beetle and other pests under randomized block design in which plot size was  $1m \times 5m$ , row to row spacing 30 cm, replicated three times. The crop was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> July 2010 to evaluate the incidence of girdle beetle and other pests during *Kharif* season. Screening of nine genotypes of soybean along with three check entries, was carried out in randomized block design with plot size of  $1m \times 5m$ , row to row spacing 30 cm, replicated three times The entries were sown on 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2010.

#### **Observations recorded :**

The observations were taken at ten days interval by counting the total numbers of plants and number of plants

infested by girdle beetle and other major pests from randomly selected one meter row lengths in each plot. The observations were initiated with first appearance of girdle beetle on the crop. The varieties screened are listed in Table A.

#### **Stastical analysis :**

The data on girdle beetle infested plants were converted into percentage infestation. The data so obtained were subjected to arc sine transformation for statistical analysis. Using the formula  $\sqrt{X} = \sin^{-1} P$ , where, X = transformed value and P = percentage data. This transformed data were then analyzed by the method of analysis of variance as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The "F" test was used at 5 per cent level of significance. Critical difference (CD) values were analyzed at 5 per cent level of significance. The skeleton of analysis of variance and formula used for various estimations are given in Table B.

The following formulae were used for standard error, critical difference and co-efficient of variance estimations :

(a) S.E.
$$\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\text{EMS}}{\text{R}}}$$
  
(b) C. D.  $= \sqrt{\frac{\text{EMS}}{\text{R}}} \times t_{11}$  (D.F. at 5%)  
(c) C.V. (%)  $= \sqrt{\frac{\text{EMS}}{\text{R}}} \times 100$ 

where,

R = Number of Replications,	D.F = Degrees of freedom
T = Number of Treatments,	S.S. = Sum of square
C.D. = Critical difference,	C.V. = Coefficient of variance
M.S.S. = Mean sum of square,	EMS = Error mean square
$S.E. \pm = Standard error of means$	s, GM= General mean
t = error degree of freedom at 5	% level of significance

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A trial was conducted during Kharif, 2010 to screen nine genotypes of soybean against girdle beetle and other major insect pests with three zonal checks. The genotypes were sown in Randomized Block Design with plot size 1m X 5m, row to row spacing 30 cm, replicated three times. The trial was sown on 4th July 2010. Total number of plants and number of plants infested by girdle beetle, number of lepidopterous caterpillars per meter row length and mean population of sucking pests per plant were recorded at ten days interval.

Based on the data (Table 1) recorded for girdle beetle infestation, the number of girdle beetle damaged plants/meter row ranged from 1.70 to 3.70. Minimum incidence of girdle beetle (1.7 damaged plants/meter row) was observed on three genotypes viz., F<sub>5</sub> 02-2 sel-3, H<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> and L129. It was followed  $F_4P_{21}$  and  $H_5P_{19}$  each with 2.3 damaged plants/ meter row. Genotype  $G_4P_{15}$  with 3.7 damaged plants/meter row was most damaged by girdle beetle as against 2.3 to 2.7 damaged plants per meter row in check varieties.

Table A : List of soybean varieties f	for screening against girdle beetle	
Sr. No.	Treatment no.	Varieties
1.	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$F_1P_{21}$
2.	V 2	$F_4P_{20}$
3.	<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	$F_4P_{21}$
4.	V 4	FS 02-2 sel.3
5.	V 5	$G_4P_{15}$
6.	V 6	$H_2P_5$
7.	V 7	$H_2P_2$ ,
8.	V 8	$H_5P_{19}$
9.	V 9	L <sub>129,</sub>
10.	${f V}_{10}$	Check 1* Bragg
11.	V 11	V <sub>11</sub> - Check 2* RKS-18
12.	V 12	V <sub>12</sub> -Check 3* JS-97-52

Table B : The skeleton of th	e analysis of variance						
Source of variation	DF	SS	MSS	F cal	F tab	S.E.±	C.D. 5%
Replication (R)	(R-1)=2						
Treatment (T)	(T-1)= 11						
Error	(R-1)(T-1)=22						
Total	RT-1= 35						

Internat. J. Plant Protec., 6(1) April, 2013: 73-76

74 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

Serve     Concorpos     No. of "series definegation     No. of "series definegation     No. of "series definedation       1     12-3     3.3     3.1     No. of "series definedation     No. of "series definedation       2     12-3     3.3     3.1     3.0     3.0     0.5       2     12-3     2.1     3.0     3.1     3.0     0.5       3     12-3     2.1     3.1     3.0     3.1     0.5     0.5       4     13-5     2.1     2.1     3.1     3.1     3.1     3.1       5     0-7     3.1     3.1     3.1     3.1     3.1       6     13-3     2.1     3.1     3.1     3.1     3.1       6     13-3     2.1     3.1     3.1     3.1     3.1       9     12-3     2.3     2.1     3.1     3.1     3.1       9     13-3     13-3     1.1     3.2     3.1     3.1     3.1       9     12-3     1.1     1.1	AS 000000000 20					
유권· 공 전· 2 전· 2 전· 2 전· 2 전· 2 전· 2 전· 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전 2 전	raia Trevia	No. of Erveo una Chrysodeoxis acuta	C. K.	Nemisia tabaci	sia kabaci - Nimpoasca kerri	Ql∽E.
3. Pac 2.7 2.0   3. Pac 2.3 2.3   3. Pac 2.3 2.3   3. Pac 2.1 2.3   0. Pac 3.1 3.1   0. Pac 3.1 3.2   0. Pac 2.1 2.4						28.9
3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3						19 × 10
15,02,2,352,3 (1,1) (2,3) (C, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa, Fa				2.5	801	35.55
C) 2,3 3,1 3,1   13,3 2,1 2,8   13,3 2,1 2,6   13,3 2,3 2,1   13,3 2,3 2,1   13,3 2,3 2,1   13,3 2,3 2,1   13,3 1,1 2,6   13,3 1,1 2,6   13,3 1,1 3,7			87 87			32.5
「1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1				2.6		28.9
Ta 35 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.7 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.5 2.7 2.7 2.5 2.7 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.5 2.5 2.4 2.7 1.1.79 1.9 13 eags 2.1 3.2					6.4	37/.8
1.1.25 1.1. Base 2.2				$\gamma_{a}\gamma_{a}$		1994 - 19 19 19
Treff: 2.2						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Listers: 2.7						
		24 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5.2	2.5		37.8
						116
12. 08.97/32 2.3 3.0		A. W.	5.0			28.9

The same genotypes were screened for resistance against major caterpillar pests, tobacco caterpillar (Spodoptera *litura*) and semilooper (*Chrysodecxis acuta*). Among the different genotypes, L129 with 1.9 larvae per meter row was least preferred by Spodoptera litura. It was followed by F5 02-2 sel-03 and  $H_5P_{19}$  with 2.3 and 2.4 larvae per meter row, respectively. Genotype  $G_4P_{15}$  with 3.4 larvae/meter row was most attacked by tobacco caterpillar, among the test entries. Genotype F5 02-2 sel-03 with 1.0 larvae per meter row was least attacked by green semilooper (C. acuta). It was followed by  $H_2P_5$ ,  $H_4P_{20}$ ,  $H_2P_2$  with 1.3, 1.6 and 1.6 larvae per meter row, respectively. Genotype  $F_1P_{21}$  with 2.2 larvae per meter row was most attacked by the semilooper. It was followed by L129,  $H_5 P_{19}$  and  $P_4 P_{21}$  with 1.9,1.8 and 1.8 larvae per meter row, respectively, as against 1.9 to 2.0 larvae per meter row in check varieties.

Based on total lepidopterous larval infestation, genotype  $F_1P_{21}$  with 5.3 larvae per meter row was most attacked as against 4.9 to 5.2 larvae per meter row in check entries. Genotype L129 with 3.1 larvae per meter row was least preferred by the lepidopterous pests and it was followed by F5 02-2 sel-3 with 3.3 larvae per meter row.

Among the sucking pests, the incidence of whitefly was comparatively higher than that of jassids. The incidence of whiteflies ranged from 2.1 whiteflies per plant on  $H_2P_2$  and L129 to 2.6 whiteflies per plant on genotype  $G_4P_{15}$  as against 2.5 and 2.7 whiteflies per plant in check entries. Similarly, the jassid incidence ranged from 0.7 to 1.2 jassids per plant on the test entries.

Genotype L129 with least jassid infestation (0.7 per plant) was least preffered by the jassids, whereas,  $F_4 P_{20}$  with 1.2 jassids per plant was most attacked as against 1.1 to 1.3 jassids per plant on the check entries.

Based on overall pest incidence, genotype L129 with least number of girdle beetle damaged plants per meter row(1.7), least number of lepidopterous caterpillars per meter row (3.1) and least number of whitefly (2.1) and jassids (0.7) per plant was least preferred by these insect pests.

The grain yield among different test genotypes ranged from 35.5 to 28.9 q/ha as against 24.4 to 37.8 q/ha yield in check entries. The highest yield of 35.5 q/ha was recorded with genotypes  $F_4 P_{21}$  and  $F_5 02-2$  Sel-03 as against 31.1 q/ha yield in L129, the most resistant genotype against all the insects.

The Directorate of Soybean Research reported that out of 54 genotypes of soybean evaluated against major pests, JS-97-52 had 2.22 girdle beetle damaged plants at Kota and 2.24 and 2.81 girdle beetle damaged plants per meter row in variety JS-97-52 and Bragg at Amravati (DSR, 2006; DSR, 2007). This is almost similar to the present finding with 2.3 and 2.7 girdle beetle infested plants per meter row in JS-97-52 and Bragg, respectively.

# **REFERENCES**

Chung, G. and Singh, R.J. (2008). Broadening the genetic base of Soybean: A Multidisciplinary Approach. *Critical Rev. Pl. Sci.* 27(4):295–341.

**DSR (2005).** Annual Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on soybean, NRC for soybean, Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.) INDIA.

**DSR (2006).** Annual Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on soybean, NRC for soybean, Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.) INDIA.

**DSR (2007).** Annual Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on soybean, NRC for soybean, Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.) INDIA.

**DSR (2008).** Annual Report of All India Coordinated Research Project on soybean, NRC for soybean, Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.) INDIA.

Gupta, A., Sharma, D. and Bagmare, A. (1995). Screening soybean germplasm for resistance to *Obereopsis brevis* (Swed.) and *Ophiomyia phaseoli* (Tryon). *Crop Res., Hissar.*, **10**(3): 338-343.

Gupta, M.P., Chourasia, S.K. and Rai, H.S. (2004). Field resistance of soybean genotypes against incidence of major insect pests. *Annals Pl. Prot. Sci.*, **12**(1): 63-66.

\*\*\*\*\*\*