

# Training needs for Bhil Tribes for agriculture and allied activities in Rajgarh district Madhya Pradesh

■ SANJEEV VERMA, DEEPALI AGRAWAL, S.S. DHAKAD AND A.K. GUPTA

Received : 15.11.2013; Revised : 09.02.2014; Accepted : 21.02.2014

See end of the Paper for authors' affiliation

Correspondence to :

**SANJEEV VERMA**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra  
(J.N.K.V.V.), HOSHANGABAD  
(M.P.) INDIA

■ **ABSTRACT** : Tribal people, scheduled tribes and castes constitute the weakest section of India's population. Madhya Pradesh is one of the largest states of our country having 22.7 per cent of tribal people. The present study was conducted in Narsingharh block of Rajgarh district of Madhya Pradesh covering 90 Bhil tribal men. Eighty four per cent men had low level of knowledge in Agriculture. The variables like education, land holding, socio-economic status, improved agriculture technology, economic motivation and scientific orientation were found to be significant with the knowledge of farm men. It was found that majority of the respondents had expressed their low level of knowledge with respect to all agriculture activities. The constraints expressed were less contact with extension workers, problem of irrigation, lack of proper guidance, economic problem and non availability of inputs at time.

■ **KEY WORDS** : Training, Need, Agriculture, Tribal

■ **HOW TO CITE THIS PAPER** : Verma, Sanjeev, Agrawal, Deepali, Dhakad, S.S. and Gupta, A.K. (2014). Training needs for Bhil Tribes for agriculture and allied activities in Rajgarh district Madhya Pradesh. *Internat. J. Agric. Engg.*, 7(1) : 113-116.

India has the second largest concentrations of tribal population in the world after the African continent. According to 2001 census, the tribal population was about 22.51 per cent of the total population and in Madhya Pradesh this was 22.27 per cent of the total population. The average yield of crops in Madhya Pradesh may increase through rigorous training in the improved agricultural technology. But, the majority of the tribal farmers are not imparted with these technologies. Since most of the recent technological developments could not reach these people due to inadequate communication facilities. Lack of information is causing a wide gap. There is a paucity of knowledge among the tribal farmers about the improved agricultural technology and its rate of training. The tribal people need to train about scientific farming. One of the base to impart new knowledge and skills to the farmers is to have a training which make the person more informed and abreast of the new technology. Training of farmer has assumed further importance and urgency in the context of the high yielding varieties and improved practices in agriculture and allied fields. Training is effective and purposeful, If it is based on and synchronized with the local needs and requirements (Mahapatra, 1978, Farooqui *et al.*, 1992 and Gupta *et al.*, 2008). The present study was taken up

with view to identify the socio-economic personal characteristics of the tribal men farmer of the area and also the study of the training needs as perceived by the tribal men farmer regarding agricultural technologies and relationship between training needs and selected independent variables.

## ■ METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted at Narsingharh block of Rajgarh district in Madhya Pradesh during the year 2010 consisting highest population of tribal community. In Narsingharh block, out of 272 villages, six villages were selected with the help of random sampling methods namely Bairasia, Kankrbal, Mabasa, Nandgaon, Pipladhakad, Bhilkhedi.

### Selection of the respondents:

A list of farmers (who have owned land) selected from six villages was obtained from the Patwari circle. 15 male farmers were selected from each selected village by simple random sampling method. Thus, 90 respondents were selected for this study.

The data were collected by personal interview method with the help of pre-tested schedule. The knowledge level

pertaining to production technology of agriculture and allied activities was measured to on low and high level score was assigned, respectively. The training needs of each major areas was assessed using two point scale such as high level and low level of knowledge. The training needs of each major subject matter areas and specific areas were assessed using two point scale. The collected data were analyzed by using the statistical tests.

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental findings obtained from the present study have been discussed in following heads:

### Knowledge level of tribal farmers:

The respondents were categorized into two group's base on the knowledge score about the tribal farm men in agriculture. The data on the practices in each of the crops like soybean, maize, paddy, niger, lentil, wheat and those crops grown by the respondent were identified and included for the present study (Table 1). Data reveal that 84.4 per cent had low level knowledge in agriculture, and 15.6 per cent had high level of knowledge in agriculture. The majority belongs to low level knowledge about agriculture may be due to their level of education and scientific orientation towards production practices. The similar results were also reported by Murugan and Dharmalingam (2005).

| Level of knowledge | Number | Per cent |
|--------------------|--------|----------|
| Low                | 76     | 84.4     |
| High               | 14     | 15.6     |

### Relationship between the knowledge and socio-economic characteristics of tribal men:

The results in Table 2 indicate that out of ten variables nine variable were found significant at 0.05 level of provability. The variables like education, family size, land holding, annual income, social participants, socio economic status, improved Agriculture technology, knowledge level and innovative proneness had significant association with the extent of training needs of tribal men, however age had non significant association with the knowledge level and training needs of tribal (Shivalingaiah and Nagabhushnam, 2010).

### Training needs of tribal farm men with respect to their knowledge level:

The data given in Table 3 indicated that, among 90 male respondents. 88.9 per cent respondents showed high training needs while 11.1 per cent showed low training needs in field preparation. Data indicated that out of the total 90 respondents. 77.8 per cent respondents showed high training needs while

**Table 2 : Relationship between the knowledge and socio economic characteristics of tribal men**

| Sr. No. | Characteristics                 | X <sup>2</sup>     |
|---------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1.      | Age                             | 1.02 <sup>NS</sup> |
| 2.      | Education                       | 7.56**             |
| 3.      | Family size                     | 5.93**             |
| 4.      | Annual income                   | 6.55**             |
| 5.      | Land holding                    | 12.29**            |
| 6.      | Social participants             | 7.78**             |
| 7.      | Socio economic status           | 5.82**             |
| 8.      | Improved agriculture technology | 10.54**            |
| 9.      | Knowledge level                 | 7.82**             |
| 10.     | Innovativeness                  | 6.56**             |

\*\* indicates significance of value at P=0.05

22.2 per cent showed low training needs in sowing techniques and knowledge of improved varieties, 68.9 8 per cent respondents showed high training needs while 30.1 per cent men showed low training needs.

Regarding training needs in seed treatment and use of biofertilizer as perceived by male respondents, 91.1 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 8.9 per cent male showed low training needs. 71.1 per cent respondents showed high training needs while 28.9 per cent showed low training needs for the use of chemical fertilizers. 97.8 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 2.2 per cent male showed low training needs for the use of micro nutrients.

Among all the 90 male respondents. 84.4 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 15.6 per cent male showed low training needs for the manure application, respectively and 77.8 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 22.2 per cent male showed low training needs for the mechanical weed control practices. Training needs in chemical weed control measures as perceived by the male respondents, it is clear from the data that 98.9 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 1.1 per cent male showed low training needs for the chemical weed control measures. 87.8 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 12.2 per cent male showed low training needs for the plant protection measures. 88.9 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 11.1 per cent male showed low training needs for the soil and water management techniques, fisheries and improved agriculture implements, respectively. 90 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 10 per cent male showed low training needs for the method of storage and post harvest technologies, respectively. 86.7 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 13.3 per cent male showed low training needs for the marketing. Out of the total 90 male respondents. 91.1 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 8.9 per cent male showed low training

**Table 3 : Training needs of tribal farm men with respect to their knowledge level**

| Sr. No. | Agriculture activities                              | Level of knowledge high |          | Level of knowledge low |          |
|---------|---|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|         |   | No.                     | Per cent | No.                    | Per cent |
| 1.      | Field preparation                                   | 80                      | 88.9     | 10                     | 11.1     |
| 2.      | Sowing techniques                                   | 70                      | 77.8     | 20                     | 22.2     |
| 3.      | Use of improved varieties                           | 62                      | 68.9     | 28                     | 30.1     |
| 4.      | Seed treatment                                      | 82                      | 91.1     | 8                      | 8.9      |
| 5.      | Use of chemical fertilizer                          | 64                      | 71.1     | 26                     | 28.9     |
| 6.      | Use of Bio fertilizer                               | 82                      | 91.1     | 8                      | 8.9      |
| 7.      | Application of manure                               | 76                      | 84.4     | 14                     | 15.6     |
| 8.      | Use of micronutrient                                | 88                      | 97.8     | 02                     | 2.2      |
| 9.      | Mechanical weed control                             | 70                      | 77.8     | 20                     | 22.2     |
| 10.     | Chemical weed control                               | 89                      | 98.9     | 01                     | 1.1      |
| 11.     | Plant protection                                    | 79                      | 87.8     | 11                     | 12.2     |
| 12.     | Soil and water management                           | 80                      | 88.9     | 10                     | 11.1     |
| 13.     | Improved agriculture implements                     | 80                      | 88.9     | 10                     | 11.1     |
| 14.     | Method of storage                                   | 81                      | 90       | 09                     | 10       |
| 15.     | Post harvest technologies                           | 81                      | 90       | 09                     | 10       |
| 16.     | Marketing of products                               | 78                      | 86.7     | 12                     | 13.3     |
| 17.     | Animal husbandry and dairy production technology    | 82                      | 91.1     | 08                     | 8.9      |
| 18.     | Fisheries   | 80                      | 88.9     | 10                     | 11.1     |
| 19.     | Horticulture, vegetable and floriculture technology | 82                      | 91.1     | 08                     | 8.9      |
| 20.     | Poultry production                                  | 83                      | 92.2     | 07                     | 7.8      |
| 21.     | Bee keeping and sericulture                         | 83                      | 92.2     | 07                     | 7.8      |
| 22.     | Goat rearing  | 83                      | 92.2     | 07                     | 7.8      |

**Table 4 : Constraints faced by farm men in various farm management operations**

| Sr. No. | Problem                              | No. | Per cent |
|---------|--------------------------------------|-----|----------|
| 1.      | Never received any type of training. | 88  | 95       |
| 2.      | Less contact with extension workers  | 81  | 90       |
| 3.      | Lack of proper guidance              | 65  | 70       |
| 4.      | Non Availability of inputs           | 74  | 80       |
| 5.      | Problems of irrigation               | 84  | 93.3     |
| 6.      | Economic problem                     | 84  | 93.3     |

needs for the animal husbandry and dairy production and horticulture, vegetable and floriculture, respectively. 92.2 per cent male respondents showed high training needs while 7.8 per cent male showed low training needs for the poultry production, Beekeeping and sericulture, respectively .

#### **Constraints faced by farm men in various farm management operations:**

Table 4 shows that 95 per cent of the tribal respondents never received any training on any aspects including improved cultivation technologies .Less contact with extension workers, problem of irrigation, lack of proper guidance, economic problem and non availability of inputs were major problem as perceived by 90 per cent, 93.3 per cent, 70 per cent, 93.3

per cent 80 per cent, respectively.

Thus, it can be concluded that the problems mentioned above needs to be tackle as the above aspects have a direct impact on the post training activities and final adoption.

#### **Conclusion:**

A majority of tribal men have low level of knowledge in the agriculture and the variables like education, farming experience, level of aspiration, cosmopolitans, economic motivation and innovative proneness were found to have relation with the knowledge level of tribal men. The constraints expressed were non availability of input in time, lack of irrigation facilities, lack of training etc. as major problem in farming. There is need for reaching the tribal men with

different extension and training programmes effectively and necessary steps to be taken to increase gender specific research work to improve the content of extension message that are appropriate for farmers.

---

Authors' affiliations:

**DEEPALI AGRAWAL**, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (J.N.K.V.V.), HOSHANGABAD (M.P.) INDIA

**S.S. DHAKAD**, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (R.V.S.K.V.V.), DHAR (M.P.) INDIA

**A.K. GUPTA**, Faculty of Agriculture, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, CHITRAKOOT (U.P.) INDIA

---

## ■ REFERENCES

**Farooqui, H.F., Katora, P.M. And Kulkarni, N.V. (1992).** Training

needs of farm men. *Maharashtra J. Extn. Edu.*, **11** : 10-13.

**Gupta, A.K., Singh, Y.K. and Verma, Sanjeev (2008).** Training needs as perceived by tribal farmers with respect to soybean cultivation. *New Agriculturist*, **19** (1, 2) : 25-27.

**Mahapatra, S. (1978).** Modernization of tribal agriculture technology and cultural restraints. *Bionomic & Political Weekly*, **13**(3): 81-85.

**Murugan, K.R. and Dharmalingam, B. (2005).** Self help groups- New mens movement in Tamil Nadu. *Social Welfare*, **47** : 9-12.

**Shivalingaiah, Y.N. and Nagabhushnam, K. (2010).** Correlates of farm knowledge among rural youth in Tumkur district. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **44**(4): 889-892.

7<sup>th</sup>  
Year  
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★