

## Combining ability studies in *Kharif* sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]

■ R. C. JYOTI, C. S. DANARADDI, MANJUNATH TATTIMANI, SANGAMESHA HAKKALAPPAVAR AND SHILPA B. BIRADAR

### SUMMARY

Combining ability effects and variances were estimated in  $F_1$ s and their parents. The analysis of variance for combining ability indicated that, mean sum of squares due to female x male interaction was highly significant for all the traits except days to maturity. In the present study contribution of females for variability was found to be higher than that of males for majority of the characters studied except number of leaves per plant, peduncle length and panicle length. The sca variance was higher than gca variance *i.e.* variance ratio was less than unity, indicating predominance of dominance variance. Dominance variance was more than additive variance for all the characters studied. The parents SB 7001, CS 3541 and DSV 6 among females and IS 3547 among males were good general combiners for grain yield and its components. These parents should be extensively used in the crossing programme to exploit maximum genetic variability and isolate transgressive segregants for grain yield and its components. Based on the sca effects and *per se* performance the hybrid CS 3541 x IS 8607 was found to be better than commercial hybrids CSH 16 and DSH 3 for grain yield and other traits. Hence, segregants of these in further generation may give promising genotypes. Thus these may be advanced to next generation.

**Key Words :** Combing ability, *Kharif*, Sorghum

**How to cite this article :** Jyoti, R.C., Danaraddi, C.S., Tattimani, Manjunath, Hakkalappaavar, Sangamesha and Biradar, Shilpa B. (2012). Combining ability studies in *Kharif* sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, 7 (1) : 147-150.

**Article chronicle :** Received : 24.09.2011; Sent for revision : 18.10.2011; Accepted : 03.12.2011

Genetic information especially about the nature of combining ability and type of gene action governing the inheritance of important traits are guidelines to breeder in

selecting the parents for the development of hybrids, varieties and parental lines. Selection of parents based on combining ability play a vital role in developing superior genotypes for grain yield and its components.

### MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH FORUM

**Author to be contacted :**

R. C. JYOTI, Department of Genetics and Plant breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA

**Address of the co-authors:**

C. S. DANARADDI, Department of Agricultural Entomology, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA

MANJUNATH TATTIMANI, Department of Agronomy, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, HISAR (HARYANA) INDIA

SANGAMESHA HAKKALAPPAVAR, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Navile, SHIMOGA (KARNATAKA) INDIA

SHILPA B. BIRADAR, Department of English (Optional), Kuvempu University, SHIMOGA (KARNATAKA) INDIA

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental material was supplied by All India Co-ordinated Sorghum Improvement Project, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. The base material for experimentation consisted of four resistant males *viz.*, IS 8607, IS 8185, IS 3547 and IS 27042 for downy mildew and eight females *viz.*, SB 7001, CS 3541, DSV 3, DSV 6, IMS 9B, DSV 2, SPV 1747, SPV 1600 and their 32  $F_1$  hybrids. Thirty-two  $F_1$  hybrids were developed by hybridization between resistant x susceptible genotypes during 2005-06. The observations were recorded on five plants for grain yield and other component traits. To derive information on combining ability, line x tester

Table 1: Vertical sum of squares for parameters for parameters in sorghum

Source	df	Days to 50% flowering	Days to harvest	No. of leaves/plant	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Plant yield (g/plant)	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Seed yield/plant
Replication	1	1.56	260.22	0.29	1.33*	0.73	22.39	8.71	269.28	269.28
Treatments	7	37.03**	1073.96	3.70	27.18**	16.53	15.99	173.26	137.37	137.37
M.E.G	3	56.71**	333.53	20.93**	163.70**	13.71*	23.16	177.81	277.71	277.71
Treatments x M.E.G	21	3.67**	172.61**	2.61**	28.83**	5.57**	17.23**	195.26**	197.81**	197.81**
Error	31	1.65	302.81	0.77	2.93	0.77	0.99	37.61	22.37	22.37
Correlation coefficients (r)										
Correlation of Treatments (r)		0.75	0.99	0.86	0.72	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.75
Correlation of Treatments (r)		0.87	0.83	0.87	0.78	0.83	0.78	0.83	0.83	0.83
Correlation of Treatments (r)		0.81	0.78	0.83	0.75	0.78	0.81	0.78	0.78	0.78
CCA		0.30	0.27	0.63	0.28	0.30	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.78
SCA		3/9	109/0	0.78	0.71	0.62	6.62	15.82	87.62	87.62
CCA/SCA		0.088	0.00039	0.08	0.68	0.77	0.0050	0.0059	0.0025	0.0025

\* and \*\* indicates significant values at 5% and 1% level of probability

Table 2: Correlation coefficients for parameters for parameters in sorghum

Parameters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to harvest	No. of leaves/plant	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Plant yield (g/plant)	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Seed yield/plant
Yield									
Yield	0.75**	0.77	0.72	0.73**	0.77**	0.77	0.73**	0.73**	0.73**
Yield	0.63**	0.68	0.67	0.67**	0.76**	0.67**	0.67**	0.67**	0.67**
Yield	0.38	0.70	0.72	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67
Yield	0.63	0.77	0.69	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67
Yield	0.38**	0.38	0.78	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Yield	0.33*	0.30	0.59	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
Yield	0.38	0.69	0.69	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Yield	0.5**	0.66	0.66	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
Yield	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
M.E.G									
M.E.G	0.19	0.05**	0.22	0.20**	0.76**	0.85**	0.37	0.37	0.37
M.E.G	0.69**	0.51*	0.09	0.30**	0.67**	0.18**	0.79**	0.68	0.25
M.E.G	0.97**	0.16*	0.20	0.72**	0.75**	0.13**	0.79**	0.79**	0.79**
M.E.G	0.56**	0.96**	0.16	0.30**	0.77**	0.85**	0.57*	0.57*	0.57**
M.E.G	0.32	0.16	0.67	0.68	0.16	0.73	0.33	0.77	0.67

\* and \*\* indicates significant values at 5% and 1% level of probability

Table 8: Stability analysis of yield and per se values for various traits in response to different environments in 2009

Days to 50% flowering	Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering			Days to 50% flowering				
	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability	Per se	BOE	Stability		
SV 1000 x	11.50	2.95	1.01	13.75	1.03	1.65	21.50	1.16	22.55	0.53	12.85	0.17	30.75	0.39	30.75	1.50	1.59	1.73	1.56	1.56	1.73	5.56	
SV 357			*																				
SV 357 x	71.00	29.71	29.71	9.35	1.28	1.21	56.50	3.81	21.95	0.12	8.10	11.62	21.90	0.19	21.90	2.67	19.67	20.19	20.19	19.67	20.19	59.71	
SV 8607			*																				**
SV 3 x	75.00	33.30	1.20	12.60	1.91	1.25	32.30	0.21	16.75	0.12	9.60	23.85	29.18	0.15	29.18	1.96	16.25	2.81	2.81	16.25	2.81	28.16	
SV 27072			**																				
SV 6 x	67.50	5.75	0.17	8.50	5.09	1.50	50.25	0.66	32.10	2.51	13.85	5.27	27.26	0.09	27.26	7.87	12.97	0.26	0.26	12.97	0.26	1.12	
SV 835																							
SV 5 x	73.50	16.68	0.39	10.05	0.78	1.20	39.60	0.70	25.70	0.12	9.20	7.07	31.30	0.36	31.30	12.02	30.72	6.77	6.77	30.72	6.77	55.37	
SV 357																							
SV 53 x	71.50	1.57	0.21	10.99	0.77	1.22	59.55	0.67	27.90	0.12	7.75	11.95	27.67	0.09	27.67	18.58	7.69	0.16	0.16	7.69	0.16	38.99	
SV 357			**																				
SV 93 x	75.50	5.17	0.59	10.55	1.59	1.70	35.35	1.29	18.30	0.12	9.70	0.58	26.59	0.72	26.59	10.90	13.13	10.67	10.67	13.13	10.67	6.75	
SV 27072																							
SV 2 x	77.00	38.96	1.76	11.05	2.09	1.25	73.70	3.59	15.80	0.87	11.15	18.92	25.19	1.15	25.19	3.75	33.27	7.05	7.05	33.27	7.05	30.67	
SV 8607			**																				
SV 2 x	71.00	7.96	1.59	10.95	1.72	1.50	76.75	1.20	32.75	0.12	10.56	1.56	26.65	0.12	26.65	9.68	12.16	8.69	8.69	12.16	8.69	10.02	
SV 835			**																				
SV 171	76.00	9.76	0.97	12.70	0.28	1.50	37.50	1.69	25.95	2.52	15.75	8.85	18.88	1.57	18.88	5.97	16.52	2.78	2.78	16.52	2.78	36.8	
SV 357			*																				
SV 1000 x	12.30	1.67	0.77	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67

\* and \*\* indicate significant values at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively

analysis developed by Kempthorne (1957) were followed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of combining ability ANOVA revealed that, mean sum of squares due to female x male interaction were highly significant for all the traits except days to maturity. In the present study, contribution of females for variability was found to be higher than that of males for majority of the characters studied except number of leaves per plant, peduncle length and panicle length. The sca variance was higher than the gca variance *i.e.* variance ratio was less than unity, indicating predominance of dominance variance. Dominance variance was more than additive variance for all the characters studied (Table 1). The parents SB 7001, CS 3541 and DSV 6 among females and IS 3547 among males were the good general combiners for grain yield and its components. Whereas, CS 3541 and SPV 1600 among females and IS 27042 among males were good general combiners for downy mildew resistance, which is an additional trait investigated along with grain yield and its component traits in present experiment. These good general combiners were identified based on their combining ability effects in desirable direction (Table 2).

Based on the sca effects and *per se* performance the hybrid CS 3541 x IS 8607 was found to be better than commercial hybrids CSH 16 and DSH 3 for grain yield and other traits (Table 3). Besides, CS 3541, SB 7001 and DSV 6 were the good general combiners and they are already been under use as good restorers in the production of commercial hybrids. Hence, segregants of these in further generation may give promising genotypes. Thus these may be advanced to next generation. Parents, DSV 6 and SB 7001 were the best general combiners for grain yield and its component traits.

Hence these parents may be used in development of commercial hybrids for grain yield. Parents CS 3541, SPV 1600 and IS 3547 may be utilized in the development of downy mildew resistant hybrids as these were good general combiners for resistant to downy mildew. Therefore, these parental lines can be utilized in developing superior hybrids. This is in accordance with the results reported by Armugam *et al.* (1995), Dangi and Paroda (1982) and Gururaj *et al.* (1994).

## REFERENCES

- Armugam, P.M., Rangaswamy, P., Nagarjun, N., Vanniaranjan, C. and Ramalingam, T. (1995). Combining ability for panicle characters in sorghum. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, **29**: 98-102.
- Biradar, B.D. (1995). Genetic studies involving diverse sources of cytoplasmic - genetic male sterility in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, DHARWAD KARNATAKA (India).
- Borikar, S.T. and Bhale, N.L. (1982). Line x tester analysis for yield components in winter sorghum. *Sorghum Newsletter*, **25**: 18.
- Dangi, O.P. and Paroda, R.S. (1978). Combining ability analysis for yield and its components in forage sorghum. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **48**: 287-290.
- Giriraj, K. and Goud, J.V. (1981). Heterosis for vegetative character in grain sorghum. *Indian J. Heredity*, **13**: 9-13.
- Gururaj Rao, M.R., Patil, S.J. and Parameshwarappa, R. (1994). Combining ability analysis of some panicle characters in Rabi sorghum. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **7**: 1-5.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*