- RESEARCH NOTE

Host range of Pythium ultimum infecting tomato

A.A. CHAVAN, G.D. DESHPANDE, S.N. ZAGADE AND P.K. MANE

Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Marathwada Agricultural University, PARBHANI (M.S.) INDIA

Email : vaishusdream@gmail.com

Key words : Phythium ultimum, Host plants, Seedling mortality

How to cite this paper : Chavan, A.A., Deshpande, G.D., Zagade, S.N. and Mane, P.K. (2012). Host range of *Pythium ultimum* infecting tomato. *Asian J. Bio. Sci.*, 7 (1): 237-240.

Tomato crop face the problem of pre-emergence damping off and post emergence damping off, reducing production of tomato crop. Damping off of seedlings in crop is caused by a group of fungi belonging to class oomycetes amongst which *Pythium* species are most important. There are two clear phases of the damping off *i.e.* pre-emergence and post-emergence.

The plants belonging to families of solanaceae, leguminasae chenopodiaceae and cruciferae vary in susceptibility to *Pythium* species. Therefore, to find out the different host of the *Pythium* spp., experiment was undertaken by inoculating *Pythium ultimum* on different hosts.

In order to assess the host range of *P. ultimum* this experiment was conducted at Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur in 2006, an experiment in Split Plot Design was planned with four replications and following treatments:

Main treatments : 20 crops

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} C_1\mbox{-}C_1\mbox{-}C_1\mbox{-}C_1\mbox{-}C_1\mbox{-}C_2\$

Sub treatment 2:

 I_0 -Uninoculated control (Sterile water), I_1 - Inoculation (with 100 % culture filtrate).

Seeds of 20 crops were procured either from Agronomy /Horticulture Department of the College of Agriculture, Latur. Seeds were treated with thirum and then seeded in sterile soil in earthen pots. Sterile water was used for watering. After 15 to 20 days 4 seedlings of each crops were transferred to each pot containing either culture filtrate (I_1) or sterile water (I_2).

The observations on seedling mortality were recorded after 7 days of transfer of seedlings to inoculum or sterile control.

Inoculation (I_1) in all the crops have significantly induced the seedling mortality over control (I_0) . In 20 inoculated crops pathogen induced in general 51(%) seedling mortality. In cucumber significantly highest mortality was noted, which was followed by cauliflower cabbage, soybean and green gram. Most susceptible crop were cauliflower, cucumber, soybean, green gram which had mortality ranging from 67.62 to 90 per cent. Moderately susceptible crops were pigeonpea, safflower, cotton and sorghum. Significantly least, mortality was expressed in wheat and maize, sunflower and bottle gourd.

This experiment has clearly shown that the pathogen *P. ultimum* possesed capability to cause infections in wide range of crops, belonging to family *Solanaceae*, *Brasicahe*, *Leguminaceae*, *Compositae*, *Malvaceae*, *Cucurbitaceae* and *Graminae*. If the resistance to this pathogen is detected it well impart a broad based non-race specific durable horizontal resistance.

These findings are in agreement with Sands *et al.* (1993) who noted host range of *P. ultimum*.

	8j en	pressed by various crops	mortality					
Sr. No.	Treatment key	Treatments	Original value	$\sqrt{X+1}$ Trans-formation	$(\sqrt{X+1})^2$ value	Arcsine value		
1.	C_1I_0	Chilli control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
2.	C_1I_1	Chilli inoculated	10/16	7.06	63.47	52.7		
3.	C_2I_0	Brinjal control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
4.	C_2I_1	Brinjal inoculated	10/16	7.06	63.47	52.7		
5.	C_3I_0	Cabbage control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
6.	C_3I_1	Cabbage inoculated	12/16	6.82	88.47	75.1		
7.	C_4I_0	Cauliflower control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
8.	C_4I_1	Cauliflower inoculated	16/16	10.05	100.00	89.9		
9.	C_5I_0	Cluster bean control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
10.	C_5I_1	Cluster bean inoculated	6/16	5.60	38.42	23.0		
11.	C_6I_0	Fenugreek control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
12.	C_6I_1	Fengreek inoculated	6/16	4.19	38.42	30.4		
13.	$C_7 I_0$	Bitter gourd control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
14.	C_7I_1	Bitter gourd inoculated	8/16	5.52	51.00	45.2		
15.	C_8I_0	Ridge gourd control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
16.	C_8I_1	Ridge gourd inoculated	6/16	5.60	38.42	23.0		
17.	C_9I_0	Cucumber control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
18.	C_9I_1	Cucumber inoculated	16/16	10.05	100.00	89.9		
19.	$C_{10}I_0$	Bottle gourd control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
20.	$C_{10}I_1$	Bottle gourd inoculated	2/16	2.53	13.47	8.07		
21.	$C_{11}I_0$	Pigeonpea control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
22.	$C_{11}I_1$	Pigeonpea inoculated	10/16	7.06	63.47	52.7		
23.	$C_{12}I_0$	Soybean control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
24.	$C_{12}I_1$	Soybean inoculated	12/16	8.59	75.95	60.2		
25.	$C_{13}I_0$	Cotton control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
26.	$C_{13}I_1$	Cotton inoculated	8/16	5.52	51.00	45.2		
27.	$C_{14}I_0$	Sunflower control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
28.	$C_{14}I_1$	Sunflower inoculated	4/16	4.07	22.95	15.5		
29.	$C_{15}I_0$	Safflower control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
30.	$C_{15}I_1$	Safflower inoculated	10/16	7.86	63.47	45.4		
31.	$C_{16}I_0$	Green gram control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
32.	$C_{16}I_1$	Green gram inoculated	12/16	8.59	75.95	60.2		
33.	$C_{17}I_0$	Wheat control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
34.	$C_{17}I_1$	Wheat inoculated	2/16	2.53	25.95	8.7		
35.	$C_{18}I_0$	Sorghum control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
36.	$C_{18}I_1$	Sorghum inoculated	8/16	7.14	51.00	30.5		
37.	$C_{19}I_0$	Bajra control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
38.	$C_{19}I_{1}$	Bajra inoculated	12/16	8.59	75.95	60.2		
39.	$C_{20}I_0$	Maize control	0/16	1.00	1.00	0.50		
40.	$C_{20}I_1$	Maize inoculated	4/16	4.07	25.95	15.5		
				С	Т	C x T		
		S.E. <u>+</u>		7.9	1.8	11.2		
		C.D. (P=0.05)		22.0	5.2	31.1		

Asian J. Bio Sci., 7 (2) October, 2012 : 237-240 Hind Institute of Science and Technology

HOST RANGE OF Pythium ultimum INFECTING TOMATO

~			Sub-treatment Seedling mortality				
Sr. No.	Main treatment variety	Treatment key					
	<i>a</i> : ::::	<i>c</i>	I ₀ (Control)	I ₁ (inoculated)	Mean		
1.	Chilli	C_1	0.5	52.7	26.6		
2.	Brijal	C_2	0.5	52.7	26.6		
3.	Cabbage	C_3	0.5	73.1	37.8		
4.	Cauliflower	\mathbf{C}_4	0.5	89.9	43.2		
5.	Cluster bean	C_5	0.5	23.0	11.8		
6.	Fenugreek	C_6	0.5	30.4	15.5		
7.	Bitter gourd	C ₇	0.5	45.2	22.9		
8.	Ridge gourd	C_8	0.5	23.0	11.8		
9.	Cucumber	C ₉	0.5	89.9	45.2		
10.	Bitter gourd	C_{10}	0.5	8.0	4.3		
11.	Pigeonpea	C11	0.5	52.7	26.6		
12.	Soybean	C ₁₂	0.5	60.2	30.4		
13.	Cotton	C ₁₃	0.5	45.2	22.9		
14.	Sunflower	C_{14}	0.5	15.5	8.0		
15.	Safflower	C ₁₅	0.5	45.4	23.0		
16.	Green gram	C ₁₆	0.5	60.2	30.4		
17.	Wheat	C ₁₇	0.5	8.0	4.3		
18.	Sorghum	C_{18}	0.5	30.5	15.5		
19.	Bajra	C ₁₉	0.5	60.2	30.4		
20.	Maize	C_{20}	0.5	15.5	8.0		
	Mean		0.5	44.2	22.4		
			С	Ι	C x I		
	S.E. <u>+</u>		7.9	1.8	11.2		
	C.D. (P=0.	05)	22.0	5.2	31.1		

Statistical s	ignificance for c	rop (c)							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
C ₁₀	C_{14}	C_{20}	C5	C_8	C_6	C_{18}	C_7	C ₁₃	C ₁₅
Bottle gourd	Sun-flower	Maize	Cluster bean	Ridge gourd	Fenu greek	Sorghum	Bitter gourd	Cotton	Saff-lower
4.3	8.0	8.0	11.8	11.8	15.5	15.5	22.9	22.9	230
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
C_1	C_2	C ₁₁	C ₁₂	C ₁₆	C ₁₉	C_3	C ₁₇	C_4	C_9
Chilli	Brinjal	Pigeonpea	Soy bean	Green gram	Bajra	Cabbage	Wheat	Cauli flower	Cucumber
26.6	26.6	26.6	30.4	30.4	30.4	37.8	43.2	45.2	45.2
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Statistical s	ignificance for ir	nteraction (C x l	[)						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
C ₁₀	C ₁₇	C ₁₄	C_{20}	C ₅	C_8	C_6	C ₁₈	C_7	C ₁₃
Bottle gourd	Wheat	Sun flower	Maize	Cluster bean	Ridge gourd	Fenu greek	Sorghum	Bitter gourd	Cotton
8.0	8.0	15.5	15.5	15.5	23	23	30.4	30.4	45.2
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
C15	C_1	C_2	C11	C ₁₂	C_{16}	C ₁₉	C ₃	C_4	C ₉
Safflower	Chilli	Brinjal	Pigeonpea	Soy bean	Green gram	Bajra	Cabbage	Cauli flower	Cucumber
45.2	52.7	52.7	52.7	60.2	60.2	60.2	75.1	89.9	89.9

Asian J. Bio Sci., 7 (2) October, 2012 : 237-240 Hind Institute of Science and Technology

LITERATURE CITED

Sands, D.C., Miller, R.V., Duke, S.O., Menn, J.J. and Plimmer, J.R. (1993). Altering the host range of mycoherbicides by genetic manipulation. Pest control with enhanced environmental safety. 101-109 ACS Symposium Series No. 524.

