

Agriculture Update

Volume 7 | Issue 3 & 4 | August & November, 2012 | 292-294



Research Article

Farming system approach is a path of prosperity for ruined farm families

■ R.A. SINGH, I.P. SINGH, JITENDRA SINGH, RAJESH RAI, DHARMENDRA YADAV AND J.P. SINGH

ARTICLE CHRONICLE: Received: 01.06.2012;

Revised:

01.09.2012; **Accepted:**

30.09.2012

KEY WORDS:

Farming system, Resource poor, Farm household, Innovative research SUMMARY: The adaptive research on farming system was carried out during 2000-01 to 2002-03. The yield of different enterprises in maize-potato-summer groundnut +1 graded buffalo farming system harvested 27.40 q/ha of maize, 264.60 g/ha of potato and 27.00 g/ha of summer groundnut and 8.00 l milk/per day/buffalo. Likewise, in maize-field pea-watermelon + 1 graded buffalo farming system gave 26.30 q/ha of maize, 27.00 q/ha of field pea, 212.00 q/ha of watermelon and 8.00 l milk/day/buffalo. The yields of maize, field pea and summer groundnut reaped as 25.22 q/ha, 32.00 q/ha and 30.00 q/ha, respectively, with same milk productivity in maize-field peasummer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system at pilot village Rajpura. Maize-garlic-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo farming system yielded 27.70 q/ha of maize, 107.07 q/ha of garlic and 9.75 q/ha of summer moong along with 11 l milk/day/buffalo. Similarly, 25.60 q/ha of maize, 29.89 q/ha of mustard, 9.80 q/ha summer moong and 11 l. milk/day/buffalo reaped from maize-mustard-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo farming system. The newly introduced farming system, maize-mustard-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo gave 25.00 q/ha of maize, 29.50 q/ha of mustard, 23.40 q/ha of summer groundnut and 11 l. of milk/day/buffalo at village Pal under partially reclaimed sodic soil condition. Maximum net income of Rs.73430/ha was obtained from maize-potatosummer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system closely followed by maize-field pea-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system (Rs. 72504/ha) from the normal soil condition of Rajpura. Under partially reclaimed sodic soils the farming system of maize-garlic-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo gave maximum net income of Rs. 96300/ha followed by maize-mustard-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo (Rs. 64325/ha).

How to cite this article: Singh, R.A., Singh, I.P., Singh, Jitendra, Rai, Rajesh, Yadav, Dharmendra and Singh, J.P. (2012). Farming system approach is a path of prosperity for ruined farm families. *Agric. Update*, **7**(3&4): 292-294.

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi remandated the Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Mainpuri to take up the additional function of KVK under NATP. Improving human resources and elevation of rural poverty with the suitable farming system is the major activity of this programme. Time concept relates to increase the intensity of cropping under assured irrigated condition, whereas, space utilization pertains to building up of vertical dimension through multitier cropping and farming system approach. By adopting these two concepts, the productivity per unit area per unit time can inevitably be enhanced in the sustained manner. Farming system is one of

the main approach where in the risk is dealing with single component can be minimized, and at the same time increase the productivity through effective recycling.

The economy of U.P. is predominantly rural and agriculture oriented. In agriculture, 85 per cent of the holdings are less than two hectares and the declining trend in the average size of the farm holdings, poses a serious problems. For sustaining the income and productivity, the farmers has to integrate ancillary propositions with crop production. A judicious and systematic conjunction of any one or more of enterprises with agronomic crops should complement the farm income and help in recycling the farm residues/ wastes. The selection of enterprises must be based

Author for correspondence:

JINTENDRA SINGH

Directorate of
Extension, C.S.A.
University of Agriculture
and Technology,
KANPUR (U.P.) INDIA
See end of the article for
authors' affiliations

on the cardinal principles of minimizing the competition and maximizing the complementarity's between the enterprises.

RESOURCES AND METHODS

The innovative research on farming system was laid out on farmers fields of village Rajpura and Pal of Mainpuri district during 2001-02 to 2002-03. Village Rajpura situated on the denuded soils, having loamy sand texture while village located in sodic land area having light loam texture with clay loam in tit-bits. The resource poor farm families were selected for this innovative adaptive research. The primary survey fewer than two A.E.Ss. of Mainpuri has been done by ZARS team. The important information about the existing farming systems were collected by PRA. The farmers were maintained good animal population but these were not properly integrated with agronomic crops, raised under different cropping systems. The animal husbandry enterprises was basically managed by women folk. The programme was prepared through bottom up approach. Maize-potato – summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo, maize-fieldpea watermelon + 1 graded buffalo and maize-field pea-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming systems were tried at villalge Rajpura while maize-garlic-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo, maize-mustard-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo and maize-mustard-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo were carried out at village Pal. The each farming system replicated on five-resource poor farm households. The better marketing facilities were developed for daily and quick disposal of milk and milk products.

OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the different farming system carried out in two A.E.Ss. of Mainpuri are discussed below :

Yield of enterprises under different farming systems:

Maize-potato-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo

farming system gave 27.40 q/ha of maize, 264.60 q/ha of potato and 27.00 q/ha of summer groundnut and 8.00 l milk/per day/buffalo. Likewise, maize-field pea-water melon + 1 graded buffalo farming system yielded 26.30 q/ha of maize, 27.00 q/ha of field pea, 212.00 q/ha of watermelon fruits and 8.00 l milk/day/buffalo.

The productivity of maize, field pea and summer groundnut recorded as 25.22 q/ha, 32.00 q/ha and 30.00 q/ha, respectively, with same milk yield in maize-field pea-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system at pilot village Rajpura. Maize-garlic-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo farming system yielded 27.70 q/ha of maize, 107.07 q/ha of garlic and 9.75 q/ha of summer moong along with 11 1 milk/ day/buffalo. Similarly, 25.60 q/ha of maize, 29.89 q/ha of mustard, 9.80 q/ha summer moong and 111 milk/day/ buffalo reaped from maize-mustard-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo farming system. The newly introduced farming system, maizemustard-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo gave 25.00 q/ ha of maize, 29.50 q/ha of mustard, 23.40 q/ha of summer groundnut and 11 l. of milk /day/buffalo at village Pal under partially reclaimed sodic soil condition (Table 1). These results confirm the findings of Singh et al. (2003).

Net return acquired from different farming systems:

In regards to net income, maximum net income of Rs. 73430/ha obtained from maize-potato-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system while maize-field pea-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo farming system gave net profit of Rs. 72504/ha under normal soil condition of Rajpura. Under partially reclaimed sodic soils, the farming system of maize-garlic-summer moong + 1 graded buffalo gave maximum net income of Rs. 96300/ha followed by maize-mustard-summer groundnut + 1 graded buffalo (Rs. 64325/ha). These results are in accordance with those of Singh (1999).

Therefore, for amelioration of resource poor farm families, the aforesaid farming systems may be proved helpful for

| | Table 1: | Yield of | enterprises | under | different systems |
|--|----------|----------|-------------|-------|-------------------|
|--|----------|----------|-------------|-------|-------------------|

| Sr. No. | Yield under different farming systems | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Maize | Potato | Summer groundnut | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 27.40 (q/ha) | 264.60 (q/ha) | 27.00 (q/ha) | 8 lit milk/per day/buffalo | | | |
| 2. | Maize | Field pea | Water melon | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 26.30 (q/ha) | 27.00 (q/ha) | 212.00 (q/ha) | 8 lit milk/per day/buffalo | | | |
| 3. | Maize | Field pea | Summer groundnut | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 25.22 (q/ha) | 32.00 (q/ha) | 30.00 (q/ha) | 8 lit milk/per day/buffalo | | | |
| 4. | Maize | Garlic | Summer moong | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 27.70 (q/ha) | 107.07 (q/ha) | 9.75 (q/ha) | 1 lit.milk/per day/buffalo | | | |
| 5. | Maize | Mustard | Summer moong | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 25.60 (q/ha) | 29.89 (q/ha) | 9.80 (q/ha) | 11 lit. milk/per day/buffalo | | | |
| 6. | Maize | Mustard | Summer groundnut | Graded buffalo | | | |
| | 25.00 (q/ha) | 29.50 (q/ha) | 23.40 (q/ha) | 11 lit.milk/per day/buffalo | | | |

Table 2: Net income under different farming systems

| Particulars | Economics of farming systems | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| 1. | Maize | Potato | Summer groundnut | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 39930 | 36620 | 19000 | 109490 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 22180 | 66150 | 71550 | 23040 | 182920 | | |
| Net Ret. | 8240 | 26220 | 34930 | 4040 | 73430 | | |
| 2. | Maize | Field pea | Watermelon | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 13630 | 15000 | 19000 | 61570 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 21410 | 27500 | 27000 | 23040 | 98950 | | |
| Net Ret. | 7470 | 13870 | 12000 | 4040 | 37380 | | |
| 3. | Maize | Field pea | Summer groundnut | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 13630 | 36620 | 19000 | 83190 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 20654 | 32500 | 79500 | 23040 | 155694 | | |
| Net Ret. | 6714 | 18870 | 42880 | 4040 | 72504 | | |
| 4. | Maize | Garlic | Moong | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 35300 | 14350 | 21000 | 84590 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 22390 | 107070 | 19750 | 31680 | 180890 | | |
| Net Ret. | 8450 | 71770 | 5400 | 10680 | 96300 | | |
| 5. | Maize | Mustard | Moong | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 12230 | 14350 | 21000 | 61520 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 20920 | 34374 | 19850 | 31680 | 106824 | | |
| Net Ret. | 6980 | 22144 | 5500 | 10680 | 45304 | | |
| 6. | Maize | Mustard | Summer groundnut | 1 G. Buffalo | Total | | |
| Cost | 13940 | 12230 | 36620 | 21000 | 83790 | | |
| Gross Ret. | 20500 | 33925 | 62010 | 31680 | 148115 | | |
| Net Ret. | 6560 | 21695 | 25390 | 10680 | 64325 | | |

Note: Prevailing market rates of different commodities of experimental years were used for calculating the economics of farming systems

harvesting of fruits of the generated technology to the other similar area of farm families (Table 2).

Authors' affiliations:

R.A. SINGH, I.P. SINGH, DHARMENDRA YADAV AND J.P. SINGH, Department of Horticulture, Directorate of Extension, C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, KANPUR (U.P.) INDIA RAJESH RAI, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Daleep Nagar, KANPUR (U.P.) INDIA

REFERENCES

Singh, R.A., Singh, J., Singh, I.P., Pandey, S.K., Rai, Rajesh, Srivastava, S.K. and Singh, J.P. (2003). Development of farm households through farming system. Abstract (In), National Symposium on Resource Management for Eco-friendly Crop Production, organized by Indian Society of Agronomy and U.P. Council of Agricultural Research, Lucknow.

Singh, R.A. (1999). A case study, farming system in Farrukhabad and Kannauj districts. *Agric. Extn. Rev.*, **11**(6): 22-28.