

\_\_\_\_Agriculture Update\_\_\_\_

Volume 7 | Issue 3 & 4 | August & November, 2012 | 352-354



**Research Article** 

Production potential and economics of improved production practices on rainfed sesamum under real farm situation

### **N.A. HIRWE AND H.S. MAHAJAN**

**SUMMARY :** The productivity of sesamum is to be quite low due to technological gap in adoption of improved technology. The yield gap between farmers' field and potential yield can effectively bridge by conducting front line demonstrations (FLD) on farmers field. The oilseed Research Station , Jalgaon conducted 31 FLDs during 2007-2011 to analyze impact of improved production technology on farmers field. The result revealed that, sesamum yield increased at an average of 25.44 per cent and received additional net monetary return of Rs 4066 on ha basis by the adoption of improved production technology.

How to cite this article : Hirwe, N.A. and Mahajan, H.S. (2012). Production potential and economics of improved production practices on rainfed sesamum under real farm situation. *Agric. Update*, **7**(3&4): 352-354.

# **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

Sesamum (Sesamum indicum) is one of the major oilseed crop and referred as queen of oilseed crop because of its good oil quality, having many anti nutritional factors. In Maharashtra, sesamum is cultivated on 52600 ha with production of 18900 tones and productivity of 360 kg/ha in Kharif season (Anonymous, 2012). The low productivity in the state is mainly due to fact that, it suffers from erratic rain and stresses (drought and waterlogged condition) as well as non adoption of improved package of practices. Kalarani et al. (2010) reported that crops yield potential can be increased to great extend by conducting effective front line demonstration with proven technology. Keeping this in view, oilseed research station, Jalgaon conducted front line demonstration on farmers field with improve package of practices at different tahsils of Jalgaon district.

## **RESOURCES AND METHODS**

Oilseed research station, Jalgaon as groundnut and sesamum as major crop on which research and development activities are formulated and implemented. Several need base and location specific technologies are developed and adopted by the farmer. As an extension activity, this institute laid out the 31 Front Line Demonstrations (FLD s) on sesamum during *Kharif* 2007-2011 at different location of Jalgaon districts (Table A). These demonstrations of improved production technology were taken on area of 0.4 ha of each farmer. Simultaneously, one control plot was also kept where farmers practice was carried out. Crop was grown under rainfed situation on medium to deep soil. The details pertaining to improved practices through demonstration and farmers practices are given in Table B.

Interested sesamum growers were selected in consultation with agriculture officer and member of Shetkari Shastradya Manch of the centre. The selected farmer imparted pre demonstration training through off campus mode to import knowledge about improved production technology. The demonstration were regularly supervised by team of scientist containing principle scientist ORS, Jalgaon, agronomist, entomologist and scientist from AICRP on sesamum and niger, JNKVV campus, Jabalpur. The primary data were collected from selected FLD farmer with the help of interview schedule, interpreted and presented in Table 1.

ARTICLE CHRONICLE: Received: 12.07.2012; Revised : 18.09.2012; Accepted: 14.10.2012

KEY WORDS:

Sesamum, Improved practices, FLD, Economics

Author for correspondence :

N.A. HIRWE

Department of Agronomy, Krishi Vighan Kendra, Mamurabad Farm, JALGAON (M.S.) INDIA Email:ns\_hirwe5mar@ rediffmail. com See end of the article for authors' affiliations

#### N.A. HIRWE AND H.S. MAHAJAN

| Table A: Details of front line demonstration organised under rainfed situation |  |            |                      |       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year   | Location   | No. of     | No. of FLD organized |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 cai  | (Tahsil)   | Successful | vitiated             | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007   | Jalgaon  | 03         | 03                   | 06    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008   | Jalgaon, yawal, Bhusawal, Erandol, Chopda          | 05         | 04                   | 09    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009   | Jalgaon, yawal, Chopda                             | 07         | -                    | 07    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010   | Jalgaon yawal, Bhusawal, Chopda, Amalner           | 06         | -                    | 06    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011   | Jalgaon yawal, Erandol, Chopda, jamner, Dharangaom | 10         | -                    | 10    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total  |  | 31         | 07                   | 38    |  |  |  |  |  |

| Sr. No. | Particulars                       | Demonstration practice   | Farmers practice                                       |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.      | Variety                           | JLT-7  | local  |
| 2.      | Seed rate                         | 2.5 kg/ha  | 4-5 kg/ha  |
| 3.      | Seed Treatment                    | Bavistin @ 3gm/kg  | No seed treatment                                      |
| 4.      | Culture Treatment                 | Azatobactor and PSB @ each 25 gm/kg  | No culture treatment                                   |
| 5.      | Soil water conservation practices | Formation of furrow with desi plough at interval of 12 rows across the slope | No such practice                                       |
| 6.      | Thinning                          | Needbase, within 3 week after planting                                       | No thinning  |
| 7.      | Fertiliser dose                   | Neem cake(1ton/ha) with 50 kg N and 20 kg S                                  | Not applied  |
| 8.      | Plant protection                  | Needbase insecticidal anfd fungocidal spray                                  | Spraying after heavy infestation of pes t and diseases |

### **OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

The seed yield increased from 20 to 30 per cent under real farm situation (Table 1). Average yield level in demonstration plot varied from 441 to 682 kg/ha and 342 to 553 kg/ha in farmers field. Due to formation of furrow with *desi* plough at an interval of 12 rows, excess water was drained out under heavy rainfall situation, where as conserve the rain water under deficient rainfall condition. This prevent sensitive seedling stage of sesamum from waterlogged as well as stress condition. Sowing seed at optimum seed rate and maintenance of optimum plant population not only reduce the cost of cultivation but also increased the production. The integrated nutrient management approach favours the crop growth and enhance yield. (Barik and Fulmali, 2011). Beside these, need based chemical spray kept population of pest and diseases below ETL level. Controlling thrips at early stage prevent crop from viral disease like Phyllody of sesamum. Thus, at an average of 25.44 per cent increased in yield was observed by adoption of improved package of practices. By bridging such extension gap, state could able to achieve production to the tune of 24000 tones with current production level.

Economic analysis of the data revealed that , though the cost of cultivation of improved practice was slightly higher over farmers method, mean gross monetary return (Rs 28607.8/ha), and B : C ratio (2.19) were found to be maximmum under rainfed sesamum. Further it was also observed that, additional net monetary return over farmers practice ranges from Rs 3385 to 4945 per hectare during 2007-11. At an average, there has to increase in additional net monetary return of Rs 4065 /ha, which indicate economic viability of demonstration of improved practices for farmers of Jalgaon district.

| Table 1 : | Productiv | ity and | economics of | sesamum un | ider i | real farm situa | tion |
|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------|--------|-----------------|------|
|           |           |         |              |            |        |                 |      |

| Year | No. of -<br>Demo. | Mean seed<br>yield (kg/ha) |                | Increased<br>yield (%) over | Cost of cultivation<br>(Rs/ha) |                | Gross monetary<br>return (Rs/ha) |                | Additional net return               | B:C ratio    |                |
|------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
|      |                   | Demo<br>plot               | Farmer<br>plot | farmers field               | Demo<br>plot                   | Farmer<br>plot | Demo<br>plot                     | Farmer<br>plot | (Rs/ha)<br>Over farmers<br>practice | Demo<br>plot | Farmer<br>plot |
| 2007 | 03                | 682                        | 553            | 23.32                       | 11362                          | 10770          | 21132                            | 17153          | 3385                                | 1.86         | 1.59           |
| 2008 | 05                | 441                        | 342            | 28.94                       | 10640                          | 9608           | 22050                            | 17100          | 3918                                | 2.07         | 1.78           |
| 2009 | 07                | 559                        | 430            | 30.00                       | 12162                          | 10942          | 28687                            | 23786          | 3680                                | 2.36         | 2.17           |
| 2010 | 06                | 659                        | 545            | 20.91                       | 14501                          | 13121          | 36254                            | 29975          | 4945                                | 2.5          | 2.28           |
| 2011 | 10                | 614                        | 495            | 24.04                       | 16091                          | 14463          | 34916                            | 27880          | 4401                                | 2.17         | 1.92           |
| Mean | _                 | 591                        | 473            | 25.44                       | 12591.6                        | 11780.8        | 28607.8                          | 23178.8        | 4065.5                              | 2.19         | 1.95           |

Agric. Update, 7(3&4) Aug. & Nov., 2012 : 352-354 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute PRODUCTION POTENTIAL & ECONOMICS OF IMPROVED PRODUCTION PRACTICES ON RAINFED SESAMUM UNDER REAL FARM SITUATION

#### Authors' affiliations :

**H.S. MAHAJAN**, Department of Agronomy, Oilseed Research Station, JALGAON (M.S.) INDIA

### REFERENCES

Anonymous (2012). Research Review Committee report of MPKV, Rahuri (M.S.) INDIA.

**Barik, A.K. and Fulmali, J.** (2011). Effect of integrated plant nutrient supply through organic and mineral sources on productivity of summer sesamum. *J. Oilseed Res.*, 28(2): 120-122.

Kalarani, M.K., Raja, D., Sivchandran, R. and Premavathi, R. (2010). Effective way of transfer of technology to boost the groundnut yield under rain fed condition through front line demonstration in salem, Tamil Nadu, *Agric. Update*, **5**(1&2): 32-35.