INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 7 | ISSUE 2 | OCTOBER, 2014 | 411-414



#### RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/7.2/411-414

# Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Ralstonia* solamacearum on brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)

# S. PAVITHRA\*, N.G. RAVICHANDRA AND G. NAGAMMA

Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture Science, G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA

#### ARITCLE INFO

Received: 08.02.2014Revised: 21.08.2014Accepted: 03.09.2014

### $\mathbf{K}\mathrm{EY}\ \mathbf{W}\mathrm{ORDS}$ :

Brinjal, *Meloidogyne incognita, Ralstonia solanacearum*, Interaction

\*Corresponding author: Email: pavithra4157@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

The combined pathogenic effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Ralstonia solanacearum* on brinjal were greater than independent effects of either. *M. incognita*, 15 days prior to the inoculation of *R. solanacearum* led to maximum wilt incidence (100%) followed by combined inoculation of both the pathogens simultaneously (75%). Low wilt incidence was noticed in plants inoculated with bacterium alone (50%).

How to view point the article : Pavithra, S. Ravichandra, N.G. and Nagamma, G. (2014). Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Ralstonia solanacearum* on brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *J. Plant Protec.*, 7(2): 411-414.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) also known as egg plant belongs to the family Solanaceae. Like other economically important crops, brinjal is also susceptible to many diseases caused by various agents viz., fungi, viruses, bacteria, nematodes and phanerogamic parasite (Orobanche sp.). Root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) is one of the most potential plant parasitic nematodes which causes about 27.30-32.00 per cent yield loss on brinjal (Hazarika, 2003). Bacterial wilt of brinjal caused by Ralstonia solanacearum is the most prominent disease and could cause up to 80 to 90 per cent loss in yield (Kishun, 1980 and Rao et al., 1975). It has been known for long time that root knot nematode facilitates entry and establishment of pathogenic fungi and bacteria (Powell, 1971). In the present study, efforts have been made to determine their combined effect on disease development and extent of damage caused by them in brinjal.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Ralstonia solanacearum was isolated on Tetrazolium

chloride (TZC) medium from wilted brinjal plants (Kelman, 1954). The bacterial suspension of virulent isolate was grown on Nutrient agar (NA) slants, incubated at 30°C. The pathogenic level for R. solanacearum was prepared by pouring sterile distilled water over 24 hrs old bacterial growth on NA slants and incubated for 1 hr to allow the viable bacterial cells to diffuse into the water then adjusting the optical density (OD) of bacterial suspension at 0.5 in spectrophotometer in blue filter (425 nm) to obtain a bacterial population of 1×108 colony forming units per milliliter (cfu/ ml). M. incognita was maintained on tomato cultivar Pusa Ruby. Uniform sized egg masses from infested roots were picked and surface sterilized with 0.02 per cent ethyle mercuric chloride. The egg masses were rinsed with sterile water, kept in water in glass vials and inoculated through the plastic inoculation tubes. 15 cm diameter earthen pots were filled with 1 kg sterilized soil. Twenty-three days old seedlings of brinjal cv. Pusa Purple Long (PPL) were transplanted in each plot and regular watering was done to establish the seedlings well.

Ten ml of the nematode suspension containing about

1000 active *M. incognita* J2 was inoculated to 23 days old brinjal seedlings planted in 15 cm pots. The plastic tubes  $(10 \times 2 \text{ cm})$  were placed in pots adjacent to the seedling with the ends of tubes in contact with roots. 60 ml of bacterial suspension was dispensed per pot through the inoculation tubes there by reducing the possibility of wounds other than resulting from normal plant growth. The different treatments were as follows :

The treatments included (N) - Nematode alone, (B)-Bacterium alone, (NB)- Simultaneous inoculation of M. *incognita* and R. *solanacearum*, (Nb) - Nematode inoculation two weeks prior to bacterial inoculation, (Bn) - Bacterial inoculation two weeks prior to nematode inoculation and (C) - Un-inoculated control.

The experiment was monitored regularly and wilt symptoms were recorded at 25, 35 and 45 days interval. Plant showing wilt symptoms were given the score of 100 per cent PWI and 0 per cent PWI for plants as not showing any wilt symptom. After 45 days of inoculation, the experiment was terminated and observations were recorded. The individual plant was uprooted from pots carefully and washed gently in running tap water to remove the adhering soil particles from the roots. Care was taken so as to get the root system intact to avoid root damage. The observations were recorded on shoot length, fresh and dry weight of shoots and roots, number of galls and number of egg masses.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Wilt symptoms were noticed 25 days after bacterial inoculation in the treatments where nematode was introduced 15 days prior to bacterial inoculation, whereas, in case of simultaneous inoculations, the plants wilted at 35 days. In other treatments, symptoms were observed after 45 days when maximum percentage (100%) was recorded in nematode inoculation followed by bacterial inoculation after 15 days intervals (Table 1). There was no difference in wilt incidence in treatments where bacterium alone (B) or bacterium inoculated prior to nematode inoculation (Bn). Similar results of increased bacterial wilt severity in the presence of Meloidogyne spp. was reported earlier (Sitaramaiah and Sinha, 1984; Libman et al., 1964; Jatala et al., 1975). Minimum wilt was noticed with bacterium alone which was on par with bacterium inoculated before the nematode. It has been known for a long time that root-knot nematode facilitates entry and establishment of pathogenic fungi and bacteria (Powell, 1971). In brinjal, the disease complex has been observed frequently involving R. solanacearum along with root-knot nematode contributing to an increase in wilt root-knot nematode contributing to an increase in wilt development (Swain et al., 1987). The combined pathogenic effects of Pseudomonas solanacearum biotype-3 and Meloidogyne javanica on brinjal were greater than independent effects of either (Ravichandra et al., 1990).

| Table 1 : Effect of M. incognita and R. solanacearum individually and in combination on wilt incidence in brinjal cv. Pusa purple long |        |  |        |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Treatments   | W      | Wilt incidence (%)<br>Intervals of observation |        |  |  |  |
|  | Inte   |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | 25 DAI | 35 DAI   | 45 DAI |  |  |  |
| N: Nematode alone (Meloidogyne incognita)  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |  |  |
| B: Bacterium alone (Ralstonia solanacearum)  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 50.00  |  |  |  |
| Nb: Nematode inoculation followed by bacterium after 15 days   | 25.00  | 75.00  | 100.00 |  |  |  |
| Bn: Bacterium inoculation followed nematode after 15 days  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 50.00  |  |  |  |
| NB: Simultaneous inoculation of nematode and bacterium   | 0.00   | 25.00  | 75.00  |  |  |  |
| C:Uninoculation control  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |  |  |

 Table 2: Effect of M. incognita and R. solanacearum individually and in combination on development of M. incognita in brinjal cv.

 Pusa purple long

| Treatments   | No. of galls per plant | No. of egg masses per root | Root-knot index (0-5 Scale) |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| N: Nematode alone (Meloidogyne incognita)                    | 178.75 (13.36)         | 132.25 (11.5)              | 5                           |
| B: Bacterium alone (Ralstonia solanacearum)                  | 0.00(0.00)             | 0.00 (0.00)                | 0                           |
| Nb: Nematode inoculation followed by bacterium after 15 days | 104.25 (10.21)         | 83.50 (9.13)               | 5                           |
| Bn: Bacterium inoculation followed nematode after 15 days    | 62.50 (7.90)           | 50.50 (7.10)               | 4                           |
| NB: Simultaneous inoculation of nematode and bacterium       | 75.75 (8.70)           | 66.25 (8.13)               | 4                           |
| C: Uninoculation control                                     | 0.00 (0.00)            | 0.00 (0.00)                | 0                           |
| S.E. ±   | 5.07                   | 1.68                       |                             |
| C.D. (P=0.05)  | 15.06                  | 5.00                       |                             |
| C.V. (%)   | 14.44                  | 6.07                       |                             |

**412** *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **7**(2) Oct., 2014 : 411-414

HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

| Treatments  | reatments     | Shoot weight (g)*  |             | Root weight (g)* |             |
|---|---------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Treatments  |               | Fresh              | Dry         | Fresh            | Dry         |
| N: Nematode alone (Meloidogyne incognita)                 | 12.68 (3.56)# | 7.90 (2.81)        | 3.06 (1.74) | 3.90 (1.97)      | 1.53 (1.23) |
| B: Bacterium alone (Ralstonia solanacearum)               | 15.8 (3.97)   | 10.71 (3.27)       | 4.20 (2.04) | 4.62 (2.14)      | 1.85 (1.36) |
| Nb: Nematode inoculation followed by bacterium after 15   | 8.40 (2.89)   | (2.89) 6.22 (2.49) | 2.44 (1.56) | 2.58 (2.14)      | 1.06 (1.02) |
| days  |               |                    |             |                  |             |
| Bn: Bacterium inoculation followed nematode after 15 days | 10.40 (3.22)  | 7.97 (2.82)        | 3.22 1.79)  | 3.89 (1.97)      | 1.49 (1.22) |
| NB: Simultaneous inoculation of nematode and bacterium    | 10.80 (3.28)  | 8.02 (2.83)        | 2.82 (1.67) | 3.19 (1.78)      | 1.71 (1.30) |
| C: Uninoculation control                                  | 22.50 (4.74)  | 15.50 (3.93)       | 3.90 (1.97) | 5.78 (2.40)      | 2.47 (1.57) |
| S.E. ±  | 0.29          | 0.14               | 0.05        | 0.15             | 0.03        |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 0.86          | 0.41               | 1.14        | 0.44             | 0.08        |
| C.V. (%)  | 4.21          | 2.93               | 2.85        | 7.44             | 3.19        |

# Figures in the parenthesis are square root transformed data

Root-knot index (5) as well as number of galls per plant (178.75) and number of egg masses per root (132.25) were maximum in plants inoculated with nematode alone (Table 2). Nematode inoculation followed by bacterium recorded 104.25 galls per plant, 83.50 egg masses per root system and root knot index 5. Plants simultaneously inoculated with nematode and bacterium recorded 75.75 galls per plant, 66.25 egg masses per root system with root knot index of 4. This might be due to the prior establishment of bacterium in roots which was not favourable for nematode multiplication. has been suggested that the bacterium modifies extensively host tissue which doesn't favour nematode multiplication. The present research work has clearly established that presence of nematode in the soil aggravates bacterial wilt as it is known to cause minute wounds serving as avenue for the entry of the vascular wilt pathogens. Swain (1987) also reported that the combined pathogenic effects of Meloidogyne incognita and Ralstonia solanacearum on a resistant brinjal cultivar (Pusa purple cluster) provided synergistic effect towards the development of wilt symptoms and affected different plant growth parameters such as shoot length, shoot weight, root length and root weight.

Significant reduction in plant height, fresh shoot weight, dry shoot weight, fresh root weight and dry root weight was noticed in all the treatments in comparison to uninoculated control (Table 3).

When the bacterium inoculated alone (B), plant height (15.8 cm), fresh shoot weight (10.71 g), dry shoot weight (4.20 g), fresh root weight (4.62) and dry root weight (1.85) was observed. Whereas in case of bacterial inoculation followed by nematode after 15 days (Bn), plant height (10.40 cm), fresh shoot weight (7.97 g), dry shoot weight (3.22 g), fresh root weight (3.89 g) and dry root weight (1.49 g) was observed. The adverse effect produced on plant height, fresh shoot weight, dry shoot weight, fresh root weight dry root weight and dry root weight by bacterium alone (B) as well as inoculation

with nematode after bacterial establishment (Bn) was statistically on par (Table 3).

When the nematode was inoculated followed by bacterium 15 days later, there was a significant reduction in plant mean height, weight of shoot and root. The plant height (8.40 g), fresh shoot weight (6.22 g), dry shoot weight (2.44 g), fresh root weight (2.58 g) and dry root weight (1.06 g) differed significantly from all other treatments (Table 3).

Similar findings were also reported by Napier and Quimo (1980) and Sitaramaiah and Sinha (1984) in tomato and brinjal, respectively when associated with bacteria. The data obtained in the present investigation clearly indicated that *M. incognita* played a significant role as a predisposing factor and thus increasing the incidence of wilt. Plants which received *M. incognita* and *R. solanacearum* separately as well as in three different combinations, thus recorded significantly lower plant height, fresh and dry shoot weight and fresh and dry root weight compared to healthy plants. The nematode inoculation followed by bacterium after 15 days (Nb) resulted in significantly lesser plant growth when compared to other treatments that received these inoculations.

#### Acknowledgment :

The authors are grateful to AICRP (N), Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru for providing facilities to carry out the present investigation.

#### REFERENCES

Hazarika, K. (2003). Inter-relationship of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on jute and management of the disease complex caused by them. Ph.D. Thesis, University Agricultural Science, Assam (INDIA).

Jatala, P., French, E.R. and Gutarra, L. (1975). Interrelationship of *Meloidogyne incognita acrita* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on potato. J. Nematol., 7: 325. Kelman, A. (1954). The relationship of pathogenicity in *Pseudomonas solanacearum* to colony appearance on a tetrazolium medium. *Phytopathol.*, **64** : 693-695.

Kelman, A. and Sequeira, L. (1965). Root to root spread of *Pseudomonas solanacearum. Phytopathol.*, 55: 304-309.

Kishun, R. (1980). Effect of antibiotics and fungicides on bacterial wilt of tomato. In: *Proc. Fifth Inter. Conf. Pl. Pathogegic Bacteria*, pp. 575-579.

Libman, G., Leach, J.G. and Adamas, R.E. (1964). Role of certain plant parasitic nematodes in infection of tomatoes by *Pseudomona solanacearum*. *Phytopathol.*, **54**: 151-153.

Napier, C.M. and Quimo, A.J. (1980). Influence of root-knot nematode on bacterial wilt severity in tomato. *Ann. Tropical Res.*, **2**: 29-39.

Powell, N.T. (1971). Interaction of plant parasitic nematodes with

other disease-causing agents. In: *Plant parasitic nematodes*, (Eds. Zuckerman, B.M., Mai, W.F. and Rohde, R.A.), Academic Press Inc., London, 2: 119-136.

**Rao, M.V.B., Sohi, H.S. and Tirao, S.K. (1975).** Reaction of wilt resistance tomato varieties and lines to *Pseudomonas solanacearum* in India. *Pl. Dis. Reptr.*, **59** : 734-736.

Ravichandra, N.G., Krishnappa, K. and Setty, K.G.H. (1990). Interaction of *Meloidogyne javanica* and race-1, race-2, race-3 of *M. incognita* with *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on a few brinjal cultivar/lines. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **20**(2): 138-147.

Sitaramaiah, K. and Sinha, S.K. (1984). Interaction between *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on brinjal. *Indian J. Nematol.*, 14: 1-5.

Swain, P.K., Rath, J.C. and Mishra, S.K. (1987). Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum* on brinjal. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **17**: 61-71.

Z<sup>th</sup> ★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★