#### RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Field evaluation of *Beauveria brongniartii* and *Metarhizium* anisopliae against white grubs damaging green gram in Assam

#### ■ BADAL BHATTACHARYYA AND D. PUJARI\*

Department of Entomology, Assam Agricultural University, JORHAT (ASSAM) INDIA

| ARITCLE INFO  | ABSTRACT   |
|---|--|
| Received : 16.09.2013   Revised : 06.02.2014   Accepted : 21.02.2014  | Two entomopathogenic fungi viz., Beauveria brongniartii and Metarhizium anisopliae were evaluated as alone and in combination with insecticides against white grubs in green gram during 2007 and 2008. Experimental results indicated that B. brongniartii applied @ $5 \times 10^{13}$ conidia/  |
| Key Words :<br>Green gram, White grub, <i>Beauveria</i><br>brongniartii, Metarhizium anisopliae,<br>Imidacloprid, Chlorpyriphos | ml in combination with imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha was effective in reducing plant<br>mortality (12.82 and 14.25 %) caused by white grubs with a grain yield of 6.75 and 7.59 q/ha<br>during 2007 and 2008, respectively. Likewise the combined application of <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ 5 ×<br>10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha resulted in lowest plant mortality (9.05<br>and 11.36 %) with highest yield (6.91 and 7.71 q/ha) during 2007 and 2008, respectively. The<br>highest benefit cost ratio was registered in <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ 5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml combined with<br>imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha treated plots (5.69 and 5.32) followed by imidacloprid 200<br>SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha (5.21 and 5.10), <i>B. brongniartii</i> @ 5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml combined with<br>imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha (4.69 and 4.57) and chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 400 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha<br>(4.70 and 4.73). |
| * <b>Corresponding author:</b><br>Email: dwiban.pujari2004@gmail.com  | <b>How to view point the article :</b> Bhattacharyya, Badal and Pujari, D. (2014). Field evaluation of <i>Beauveria brongniartii</i> and <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> against white grubs damaging green gram in Assam. <i>Internat. J. Plant Protec.</i> , <b>7</b> (1) : 67-70.   |

# **INTRODUCTION**

Green gram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] is an important pulse crop of Assam and grown both as summer and Kharif crop. The reason for poor yield of green gram can be attributed to the heavy infestation of the insect pests coupled with the growing of low yielding varieties without taking adequate plant protection measures. More than 200 species of insect pests belonging to 48 families have already been reported to infest green gram in India (Swaminathan et al., 2012). In Assam, more than seventeen insect pests have been reported to attack green gram during its growth stages, out of which about four have major significance (Rahman and Sharifullah, 1995). However, during the recent years, 'white grub' or 'root grub' has emerged as an important insect pest of green gram causing extensive damage right from the vegetative stage and remains throughout the crop period (Bhattacharyya et al., 2008). The light trap catches and scouting conducted in the infested field revealed the presence of three important species of whitegrubs *viz.*, *Adoretus* sp., *Apogonia* sp. and *Anomala* sp. Therefore, an attempt was made to explore the possibility of using two entomopathogenic fungi *viz.*, *B. brongniartii* and *M. anisopliae* applied as alone and in combination with insecticides for the management of white grubs damaging green gram in Assam.

# **MATERIALAND METHODS**

Two entomopathogenic fungi *viz.*, *B. brogniartii* and *M. anisopliae* applied alone and in combination with insecticides *viz.*, chlorpyriphos and imidacloprid were evaluated against the white grubs infesting green gram during *Kharif* 2007-08. The crop was raised at the Instructional-cum-Research Farm of Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat by following all recommended agronomic practices. The sowing of seed was done on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> September during 2007 and

2008, respectively. Fungal formulations were obtained from National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects (NBAII), ICAR, Bangalore. Each of the microbial formulations was tested as single application  $(1 \times 10^{14} \text{ conidia ml}^{-1})$ , double applications (each  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml) and also in combination with insecticides *viz.*, chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 200 g *a.i.*/ha and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.*/ha.

The trial was conducted in a Randomized Block Design with three replications with plot size of 5 m  $\times$  2.5 m. All the treatments were applied at the time of sowing. Observation on initial plant population and per cent plant damage was taken at weekly interval. The data so obtained was subjected to analysis of variance. The grub population was recorded at the time of harvest of the crop and for this purpose three pits  $(50 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$  were dug randomly in each plot. The data on grub population and yield were also subjected to analysis of variance.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The efficacy of two entomopathogenic fungi *viz.*, *B. brongniartii* and *M. anisopliae* applied alone and in combination with two insecticides was assessed on the basis of per cent plant mortality caused by the grubs, number of grubs per pit after harvest of the crop, grain yield and benefit cost ratio (BCR).

The combined application of *B. brongniartii* @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.*/ha was found to be significantly superior in protecting the green gram crop against white grub and registered 12.82 and 14.25 per cent plant mortality as against untreated control (29.56 and 31.14%). This treatment was found to be at par with imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.*/ha and chloropyriphos 20 EC @ 400 g *a.i.*/ha and registered 13.03 and 15.23 and 13.76 and 15.34 per cent plant mortality during 2007 and 2008, respectively (Table 1). The application of *B. brongniartii* (1 × 10<sup>14</sup> conidia/ml) alone registered 19.25 and 21.16 per cent plant mortality during 2007 and 2008, respectively and this treatment was found to be at par with the double applications of *B. brongniartii* (each 5 × 10<sup>13</sup> conidia/ml) (23.00 and 25.13%).

Experimental results indicated that the lowest plant mortality (9.05 and 11.36 %) was recorded in combined application of *M. anisopliae* @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.*/ha and this treatment was found to be at par with imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48g *a.i.*/ha (13.03 and 15.23 %) and chloropyriphos 20 EC @ 400 g *a.i.*/ha (13.76 and 15.34 %). The application of chloropyriphos 20 EC @ 200 g *a.i.*/ha, single application of *M. anisopliae* @  $1 \times 10^{14}$  conidia/ml and double application of *M. anisopliae* (each  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml) registered 15.69 and 17.14, 24.06 and 24.72 and 21.59 and 23.07 per cent plant mortality during 2007 and

| Table 1: Plant mortality as affected by application of B. brongniartii and M. anisopliae against white grubs infesting green gram during 2007-08 |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Treatments   | Initial plant population |        | Per cent plant damage |               | Number of grubs per pit |       |  |  |
| Treatments   | 2007                     | 2008   | 2007                  | 2008          | 2007                    | 2008  |  |  |
| <i>B. brongniartii</i> @ $1 \times 10^{14}$ conidia/ml   | 214.33                   | 233.33 | 19.25 (26.02)         | 21.16 (27.38) | 8.33                    | 9.67  |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ $1 \times 10^{-14}$ conidia/ml  | 219.03                   | 234.67 | 24.06 (29.36)         | 24.72 (29.79) | 9.00                    | 10.33 |  |  |
| Chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 200 g a.i./ha  | 213.67                   | 231.33 | 15.69 (23.29)         | 17.14 (24.45) | 8.00                    | 9.33  |  |  |
| Chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 400 g a.i./ha  | 213.00                   | 232.67 | 13.76 (21.77)         | 15.34 (23.05) | 6.00                    | 7.33  |  |  |
| Imidacloprid 200SL @ 48 g a.i./ha  | 219.17                   | 229.33 | 13.03 (21.13)         | 15.23 (23.15) | 6.33                    | 7.67  |  |  |
| B. brongniartii + one more application of B. brongniartii  | 211.42                   | 231.67 | 23.00 (28.65)         | 25.13 (28.41) | 8.00                    | 9.33  |  |  |
| $(5 \times 10^{13} + 5 \times 10^{13} \text{ conidia/ml})$   |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> .+ one more application of <i>M. anisopliae</i>   | 213.00                   | 231.00 | 21.59 (27.69)         | 23.07 (28.70) | 8.33                    | 9.67  |  |  |
| $(5x10^{13} + 5 \times 10^{13} \text{ conidia/ml})$  |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| B. brongniartii @ 5×10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml+chlorpyriphos 20 EC  | 214.00                   | 230.33 | 20.18 (26.68)         | 29.16 (32.46) | 7.00                    | 8.33  |  |  |
| @ 200 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha  |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ $5 \times 10^{13}$ conidia/ml + chloropyriphos 20EC   | 215.67                   | 234.00 | 13.33 (21.37)         | 15.66 (23.30) | 5.67                    | 7.00  |  |  |
| @ 200 g a.i./ha  |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| B. brongniartii @ 5×10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml + imidacloprid 200SL   | 211.00                   | 231.33 | 12.82 (20.95)         | 14.25 (22.17) | 4.33                    | 5.67  |  |  |
| @ 48 g <i>a.i</i> ./ha   |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ $5 \times 10^{13}$ conidia ml <sup>-1</sup> + imidacloprid 200  | 214.67                   | 231.00 | 9.05 (17.01)          | 11.36 (19.66) | 3.00                    | 4.33  |  |  |
| SL @ 48 g a.i./ha  |                          |        |                       |               |                         |       |  |  |
| Untreated control  | 217.23                   | 229.33 | 29.56 (32.93)         | 31.14 (33.92) | 10.67                   | 12.00 |  |  |
| S. Ed ±  |                          |        | 3.59                  | 1.95          | 1.60                    | 1.68  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)  |                          |        | 4.87                  | 4.02          | 3.30                    | 3.476 |  |  |

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

**68** Internat. J. Plant Protec., **7**(1) April, 2014 : 67-70

HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

2008, respectively. These three treatments were found to be significantly superior over control (29.56 and 31.14 %) but at par with each other.

The number of grubs per pit varied from 3.00 to 12.00 during 2007-08. The lowest grub population of 3.00 and 4.33 numbers was recorded in combined application of *M. anisopliae* @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.* /ha followed by combined application of *B. brongniartii* @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g *a.i.* /ha where number of grubs was found to be 4.33 and 5.67 number grubs per pit during 2007 and 2008, respectively. However, the highest numbers of grubs were recorded in control plots (10.67 and 12.00 grubs).

As regards to grain yield, the highest grain yield (6.91 and 7.71 q/ha) was registered in combined application of M. anisopliae @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 S @ 48 g a.i./ha and this treatment was at par with B. brongniartii @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml combined with imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g a.i./ha (6.75 and 7.59 q/ha), imidacloprid 200SL @ 48g a.i./ha (6.71 and 7.54 q/ha) and chloropyriphos 20 E @ 400 g a.i./ha (6.58 and 7.42 q /ha) treated plots but significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The highest BCR (5.69 and 5.32) was recorded in combined application of *M. anisopliae* @  $5 \times$ 10<sup>13</sup> conidia/ml and imidacloprid 200 SL@ 48 g a.i./ha followed by application of imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g a.i./ha (5.21 and 5.10). The BCR registered in *B. brongniartii* @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ ml combined with imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g a.i./ha and chlorpyriphos 20 EC (400 g a.i./ha) treated plots were each 4.69 and 4.57 during 2007 and 2008, respectively (Table 2). Field trail on efficacy of B. brongniartii against white grubs was conducted by Vyas et al. (1990) and it was reported that the fungus caused 41.5 and 45.5 per cent mortality in grubs of Holotrichia serrata and H. consanguinea, respectively when the fungus was applied at  $10^{15}$  conidia/ml. Bhattacharyya et al. (2008) reported that B. bassiana formulation when applied as  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml in combination with imidacloprid 200SL at 48g a.i./ha was found to be effective exhibiting lowest plant mortality (1.66%) and lowest grub population (1.60 numbers), which resulted in highest yield of 6.83q/ha. Likewise M. anisopliae when applied @  $5 \times 10^{13}$  conidia/ml in combination with imidacloprid 200SL at 48g a.i./ha resulted in lowest plant mortality (2.28%) and grub population (1.12 numbers) and highest yield of 6.79 g/ha. The bioefficacy of M. anisopliae and B. bassiana in combination with imidacloprid and chlorpyriphos have also been reported by Pandey (2010). Bednarek et al. (2004) studied the effect of insecticides on entomopathogenic fungi and entomophilic nematodes. They found that insecticides viz., carbosulfan and carbofuran did not inhibit the pathogenicity of fungi (B. bassiana and B. brongniartii) and nematodes (Heterorhabditis megidis, Steinernema feltiae and S. glaseri).

It can be concluded that the conidial formulations of both *B. brongniartii* and *M. anisopliae* were found to be superior when combined with insecticides. Furthermore, the integration of these fungi with insecticide did not show any synergistic effect for grub mortality; however decreased population was noted as compared to sole application of the bioagents.

#### Acknowledgement :

The authors are thankful to the Director, National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Insects (NBAII), ICAR, Bangalore for providing the formulation of entomopathogenic fungi. Sincere thanks are due to Dr. V.V. Ramamurthy, Principal

| Table 2 : Effect of B. brongniartii and M. anisopliae in grain yield (q/ha) by reducing white grub complex infesting green gram during 2007-08 |                    |      |      |      |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments   | Grain yield (q/ha) |      | BCR  |      |  |  |  |  |
| ireathents   | 2007               | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 |  |  |  |  |
| <i>B. brongniartii</i> @ $1 \times 10^{14}$ conidia/ml   | 6.00               | 6.90 | 1.66 | 3.43 |  |  |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ $1 \times 10^{-14}$ conidia/ml  | 6.04               | 6.88 | 2.33 | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 200 g a.i./ha  | 6.41               | 6.93 | 4.70 | 4.73 |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 400 g a.i./ha  | 6.58               | 7.42 | 4.69 | 4.57 |  |  |  |  |
| Imidacloprid 200SL @ 48 g a.i./ha  | 6.71               | 7.54 | 5.21 | 5.10 |  |  |  |  |
| <i>B. brongniartii</i> + one more application of <i>B. brongniartii</i> $(5 \times 10^{13} + 5 \times 10^{13} \text{ conidia/ml})$             | 6.18               | 7.03 | 1.83 | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> .+ one more application of <i>M. anisopliae</i> ( $5 \times 10^{13} + 5 \times 10^{13}$ conidia/ml)                       | 6.26               | 7.10 | 2.50 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| B. brongniartii @ 5×10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml + chlorpyriphos 20 EC @ 200 g a.i./ha  | 6.49               | 6.90 | 3.64 | 3.70 |  |  |  |  |
| M. anisopliae @ 5×10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml + chloropyriphos 20EC @ 200 g a.i./ha  | 6.41               | 7.25 | 3.07 | 3.23 |  |  |  |  |
| B. brongniartii @ 5×10 <sup>13</sup> conidia/ml + imidacloprid 200SL @ 48 g a.i./ha  | 6.75               | 7.59 | 4.69 | 4.57 |  |  |  |  |
| <i>M. anisopliae</i> @ $5 \times 10^{13}$ conidia ml <sup>-1</sup> + imidacloprid 200 SL @ 48 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha                                | 6.91               | 7.71 | 5.69 | 5.32 |  |  |  |  |
| Untreated control  | 5.84               | 6.44 | -    | -    |  |  |  |  |
| S. Ed ±  | 0.20               | 0.22 | -    | -    |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.41               | 0.45 |      | -    |  |  |  |  |

Internat. J. Plant Protec., **7**(1) April, 2014 : 67-70 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE Scientist, Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012 for identifying the white grub species.

#### REFERENCES

Bednarek, Andrzej, Nowak, Popowska, Pezowicz, Elzbieta and Kamionek, Marta (2004). Integrated method of pest control, effect of insecticides on entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill, *B. brongniartii* (Sacc.) and nematodes (*Heterorhabditis megidis* Poinar, Jackson, Klein, *Sreinernema feltiae* Filipjev, *S. glaseri* Steiner. *Pol. J. Ecol.*, **52**(2): 223-228.

Bhattacharyya, Badal, Baruah, A.A.L.H., Das, Purnima and Bhuyan, Utpal (2008). Field efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok. against white grubs in Assam. J. Biol. Control, 22(1): 81-84.

**Pandey, A.K. (2010).** Field evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* against the white grub, *Holotrichia longipennis* damaging soybean in Uttarakhand hills.*J. Biol. Control*, **24**(4): 327-332.

Rahman, A. and Sharifullah, Md. (1995). Insect pest complex of green gram. *Pestol.*, 19:15.

#### WEBLIOGRAPHY

Swaminathan, R., Singh, Kan and Neoalia, V. (2012). Insect pests of green gram Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek and their management. In : Agricultural Science, Godwin Aflakpui (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0567-1. (Source: www.intechopen.com/books/agricultural-science/insect-pests-of-green-gram-vignaradiata-l-wilczek-and-their-management).

