#### **R**ESEARCH **P**APER

# Genetic analysis of heterosis for seed yield and its components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

#### B.K. ATHONI<sup>1</sup> AND C. NANDINI<sup>2</sup>

# <sup>1</sup>All India Co-Ordinated Research Project on Sunflower, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, U.A.S., G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARNATKA) INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARNATAKA) INDIA

Email: nandini.vinutha@gmail.com

A study was undertaken to assess the magnitude of heterosis involving seventeen inbred lines of sunflower. The inbred comprised of five new male sterile lines and twelve diverse restorer lines (six branching and six non-branching) which were crossed in all possible combinations. The resulting 60  $F_1$  hybrids along with their parents were studied for the extent of heterosis during summer 2006 for nine characters by adopting line x tester analysis, considerable average heterosis was observed for all characters studied. Highest magnitude of average heterosis was observed for seed yield per plot (150.34) followed by seed yield per plant (118.86), head diameter (26.79), plant height (15.32), thousand seed weight (7.49) and oil content (2.13) for the characters days to 50 per cent flowering, the hybrids recorded negative average heterosis. Percentage contribution of component characters, *viz.*, thousand seed weight, plant height and head diameter towards expression of heterotic effect for seed yield was to the extent of 15.06, 30.82 and 53.86 per cent, respectively.

Key words : Heterosis, Sunflower, Inbred lines, Line x tester analysis

*How to cite this paper* : Athoni, B.K. and Nandini, C. (2012). Genetic analysis of heterosis for seed yield and its components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Asian J. Bio. Sci.*, **7** (1) : 77 - 83.

## INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is one of the important oilseed crops of major economic importance. The introduction of this crop to India in 1969 has helped a great deal increasing oilseed production and the area under cultivation due to its day neutrality, wide adaptability, short duration, high yielding potential, remunerative market price and good quality oil. Due to its cross pollinated it offers considerable scope for commercial exploitation of heterosis utilizing cyto-restorer system (Madrap and Makane, 1993; Sugoor et al., 1996; Gangappa et al., 1997). The discovery of cytoplasm is male sterility (Leclereq, 1969) and subsequent identification of restorers (Ennis et al., 1970) had significantly contributed in genetic improvement of the crop as well as in exploitation, of heterosis, leading to development and release of several hybrids for commercial cultivation. However, favourable characters of the hybrids like production stability, suitability to high input agriculture, high self fertility and uniform growth

and maturity shifted the focus towards heterosis breeding leading to the release of the first ever sunflower hybrid in India, BSH-1 by Seetharam (1981). The crop is gaining rapid popularity in India, but the productivity levels of sunflower still continue to be as low as 0.68 tonnes per ha against the world productivity of 1.22 tonnes per ha (Annonymous, 2005). To develop sunflower hybrids with improved yield potential, the choice of parents through careful and critical evaluation is of indicative of the crosses, which are likely to throw productive transgressive segregants. Hence, there is an urgent need to collect basic information about these traits in order to conceptualize breeding strategies suited to specific conditions. The present attempt has been taken to study heterosis for seed yield, oil content and the yield components in sunflower using 5 CMS line and 12 restorer lines among which 6 were branching types and 6 were non-branching types.

# RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Present experimental material consisted of five

ASIAN JOURNAL OF BIO SCIENCE, VOLUME 7 | ISSUE 1 | APRIL, 2012 | 77 - 83 |

cytoplasmic male sterile lines, DSF-15A, VRFX NDOL-2, 4546A X NDOL-2, 4546A X NDOL-3, 234A X NDOL-2 and restorer lines V-20 (Br), RHA-857 (Br), R-8297 (Br), VI-46 (Br), VI-66 (Br), 6D-1 (Br), RHA-265 (NB), RHA-298 (NB), IV-57 (NB), IX-79 (NB), R-274 (NB) and IV-41 (NB), for crossing at the time of flowering all heads in lines and testers covered with cloth bags to prevent open pollination. Pollens from 12 restorers collected in Petriplates with the help of camel hair brunch, during morning hours and pollinated to each of the male sterile lines separately during Kharif 2005.

All the resultant 60 hybrids with 12 restorer 5 maintainer and 2 cheeks were planted in randomized complete block design with three replication at G-Block, MARS, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad during Summer-2006. Each entry was sown in two rows of 4m length per replication with spacing of 60 cm x 30 cm. Observations were recorded on five randomly chosen plants per replication. Data were recorded on days to fifty per cent flowering, days to 100 per cent flowering, days to maturity, seed yield per plant (g), seed yield per plot (g), seed yield per plot per meter row, thousand seed weight (g), Head diameter (cm), plant height (cm), oil content (%).

Heterosis was calculated as a percentage increase or decrease in the F, mean over its better parent and mid-parents. Means and heterotic effects were tested by the least significant differences (LSD) test at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels.

### **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS**

The variance due to treatments was highly significant for all the characters expect for head diameter (Table 1). Female parents showed highly significant variation for all the characters except days to 50 per cent flowering and days to 100 per cent flowering. Male parents showed highly significant variation for all the characters expect days to 50 per cent flowering. For the hybrids/crosses, there was a highly significant variation for all the characters.

The estimate of heterosis for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to 100 per cent flowering and days to maturity

over the mid parent, better parent and check hybrids, all the hybrids showed highly significant negative heterosis. Majority of hybrids recorded significant negative heterosis over both the check hybrids DSH-1 and MSFH-17, which indicate the earliness of the hybrids compare of parents. These results are in agreement with earlier findings of Voskobionik (1978), Shankara (1983) and Giriraj et al. (1986). The estimates of heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and popular check hybrids, DSH-1 and MSFH-17 are presented in Table 2.

For head diameter, a total of 52 hybrids showed significant positive heterosis. The heterosis ranged from -35.48 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)) to 76.00 per cent (DSF-15A x R-274 (NB)). Positive heterotic effect for this trait was also reported by Yilmaz and Emiroglu (1994).

For thousand seed weight, a total of 49 hybrids showed in positive direction and 11 hybrids had shown heterosis in negative direction. The magnitude of heterosis for the characters over mid parent and checks ranged from -55.41 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)) to 114.22 per cent (DSF-15A x RHA-265 (NB)). Similar results were reported by Radhika et al. (2001), Seetharam et al. (2001) and Gill and Sheoran (2002).

The mid parent heterosis for plant height ranged from -22.66 per cent (VRF#NDOL-2 x IV-57 (NB)) to 43.61 (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)). A total of 52 out of 60 hybrids recorded positive heterosis were 48 of them showing a significant positive heterosis over mid parent. Many authors have also reported marked heterosis for plant height viz., Seetharam et al. (1977) and Shirinivasa (1980).

For seed yield (g/plant); magnitude of heterosis over mid parent ranged from -56.67 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)) to 193.06 per cent (DSF-15A x R-274 (NB)). Majority of hybrids exhibited highly significant heterosis in positive direction.

The magnitude of heterobeltoisis was from -65.18 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)) to 151.65 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x R-298 (NB)). 37 hybrids exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent.

Sources	Degree of freedom (df)	DFF	DHF	DM	SYP	SYPT	TSW	HD	PH	OC
Replications	2	0.32	0.24	1.21	8.16	22222.13*	1.89	0.96	101.12**	139.32**
Treatments	76	15.03**	17.47**	10.15**	1424.45**	800917.49**	528.59**	18.47**	673.82**	71.41**
Parents	16	0.97**	2.23**	8.87**	620.12**	183880.90**	545.55**	15.54	894.92**	97.55**
Crosses	59	3.02**	14.18**	4.02**	1176.01**	620676.60**	415.67**	12.22**	495.64**	65.17**
Lines	4	1.01*	0.81	9.96**	367.07**	183123.90**	194.43**	2.90**	349.26**	24.10**
Testers	11	0.82*	1.99**	2.49**	415.23**	128040.20**	614.89**	16.63**	1087.94**	132.62**
Lines x testers	1	2.42*	10.64**	114.13**	3886.10**	801156.00**	1187.20**	54.13**	954.40**	5.51*
Error	152	0.37	0.48	0.67	8.77	5360.97	2.12	0.40	4.51	0.88

\* and \*\* indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively



Table	Table 2 : Heterosis over mid parent (MP), better pa	er parent (B	(P) and star	rent (BP) and standard checks (DSH-1		& MSFH-1'	7) for diffe	MSFH-17) for different characters	ters				
9%- 1/0%			Sooi y'o'i	. 36. 3 E	1SN	4. 'N	Sané yia. In	ú por piot. DS	h		. www 500	oć wejć". Ds	A
• •	CNS23/ AINDOL 2 × V 20 (35)		10.63**	2. S. **	50,96 **	20,104#	2. 9. **	23.65**	55.0°1**	** .99.	100.8	33°, 6##	**90%.
$\lambda_{\rm s}$	CWS23/ VIINDOL 2 × R 857 (35)	38,22**	** 11.1.		3. 52**	1 3. 3**	** 165	22,56**	5 2344		++/85	162**	21.36**
547	CN/S23/ AINDOL, 2 × 7 8297 (35)	**2 5.	19. 1 ##	88.57**	****06.8.	.02.86**	12.85**	30.25**	**857.	.8.96*		32.30 <sup>444</sup>	. 6.02**
1.	CN/S23/A/INDOL, 2 x V1 / 6 (3-)	9.82*	** 11.1.		\$C. 00/##	35.57**	50.38**	51.81**	**99"./.	**087.	**51.3	35.//0##	Sup Prover
Ś	CWS23/ VINDOL 2 × VI 66 (31)	16. 500	33, S <sup>am</sup>	**89"9/.	***69"."	13,034#	28,50**	** . 1 1	26.06**	***S8.6.	**05.5.	mm 11. 5	2,888
Ś	(EN) //2 X X COCNIV/8/8/NO	**/	** 81%	1 23	39.76**	33.50**	**8/77	236**	**61.05	8°.97 **	2. 1 1 to #	15.35**	32,80**
1.	(EN/S53/VII/DOL 2 × 3 (DV 265 (VB)	5.23	30.05**		**88.1		.1.58**	27.59**	69.58**	**58.6		39.32**	. 6.02**
<i>8</i> 6	CMS23/AINDOL 2 x 3 298 (NB)	26.01 **	**6/.8	S. make	23.5° **	** ././	*******	**/.58.	**61.81	0.53	**69"	**6.63	120
Ċ,	CN823/AINDOL 2 x R 6D 1 (35)	18.23 ##	15.6**	*** 16 1.G	84 °0° /S		**/855	10.91 **	62.81 **	***89° 1.	22,09*#	16.23##	33.31 **
× 42.	(EN) /S /L × 2 10CNIV /S/S/10	**0/.85;	58.77**	**5782.	** 56 . 1	**/.9"68".	**05"0/.	**6/.95	œ.	31.32**	393**	9.05**	**/.2%
* * *	(EN) 64, DX × 8 "LOCINIV /868ND	12.8. **	**57.6.	58.86**		85.3° ##	20,93**	**67.00	30.19**	** .18	***81.6.	2. 32m	2.36
1. 1.	(EN) 17 AL % TOCHIV/828ND	19.3/**	3.53	37.35**	*************	**86'9',	**66.56	** 11 8	57.0.**	1.65**	0.55	23.86**	5.5.**
er) ,	VRUINDOL 2 × V 20 (34)	. 25.36**	** S15	36.59**	.3.66	**86'57.	85.16**		38.58**	26.39**		23.a° ##	1,16*
1.	V.X.////201, 2 × 3, 85/ (35)	** 16.01	5.72		32,89**	235.87 <sup>44</sup>	**50 16.	.⊗.wa**	25.69**	** . 1 16	507 507	2.32**	2,36
5	V.R./////2001, 2 x R 8297 (35)	**S01.	**91.1.1	16.99##	Star 1.	99.374#	##87.63	41.5 m.	13.68**	31 Syke	1.22	18.36 <sup>44</sup>	
. 6.	V.R.//N.DOL, 2 × V. 76 (35)	## . / 96	63.63**	62.58**	11.3	++95 27	***25 18.	23.53**	29.2. 44	##S1.82	2.73	1. 11 wet	288
· 4 · ·	V.R.//N.DOL, 2 × V. 66 (3:)	82.2° **	**5: 11.	**/000	30. 3**	**97,98.	****** / th .	13.16**	6.5.**	35.78**	23.33**	**6079	** 159.
9%) V	V.R.//N.DOL, 2 x R Z// (N.B)	.38.82***	5/ 9**	20.11 **	51.1	90.38**	12.0944	25. 5**	52.87**	**S.W.	**//6.	**S006	**/38°C .
S.	V.R.//N.DOL, 2 × R.DA 265 (NB)	***************************************	**O. O.	***/	1,2,63**	: 99,35**	**82'94.	63.25**	2.30	**65°87	**561	*** State .	**9/.0.
200.	V.R.//N.DOL, 2 x, 3, 298 (NB)	16,13##	**9.1.	**559.	25,33 ##	26.10 <sup>44</sup>	18.91**	2. 9. **	50.03**	**66°8	\$30**	09'0	23.35**
Х	V.K.//NDOL 2 × 6D 1 (35)	30.71##			3. W. Ante	. 06./ Sta	***8."81.	37.56	13,19**	4496.69	**//6.	**\$376	J. S. 1 + + +
22.	V.R.J.(N.201, 2 × 3V 5Y (N3)	***22.8/1.	95.52**	97.50**	22.95**	219.21**	202.69**	**11.65		**/1/81	**		23.85**
23.	(EN) 64, XC × 7 TOCN/1/XA	97.65**	**00.88	87,03**	**9668	***9/.72.	**15:11.	6.25*	10.96**	5.5.**		53.0° **	1,15*
21.	VICHNOOL 2 × DV ZL (NB)	35,96**	2,99		**/6'58	**/.9 /5.	88.57 <sup>**</sup>	0.69	3776**	25.97.##	26 ma**	12.89**	**8.86
2.5%	/ \$/6AINDOD. 3 × V 20 (3)	38.32**	** 8/8:	1.3.83***	15.55##	**********	58.15*#	·6.5/**	** 11 1.1	** 19 E	.3.87**	31 13##	**/
26.	/ 5/ 6AIN 300 3 × 3.857 (35)	23.8° ##	22.30**	22,73**	**65	55.6/ ##	20 20	15.35**	65.57**	**./	36.57**	**9.11	** 1. 408
21.	/ 5/6A/IND00.3 × R 829/ (35)	**1.510.	13,538**	19.51**	9,000 w	2.0.38**	**.879.	**00.88	\$.33**	**551.1	**661.	*** 5/. an .	**9/.0.
28.	/ 5/6A/NODD.3 × VL/6 (35)	**1.5 19.	**5.02.	***000000000000000000000000000000000000	38./3**	39. "3**	216.6° **	98.38**	31.53**	**87.67	0.55	21 . 338 WW	6.03*#
23.	/ \$/6AINDOD. 3 × VC 66 (3:)		66.7	3.50	39. mak	99'0	13,223	36.38**	60.25**	8.26**	**/.9'9".	36.55**	2. 26**
30.	15/6AINDOD.3 × 3.27/ (N3)	**65.0	33.58**	32,500**	**65'5"	308.83***	905. 3 WW	66.73**		35.57 ww	1 2. Cart	29.35**	**SS.4.
293	7576AINDOL3 × REA 265 (NB)	**86.5.	5.22	**51.5	**:/0/	35.°5**	X1.90**	21 51 **	59,18**	1.23	31 11 44	**/.1%1	23.6" **
32.	15/6AIINDOL 3 × R 298 (NB)	*80.5	\$0.60**	30,96**	55.35**	13.00/##	35.35**	28.70**	55.°0**	**56.5.	2.2.18**	29.//3**	· 2.31/**
2 2 2	(-E) [ C9 × E / DC/NIV9/57	1.62.	23.38**	2/ 23**	52.3**	5%, %%##	662**	**/.8.7.	16.39**	20.16**	. 5.56**	35.///** C	20 20 + +

Asian J. Bio Sci., 7 (1) April, 2012 :77 - 83 Hind Institute of Science and Technology

Table 7 Sr. No.	Table 2 contd 8	£	Scot y o't per per 30 DS		/SN	¢Λ	Sant y cí 30	Soni y'c't per z'a. 30 DS. :	1	<u>د ۸</u>	. ww soc	1000 scot weight. 30 DSI 1	A. LISA
	(EN) //S // * 3 // 2019/S/	12.21 **	**8.7.	**69"7",	16.0/**	**857	86.28**	90 90	38.%° **	**09'/.	. 5.55**	35.82**	20.36 **
35.	(EN) 67. XC × E 1000NIV9757	**958	**S.'S!	17, 23**	8. Sty #18	250.66**	##\$21.51.	15,2444	8.52**	.3.62**	8.80 tes	**637.	288
	(EN) : / A. X. S. DXENIV9757	20.00**	3.96	3.12	** 11.61	85.03**	** .º/E	21.83**	51 55 mm	21.65**	21 S. ##	1.35.44%	*** *** ***
31.	15/6AINDOL 2 x V 20 (31)	**85'50"	12,36**	3.50	39,000**	. 5. 36**	** ./ 80.	**951.	16.3**	**9/	**65%	**01.55	na mak
38.	/ 3/ 6///	ANT S.	1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 - 1848 -	35. 7**	**657	319.62**	mark the	*** 1.78	an and an an	77.97**	an States	73.11 ##	1 'SG**
30	15/6/INDOL 2 x 3 829/ (3)	**6/3/.	32,97**		13.22**	**91.78.	. 9 S?**	\$	12,31.**	22,96**	. 22.	**87.6%	**/8%;;
1 42.	15/6/INDXX: 2 × V. 16 (31)	26.67**	65.18**	***500 .1.	***0/, .8	31.56**	39.36*	**89"0/,	8, Some	\$5.1.**	** 50 1.1	13.35**	. 6.93**
·/	15/6/INCXX7.2 × V. 66 (31)	50.19**	**/1: %	32.93**	**6655.	**657	***5.01.	** 11:54	22,03**	1.33.9**	24, 44,	3. 55***	**///.
1 2	18/6/INDOL 2 × 3 21/ (NB)	***627.	565**		35.91**	**61718.	93.85**	20.52**	19,95***	38,93**	ለጌሪኮ ላኮ ዓይዓይ ዓይ	3.62**	13.9° **
13.	15/6AINDOL 2 × RUA 265 (NB)	36.36**	37. SV##	an create	13,69**	63.31 **	38.39**	*********	18.15**	**678.	****52.1.	35,100	He Here Sugar
11	/ 5/ 6AINDOL 2 × 3 298 (N3)	** /8/.9;	**59 .5.	**www.	*971.	253.05**	230.51 **	55.32**		**86.6	5. 2**	1 104*	. 8.63*#
15.	(30); C9 × 7, COCNIV9757	59.5.**	32.97 m		13.22**	*** 23**	*32,25**	81.1	御御 100 00 1		25.22**	18.85 ***	36./9**
16.	(EN) / 5 AC × 2 TOCNIV9 / 5 /	33,79**	51.1.1	**/\$/\$	**1.111.	30.30**	386*	**\$5.21.	82,52**	**9/%	**50.0	19.301 **	23.03**
1.1	(EV) 61. X: X & "CCCNIV9757	**82.57	**888 /	93.03**	22.02**	**.086.	9. 53**	9. 18**	50.55**	**1.,98	**9/,'58	2 21 **	**657.
18.	(EN) : / A. X '. (XX.) 1949/5/	\$6.75**	** 11.83		30.07**	** 1.00.	63.99**	29.8844	***997.S	5.5. ##	55 m	73.45. **	1 / 644
19,	DSD 15A × V 20 (35)	44E9'./.	March .		13, 2240	8°.''/'#	30. T/wa	. C. SS##	19,6°, mm	4.2° 44	φφ\$/,%∕	73.36 <sup>mp</sup>	2.5° oo
50.	(R)/38 E × VSI /380	**51.15.	\$3.17 mm	35.35**	**661.	.68.36**	**91.31.		33.13**	0. 33 **	**60 80.	●●ごく	32,30**
	(31) / 528 X X VST 738C	33°,63**	38,85**	*******	** 96%	\$0.36**	28.7.**	N. 91 ##	50./ Oak	**1.5°51.	60.3° ##	. 6.66**	3.12
52.	(43) 97 A × VSI ESC	****/.9/.	popular SZ.	800./ Date	喇喇 / 12 / 2	89.73**	mound and .		311944	50.32*#	19.57##	73.11##	/ 309##
53.	(43) 99 "A × VS", JSC	**:/'69	16.9/##	60.36 <sup>44</sup>	1.50 -	\$2.75**	68.25**	22.38**	22,93**	58.2° **	11 32 **	. 00 S 244	
51.	(EN) AZ Z× VS. USC	. 93.06**	\$5.37 ww	\$6.6/ ##	660	** 11.12.	**/1.1E	2. a. **	23.19**	36.53**	18.76**	73.86**	5.5.**
55.	(EN) \$9% V_E × VS1 7.5%	**65'95'.	**89.6%.	3/ _ J**	**689:	132.044	**\$557%.	39.13**	12,66v	** 1. 22. **	Jus " yak		26.50**
56.	(EN) 867 E × VSI USC	**`8'96	********	3735**	**8.5.	60.32**	12.6**	**.0.5.	15.22**	*11	S	39.11 **	**8/5,
1.5	(R): C9 × VS: /SC	.3.6.		28.12 www	26'75	/ 8,66**	##S67.	X1.83**	##55 15	sheety , the	36.36**	30.50 ***	***68 8.
23	(EN) /S A. * VS; 7.80	***25.56**	**/916	53.67##	2,36	18.3**	man Km.	23.37 ##	55.a. **		$\sup_{x\in \mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx^{-}_{x} dx^{-}_{x} dx^{-}_{x}$	133.**	29.65**
59.	(EN) 6/, X: × VS: USC	\$6.50**	**98'9/.	53.36**	612	7.1.3**	. 30.85**	1. 26**	state of the state	35,66**	**857.	**857.	100
60.	(EN) 17 ALX VS1 /380	*** 57.52 *	33.33**	16.99##	*807.	: 85. <i>61/</i> **	860.	53.59**	S.S. Jam	28.13**	7. 3**		, 33. Je 7.

**80** Asian J. Bio Sci., **7** (1) April, 2012 : 77 - 83 Hind Institute of Science and Technology B.K.ATHONI AND C.NANDINI

N 6223 5	latile 7. consult												
- 6 7	By work .				h		J'Ent.	ae''	L. SN	02. se X.P	yn',cm'. 3.0		h:
× :	CN823/ AINOOL, 2 x V 20 (34)	303**	12.12.13 14.24.24 14.24.24	2.27	. 3 <i>92</i> **	1.55##	**//9.	** . 11%	31 55**	** 1.5	8.92**	sector for the sector of the s	23.13**
R	CM/823/ AII/VXX, 2 × 2 857 (3*)	50.33##	.35##	. 2.83**	S8.	3.53##	1 33##	89°6	**858.	83. **	2.55**	* 18.1	**S/"0",
803 (07)	CN 8237 AIN 700 . 2 × 3 8297 (35)	\$. / O##	2. 05**	20.1. 44	2.00	21.03**	**0.6.	1.1. S. 1. 1.	6. 9**	**6/6	3.33**		3.90
1.	CMS23/ AINDOL, 2 x V. 76 (3)		.36**	**688.	2/ 66**	22.19**	**1.132	1 2144	5.99##	** 16 18	32. 3**	**661.	20.13**
ŝ	CN/S23/ AINDOL, 2 × V. 66 (3)	***55.0	· 4.53 ##	*		**/./0.		5. 2**	1.39.44	· 9.21/##	**28.2	**987.	2.33
Ś	CN823/ AIN 301, 2 × 3 27/ (N3)	2. / 3#*	.0.53##	mm Col an .	22.1.0*#	**/S.S.	₩★℃、差、	3.55**	6.67 ww	· 8.2. **	50.15**	191	4#SS.0.
* 9 8 4	CN/SZ3/ AINUXOL, 2 × 101A 265 (NB)	ત)તુમ'તમ પ્રાથમ	**681.		Ser or 1 ale	**S/S.	**\$	6.62 <sup>**</sup>	3,37/##	1 5 3 7 **	**61.1.	S. 10**	28,00**
9Å	CM823/AINDOD, 2 x 2 298 (NB)	21.51**	**\$?.'S',	** .55.	0.73	35,60**	\$\$\$	.52.**	3.37**	**64.5.	36,76**	.3.79**	**06.6.
Ś	CMS23/ AINDOL, 2 × 2 6D 1 (35)	6.61	**61.5.	, 5,93 <sup>**</sup>	26.92**	30.63**	** . / 6%	***6.1.	2,30**	**/:5%	** 151.	26.1144	33,66**
. m.	CM823/AINDOL, 2 x 7V 57 (NB)	*** that' at /	31. 2. **	33.86**	** 169.	**881.	6.0° **	9,56**	the .	8 63**	**81.5.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	**0.9.
* * : * :	CM/S23/ A/INDOD, 2 x XC 79 (NB)	13.80##	*******/.	13%	183	18.53 **	**059.	53##	5.2. ##	**5/.00.	***29.0	1.90	$d^{2}hd^{2}h^{2} \cdots$ $^{N}d^{N}d^{N} = \cdots$
. 2.	CMS237 AINDOC, 2 × 24 71 (NB)		2.63	2.35	4496°C	**/@`^`	mar Stran	*** . / 8	**232**	5.89**	**5.8.	6.95**	**91.2.
1	V.X./INDOL 2 × V 26 (3:)	**/.:/./		2.36	**96'0";	**/.7.6%	**/5'8.	200°.	**6/77	, 8.23**	22,95**	29.8/**	36,90**
1.	V.X./INDO., 2 × 3 857 (3:)	26.92**	1/21/2-1/2 1221/2-1/2	**6855.	**99.65	**/~/~/	**09%.	3.6/*	. 2.99**	5.89**	S. Wake	**03%	**998.
.5.	V.X./INDO., 2 × 3 8297 (E)	53.85**		1.96	37 + MA	6.85 <sup>44</sup>	中央会…の。	##/9°5%	**/	55144	3.19**	2.2.	**91.1.
	V.X.//NDD2-2 × V. 76 (34)	35.33**	23,33	.52° ##	0.7 S	**891	and the forest	1.21	**98"	55144	26.72***	20.55**	. 6 23**
14 .	V.R.//ND01, 2 × V. 66 (3:)	S		. A.83***	* .85 <del>*</del>		8. 3 au	CC AND HE	: 2.55 **	23.62.44	21 2544	Statu	16.0° 444
9/6 V	VR/INDOL/2×R/2// (NB)	52.9/#*		2.36	***26.0.	**557.7.	· 6.25**	7.13th	***887.	***98°E.	4481.61	61.0	1.66
Č.	V.X./INDOL, 2 × K.DA 265 (NB)	35.38##	53.33	· 5.5° ##	0.7 38	**55.0.	6.53##		mar Silam	· · . 30,44	Sprace / F. an .	**657.	3,44
Sec.	V.X.//NDD1, 2 × 3 298 (NB)	12.50**	60.6		** .S./.	S. 34	9.93##	5.000	**987.	· / ··· ···	39.10**	**857.	*****
2.	VX/INDOL2×601(3:)	23.6/**	SW.S	mar Col . th .	22.10 **	******/ · · ·	**580.	2,65%	2, 2, 21 **	3.05**	**/28.	**096.	26.0**
2.2.	V.X./INDOL 2 × D. 27 (NB)	60.00%	33,33	· 5.5. ww	870	22,66**	9.20 kt	2. 19##	29,22**	· 2. Cran	19.16**	·3./ @##	: 9.56**
23.	V.X./INDOL 2 × DX 10 (NB)		5,83		** .87.	. 8.38**	**065.	1.59**	******* S	**8%/.	6.59**	. 35	1 1545
1%	VR/INDOL 2 × DA / COB	No. 1999	90°9		mar. all	. 0.93**	and States	3.7 . www	1. 9. 92 <sup>44</sup>	. 9.75**	26.76**	13.82**	26.33**
25.	/ 5/ 6AINDOD 3 × V 20 (3:)	21.53***	4343° 43	**68°87	21 56**	72. 77 1 ** *	**8 / /,	魏州·东北京	.6.22**	8.13 ww	** 5. 1.	2,31	8,56**
2.6.	/5/6AINDOL 3 × 3 857 (35)	23.03**	3,03	***865.5	26.92**	9.29**	5. 2**	** 6	. 8.05**	3. 31 ##	**6/1.	8.33**	
1.1	15/6AINDOL3 × 3.8357 (35)	50.00**			***36.0.	1.15**		2, 50%	**1.1%.		Ş0°.	、、333番番	**8/1
28.	/ 5/ 6AINDOL: 3 × VI. / 6 (3:3)	56.97**	51.55	33.86**	·6//**	.5.31**	.3.80**			93.15**	3.35**	38.76**	35.13##
29.	7.576AIINIMD1, 3 × VI.66 (35)	. 7.63**	5.26		S. Mak	*** 626° X	**11.	\$**\$ \$	**	**62.00	5.89**	1 33	र तन तनतन्त्रकाकः 
30.	15/6AINDOL3 × 3 2/ (N3)	56.36**		1.96	3. Mate	***33**	**0.'9.	2.61 #	**9/1,	3. 13**	**5/01.	. 8.50 MW	25,00**
202	75/6AINDOL 3 × RUA 265 (NB)	1.62	S. 42	* 45.4 B	22.1000	11 C. 12	3.13##	5.78**	. 3.66**	29.06**	33.95**	38.06** Cond71	/5.56** zble 2

Asian J. Bio Sci., 7 (1) April, 2012 : 77 - 83 Hind Institute of Science and Technology

1 02015	1. 2011 8. 1. 50 Wild												
32.	15/6AINDOL 3 × 7.298 (NB)		ፈንፈን ላይ ዓይሆን ላይ	**99'8.	29.25**		2.01	**085.	23.61**	** . / 8	38, 5**	**58'7.	****
33.	15/6AINDOL3 ×6D1 (3:)	##\$???	3.00	**86.5.	26.92**	150	.60	· 2. 3**	20.33 ke	· 2.3744	\$ \$9**	1.33	* রম জারম্বর্গ্ধর্ণ
	18/6AINDOUS × 14/9/(NB)	R. C. C. C. C. W. W.	6.06		44/275	**\$6°0°	22,15 mm	9.6.	*******	**525	***50.8	· 9.33**	. 3./3 ww
35.	18/ 6V/XXXX \$ 1000N/V9/6/	25.37**	23,53**	*82.0.		9.99**	**69'/.	2,83*	**65%		2.95**	**88.0.	. 6.33**
	(EN) I ALX STOCNIV9/S/	<b>朱朱、、、、</b>	909		**/0705	8.95**	3.20**	**5.5	**/.//.	++88.9.	******	**8917	drach (h Andr (h
1.5	15/6/INDOL2×X 20 (30)	18,000 **	<u>93</u> 33**	2.9.	**555.	37,69**	39.67**	6.22**	**975.	85 n	3,60**	**/26	*** 5.
90 77	1.5/ 6/N/2001 2 × 3 857 (35)	15.5° ##	13.33**	. 2.83**	. 855	28.13**	9. 31 mm	S. One	1.2.63**	1.65**	** 1.8.	. 9,69**	26.23**
Ś	15/6AINDOL 2 × 3 829/ (31)	31.53##	સામિત્ર પ્ર સામિત્ર તેરું.	**688.	**9976	8.32**	sterite St. T.	** \$99	##6:77;	8.55 <del>*</del> *	We we are a	**16.9",	23.33**
10.	15/6/N/XX213 × V1/6 (35)	35.78**	31/33**	**8/1.1	51 32**	13.6" **	39.°9**	**6.1	160	10.53**	33, 7/ **	*****	31 33**
	1 5/ 6AINDOL 2 × VI 66 (3:)	23.53**	*85.0.	* 16 10 .		**35.8.	South	1 / 9**	**6/.5	6.78**	· 5. 2**	20.18**	**91.7.2
	18/6AIN000.2 x 3.27/ (N3)	Sa.wa	Ser marke		**.87	**8.55	. 9.87 <del>*</del> *	5.95**	1 1 28 44	2.23**	22.38**	*1.51 **	9.90**
	15/6AINDOL 2 × 3.1A 265 (N3)	. 6. 3##	.2.50*		** .@./	**90'5.	90",	5.58**	##/&'/;	1 3544	3.2144		₩0,°S
	15/6AINDOL 2 × 3 798 (N3)	50.82**	18.39**	** 1. 24	dan C	29.89**	Ser. Jam		** /6'6	20.58**	36.63**	.3.59**	**9/.6.
	(3); (3) × (3); (3); (3); (3); (3); (3); (3); (3);	**11.08	*88.8.	**6/.0.	22.10**	0.65**	9.33**	20. 5**	21.52**	.3,63**	25,13**	33. W**	10.33**
16.	18/ ENINDOL 2 × 20 SV (NB)	23.43**	\$\$ \$\$**	**8/1.1	\$1 39 **	9.39**	21 20 ***	**/	*** ?S SX	50.28**	26.53**	50. 2**	26.95**
	1 5/ 6//INDOL 2 × DK 19 (NB)	16.33**	38.9/**	23.39**	*557.	*********	5.33 ww	1.95**	*****5 / ;	**99' /	5.5.**	**6	· 1/ 23 **
1 36.	18/ 6AINDOL 2 × DV /1 (NB)	36,6/**	**/.9'98	1971.	1.8.9	22,60**	***(7.4.	2,32**	*** 1.1%	36,60**	**/8/11	36,78**	13.50**
	(35) 07 A × V5, 73C	38.75**	2,50°*		** .27.	\$\$.13¢*	wai / / "你"、	2.16*	44922 C	\$ \$15 m	**68	2.00 WA	3 73
50.	(-E) /.58 E × V51, 7.8C	56.86**	25.00°**	1,96	*07.8	1. 2244	11.0	2.36**	20.98**	**96.6	35.01 **	**59'8'	25. Oak
	(E)/528 E × VST /SC	33.33**	6.25	61.0.	22,10**	**9956	·李·林·小子"(2)	2,56*	** 15%	\$ 30 **	22.06**	***1.6%	本本の、こ、
52.	(3)97 A × VSI 780	13.15##	**S1:51	N. and	anan' S	**617.	**98" /	**687.	. 6.50 <sup>444</sup>	36.32**	43°. Marin	2,655	8.23
53.	(28) 99 A × VS, 280	Services	*850.	16 00.		***09'/,	. S. 6**	**5/0.	** /6°67.	6.39**	** 63 **		818
	(EV) //Z X × V(1.1SC)	***M.DI.	37.50**		0.138	. 3.32**	**9/.'8",	S. andrawak	23/**	32, 3**	19.55**		396
	DSF 15A × RUA 266 (NB)	16.83**	/ 6,33**	23.39	*887.	**95"	**.58		**098	**\$7'8,	28,66**	**80'8.	**86.
	(EV) \$65 E × VST /SC	26.58**	NS anan &	1.96	S. Mar	25,60**	5. Ones	**997.	3:33**	2. 15**	25.52,**		**9/.'6
.1.5	(45); C9× V3; /SC	5.52 mm	Sur ur	86.5.	36.92**	. S. Sove	## 1.5°		1914 / 3 V.	**.8.,	37.30##	2 S**	11.15 au
	(EN) //S //L * V/ST //SC	55.55**	3. 25**	16 m.		. 00 %. **	** "00 **	**90'8	ARAS / ARE / .	**	22.06**	**/1/1	******
53.	(EN) 6/, XX × VST //SC	Wat Silway	23.53**	160.		***2.5.	*******	2.124	**SS7.	2,65**	** 5°C.		5.56*
60. * <sub>Err</sub> í	60. – DSP (15A x TV x1 (NB) * End ** indiazio significazios di Values zi P. 0.05 and 0.	18 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3. 25**	No.		** 20.00 .	9.62**	3. 9**	. S. V. **	26,63**	S. 1. **	. 5.79**	2. No**

B.K.ATHONI AND C.NANDINI

The range in standard heterosis over the DSH-1 was from -71.05 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br) to 123.45 per cent (CMS-234A#NDOL-2 x IV-57 (NB)). Totally 16 hybrids recorded positive heterosis and 44 hybrids that showed negative heterosis. The results were in agreement with that of Ashok *et al.* (2000) and Ahmed *et al.* (2005).

In case of seed yield per plot, the mid-parent heterosis for seed yield ranged from -35.54 per cent (CMS-234A#NDOL-2 x IV-46 (Br)) to 349.60 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x R-857 (Br)). Expect one hybrid (CMS-234A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)), all the hybrids showed significant positive heterosis over mid-parent for this economic trait.

The heterosis over better parent ranged from -50.38 per cent (CMS-234A#NDOL-2 x VI-46 (Br)) to 276.61 per cent (4546A#NDOL-3 x VI-46 (Br)). A total of 48 hybrids out of 60 showed significant positive heterosis over the better parent.

The standard heterosis over the DSH-1 ranged from -72.25 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x IV-57 (NB)) to 98.38 per cent (4546A#NDOL-2 x IV-46 (Br)). A total of 24 hybrids showed positive and 36 hybrids recorded negative heterosis. Indicating that MSFH-17 was high yielder than most of the crosses under study as compared to DSH-1.

Significant heterotic effect for seed yield has also been reported earlier by Reddy *et al.* (1985) and Madrap and Makn (1993).

For oil content, 36 out of 60 hybrids recorded highly significant positive heterosis. The maximum heterotic effect was exhibited in the cross (4546A#NDOL-2 x IV-46 (Br)) to an extent of 40.53 per cent. The average heterotic effect of F1 over mid parent was 6.13%. Similar positive heterosis for oil content% was also observed by several workers *viz.*, Rather *et al.* (1999) and Nehru *et al.* (2000).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Anonymons (2005). *Food and Agricultural organization production*, Year Book, ROME, ITALY.
- Gangappa, E., Channa Krishnaiah, K.M., Ramesh, S. and Harini, M.S. (1977). Exploitation of heterosis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Crop Res., 13 (2): 339 – 348.
- Gill, H.S and Sheoran, R.K. (2002). Heterotic studies in sunflower over environments. *National J. Plant Improve.*, **4** (1): 53-56.

- Giriraj, K., Shanta, R.H. and Seenappa, K. (1986). Studies on heterosis and inbreeding depression in selected cross combinations of sunflower J. Oilseeds Res., 3 : 67-72.
- Leclereq, P. (1969). line sterile cytoplasmique chezk tournesol. Ann. Amelior Planta, 12: 99-106.
- Madrap, I.A. and Makan, V.G. (1993). Heterosis in relation to combining ability effect and phenotypic stability in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 63: 484-480.
- Radhika, P., Jagadeshwar, K. and Khan, K.A. (2001). Heterosis and combining ability through line x tester analysis in sunflower. J. Res. Acharya N.G., Ranga Agric. Univ., Hyberabad, 29 (2-3): 35-43.
- Reddy, P.S., Reddy, M.U. and Sharma, N.D. (1985). Heterosis for seed yield and oil content in sunflower. *Indian J. Genet.*, 45: 166-170.
- Seetharam, A., Kusumakumari, P., Patil, N.M. and Sindagi, S.S. (1977). Performance of hybrids of sunflower producer by means of cytoplasmic male sterility. J. Res., 9:51-55.
- Seetharam, A., Rajanna, M.P., Virupakshappa, K. and Ramesh, S. (2001). *Helia*, 24 (34): 25-33.
- Shankara, A.C. (1983). Evaluation sunflower inbred for their combining ability by line x tester analysis. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA (India).
- Shrinivasa, K. (1980). Inheritance of fertility restoration and oil content in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, BANGALORE, KARNATAKA (India).
- Sugoor, R.K., Giriraj, K., Salimath, P.M. and Hanamavathi, N.G. (1996). Genetic potential of induced mutant restorer lines for producing high yielding early maturing sunflower hybrids. *Indian J. Genet.*, 56 (1):16-20.
- Voskobionik, L.K. (1978). Results and prospects of heterosis breeding in sunflower. Selectisiya, Semenovostro, 6:14-17.

Received : 20.01.2012; Revised : 15.02.2012; Accepted : 04.03.2012