Research Article



Organic farming studies in sunflower

K. TEJESWARA RAO, A. UPENDRA RAO, G. JOGI NAIDU AND D.S. REDDY

SUMMARY

Field experiments were conducted for two consecutive *Rabi* seasons of 2003-04and 2004-05 at Tirupati , Southern plateau and Hills zone of India on red sandy soils with fourteen treatments comprised of six different sources of nitrogen *viz.*, farm yard manure, vermicompost, neem leaf, poultry manure, pig manure and fertilizer to supply recommended dose of nitrogen on equalant nitrogen basis and one absolute control were tried with and without the foliar application of *Panchagavya*, . All the growth and yield attributes, yield (seed as well as stalk) harvest index, nitrogen uptake and gross returns as well as net returns of sunflower were at their best with recommended dose of fertilizer either with or without *Panchagavya* spray. The highest oil content of the seed was recorded with neem leaf manure in combination with *Panchagavya*, which was however, comparable with all the other four organic sources tried in combination with *Panchagavya*, but significantly higher than with the fertilizer either with or without the use of *Panchagavya*. The highest phosphorus uptake of sunflower was recorded with poultry manure either with or without the spray of *Panchagavya*, while the potassium uptake was the highest with vermicompost either with or without the spray of *Panchagavya*. Irrespective of nutrient supply, foliar application of *Panchagavya* resulted in higher nutrient uptake of sunflower than with the use of respective organic manures alone without the use of *Panchagavya*. Among the organic sources tried, the highest net returns and benefit-cost ratio of sunflower were realized with poultry manure in combination with *Panchagavya*.

Key Words: Sunflower, Organic farming, Panchagavya, Yield, Nutrient uptake

How to cite this article : Rao, K. Tejeswara, Rao, A. Upendra, Naidu, G. Jogi and Reddy, D. S. (2013). Organic farming studies in sunflower. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, 8 (1) : 173-178.

Article chronicle : Received : 05.07.2012; Revised : 23.11.2012; Accepted : 30.11.2012

rganic farming is not a new concept to Indian farmers, because they have practiced it since times immemorial. Organic farming system relies on crop rotation, crop residues, animal manures, legumes, green manures, off- farm wastes and biological pest control. Yields in organic farming are lower than chemical farming during initial years of practice and it takes a few years to stabilize the yields .However, in the long run, if properly followed ,yield with organic farming would be a greater than those obtained with chemical farming . The gravity of environmental degradation has drawn the attention of the scientists and planners towards finding out ecologically sound, viable and sustainable farm technologies, keeping in

- MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH FORUM

K. TEJESWARA RAO, AICRP IFS Scheme, Agricultural Research Station, Seethampeta, SRIKAKULAM (A.P.) INDIA Email: tejascientist@Yahoo.com

Address of the Co-authors: A. UPENDRA RAO, G. JOGI NAIDU AND D.S. REDDY, Agricultural Research Station, Seethampeta, SRIKAKULAM (A.P.) INDIA view of the needs of the future generations. Most of the Indian soils contain less than 0.5 per cent organic carbon. Unless it is raised to 0.9 - 1 per cent level, productivity of the soil can not be optimized. In view of the resurgence of interest in alternative agriculture in recent years, organic farming has been considered to be sound and viable option in most of the countries. In light of the above, investigations were taken up for two consecutive years, with the objectives of studying the response of sunflower to different organic manures, to investigate the influence of *Panchagavya* on the productivity and quality of sunflower, to work out the dynamics of soil fertility in the cropping system and to suggest the best organic manural practice for sunflower, based on productivity, economic viability and sustenance of soil fertility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted for two consecutive *Rabi* seasons of 2003-04and 2004-05 at S.V. Agricultural college

farm (ANGRAU), Tirupati, Southern plateau and Hills zone of India on red sandy soils with fourteen treatments comprised of six different sources of nitrogen viz., farm yard manure, vermicompost, neem leaf, poultry manure, pig manure and fertilizer to supply recommended dose of nitrogen on equal nitrogen basis and one treatment of no manuring through any source. All the seven treatments were tried with and without the foliar application of Panchagavya, thus, making the total treatments to fourteen .The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design, replicated thrice and the experiment was carried in the same field during both the years of study with un distrubbed lay out . The test cultivar of sunflower, MSFH-17 was used. Panchagavya is a mixture of cow dung (1kg), cow urine (750 ml), cow milk (500 ml), cow curd (500ml) and cow ghee (250ml). In addition, sugarcane juice (750ml), tender coconut water (750ml), pure honey (250ml) and ripe bananas (250g) were also added to accelerate the fermentation process. Plant height of sunflower was recorded at 15 days interval up to heading, by measuring from base of the plants to the terminal bud of the ten tagged plants expressed in cm LI-COR model LI-3000 portable leaf area meter with the transparent belt conveyer (Model LI-3050A) utilizing an electrical display was used for measuring leaf area at 15 days interval till harvest. Leaf area index was calculated by dividing the total leaf area with corresponding land area as per the formula. Five plants from the destructive sampling area were cut to the base, sun dried and then oven dried at 60°C till to a constant weight and expressed as kg ha-1.Diameter of the heads from the labeled plants of each of the net plots was measured, averaged and expressed in cm. Both filled and unfilled seeds from the heads of ten plants were counted and the mean value was expressed as total number of seeds head

¹.From the total number of seeds head-¹, filled seeds were separated, counted, averaged and expressed as filled seeds head-1. Five composite samples of 1000 seeds each were drawn from net plot produce of each treatment and weights were recorded. The mean value was presented as thousand seed weight in g. Seed obtained from the net plots was thoroughly sun dried to a moisture level of 8 per cent, weighed and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Stalks obtained from net plots were thoroughly sun dried to a constant weight, weighed and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Harvest index is the ratio of seed weight to the total biological yield and is expressed as percentage. Oil content of sunflower seeds was estimated by using ether extraction procedure in a soxhlet apparatus and also confirmed with NMR spectroscopy (Bruter Minispe P₂O₅ model) against a standard reference sample. Biochemical properties of Panchagavya stock solution are given Table A.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tallest plants with largest leaf area and highest dry matter accrual, with the largest head diameter, highest number of total and filled seeds head⁻¹ and highest grain weight, highest yield (seed as well as stalk), highest harvest index of sunflower were produced with recommended dose of fertilizer. With the recommended dose of fertilizer, any crop would perform at its best, because of adequate and balanced nutrient supply to the crop at the right time of requirement. Accordingly, the sunflower crop under comfortable nutrition could produce the growth parameter of the highest stature, which could accrue huge quantity of biomass and partitioned a sizeable quantity of assimilates to the sink. Thus, resulting in better yield structure as exhibited by all the yield attributes

Table A : Biochemical properties of Pan	nchagavya stock solution	
Property	Composition value	Methodology
Total N (mg kg ⁻¹)	380	Microkjeldhal – Humphries (1956)
Total P (mg kg ⁻¹)	258	Triple acid digestion (calorimetry) Jackson (1973)
Total K ((mg kg ⁻¹)	430	Triple acid digestion (Flame Photometry) Jackson (1973)
Total organic carbon (%)	0.85	Wet digestion Walkley and Black (1934)
Total sugar (µg ml ⁻¹)	215	Nelson Somogyi's hydrolysis – somogyi (1952)
Reducing sugars (µg ml ⁻¹)	88	
Glucose (mg/dl)	7.5	Glucose oxidase - Malick and Singh (1980)
Sodium (mg kg ⁻¹)	105	Triple acid digestion (Flame Photometry) Jackson (1973)
Calcium (mg kg ⁻¹)	28	
Yeast (CFU/ml)	$38 \ge 10^4$	Saborauds agar medium
Actinomycetes (CFU/Mml)	$4 \ge 10^2$	Ken Knight's medium Ken Knight and Muncie (1939)
Lactic acid bacteria (CFU/ml)	26 x 10 ⁶	MRS agar
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.28	DTPA extractant (AAS) Lindsay and Norvell (1978)
Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.87	
Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.20	
Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.17	

Internat. J. Plant Sci., 8 (1) Jan., 2013: 173-178 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

The highest oil content in the seed of sunflower was recorded with neem leaf manure, which was comparable with all other organic sources tried (Table 2). For biosynthesis of oil in oilseed crops, sulphur is required in adequate quantities. Organic manures possess large quantities of secondary and micronutrients, besides the major nutrients. Thus the large quantities of sulphur present in organics applied would have manifested in higher oil content in sunflower seed. Similar findings were reported by Somasundaram (2003).

The highest nitrogen uptake of sunflower was registered with recommended dose of fertilizer, while that of phosphorus uptake was highest with poultry manure. The potassium uptake was highest with vermicompost (Table 3). Under recommended level of nitrogen supply, N would be taken up by the crop uninterruptedly, since it was applied in suitable number of splits to match the physiological needs of the crop, resulting greater absorption compared to the organic source of N applied totally as basal. Higher uptake of N by sunflower crop with recommended dose of fertilizer than with organic sources, even on equal nutrient basis was reported by several earlier researchers. The highest P uptake by sunflower crop was recorded with poultry manure and the highest K uptake was associated with vermicompost. This was due to higher levels of P and K in the corresponding organic manures, which happened to be due to the application of all manures and fertilizer on equal N basis. These results are in agreement with those of Raju et al. (1991), Bhiday (1994), Ramamurthy and Shivashankar (1997) and Lam et al. (1997).

Fertilizer application could just maintain the status of soil organic carbon in the cropping system, with neither improving nor declining at the end of the annual cropping cycle. While it was found gradually built up compared to the pre-experimental level with the application of organic manures. Among the organic sources tried, neem leaf manure, vermicompost and farmyard manure added more organic carbon to the soil compared to pig manure and poultry manure. Slow decomposition of neem leaf, vermicompost and farmyard manure over other manures may probably the reason for the differences in soil organic carbon .Neverthless, organics did build up the organic content as well as nutrients there by indicating the sustenance of soil productivity. Ramesh and Rao (2009) also reported that soil health could be sustained with organic nutrition due to diversification of soil biota.

Available nitrogen status was higher with neem leaf manure followed by poultry manure and vermi compost than with pig manure and farmyard manure (Table 3). Fertilizer application almost maintained the status of available nitrogen , while it was declined with absolute control. The mineralization of organic manures and release pattern of nitrogen into the soil solution differs at large and accordingly and available

IN	able 1: Growin parameters, yield attributes of sumlower		ceu ny va	LICU HAIN	ILIAI DIAC	nices and i	as infinenced by varied manufulat practices and ranemagavya spray	t spray							
Treat	Treatments	Plant height at	sight at	Leaf are	Leaf area index at	Dry	Dry mater	Head	p	Total	Total seeds	Filled seeds	seeds	1000 seed	seed
		heading(cm	g(cm)	901	60 DAS	productio	production (kg ha ⁻¹)	diameter (cm	r (cm)	he	head '	head .		weight(g)	nt(g)
		2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	20)4	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I}}$	No manure	64.5	62.4	0.46	0.49	1325	1436	7.3	7.8	153	169	117	135	29.4	31.2
\mathbf{T}_2	No manure + Panchegavya	78.7	72.5	0.72	0.73	1532	1724	9.5	10.0	186	202	168	182	32.4	33.2
T,	Recommended dose of fertilizer	134.2	134.5	1.81	1.84	4448	4586	16.0	17.2	396	404	325	347	40.7	41.8
\mathbf{I}_{4}^{T}	Recommended dose of fertilizer + Panchogavia	135.6	137.4	1.84	1.87	4503	4642	16.3	17.5	403	412	331	352	40.9	42.1
T,	Farm yard manure	110.2	106.2	1.42	1.42	3752	3868	12.0	13.2	290	288	252	280	37.2	37.0
$T_{\boldsymbol{6}}$	Farm yard manure +Panchaganye	123.5	122.8	1.62	1.64	4187	4278	14.0	15.2	350	353	294	318	39.2	40.0
T_7	Vernicempost	106.2	102.5	1.40	1.40	3677	3748	12.0	13.0	280	274	248	268	37.0	36.8
T_8	Vernicempost + Parchagarya	121.6	120.6	1.60	1.62	4093	4168	13.8	15.0	340	336	284	310	39.0	38.8
Т,	Neem leaf	112.8	110.3	1.43	1.45	3853	3942	12.2	13.4	3(12	302	262	286	37.5	37.2
T_{16}	Neem leaf + Panchagarya	125.8	124.2	1.65	1.66	4252	4364	14.2	15.5	362	368	304	324	39.4	40.2
\mathbf{T}_{II}	Poultry manure	111.6	108.6	1.42	1.45	3800	3898	12.2	13.2	296	292	258	282	37.4	37.0
T_{12}	Poultry manure + Panchagawa	124.6	123.3	1.65	1.64	4198	4304	14.0	15.5	358	361	298	320	39.2	40.0
T_{13}	Pig manure	108.8	104.8	1.40	1.42	3707	3793	12.0	13.0	284	280	250	275	37.2	36.8
T_{14}	Pig manure - Panchagawa	122.8	121.6	1.62	1.62	4142	4234	13.8	15.0	345	348	288	312	39.0	38.8
	S.E.±	2.78	2.89	0.053	0.056	63.7	71.8	0.49	0.53	1021	11.27	6.69	7.39	0.42	0.49
	C.D ($P = 0.05$)	6.7	8.2	0.15	0.16	181	204	1.4	15	29	32	19	21	1.2	1.4

Internat. J. Plant Sci., 8 (1) Jan., 2013:173-178 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

Treat	Treatments	Seed	Seed yield	Stalk yield	vield	Harvest Index	t Indev	1iO	"Antant	C anon	Contraction of the	A LAN	and the state of the second se		
		(kg	(kg ha ⁻¹)	(kg ha ⁻¹)	1 ⁻¹)	0	(°)		(%)	(Rs	(Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net (R	(Rs ha ⁻¹)	Bene	ratio
		2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	200	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I}}$	No manure	442	484	618	754	33.36	33.70	31.3	31.6	7072	7744	2572	3244	1.57	1.72
T_2	No manure + Panchagavya	526	594	804	928	34.33	34.45	33.5	33.8	8416	9504	3616	4704	1.75	1.98
T ₃	Recommended dose of fertilizer	1669	1729	2225	2284	37.52	37.70	36.2	36.4	26704	27664	20004	20964	3.99	4.13
T_4	Recommended dose of fertilizer + Panchaganya	r 1696	1757	2264	2302	37.66	37.85	36.4	36.5	27136	28112	20136	21112	3.88	4.02
T_{5}	Farm yard manure	1328	1375	1838	1925	35.39	35.55	38.6	39.0	21248	22000	14396	15148	3.10	3.21
T_6	Farm yard manure + Panchagavya	1546	1586	2064	2128	36.92	37.07	40.6	41.2	24736	25376	17584	18224	3.46	3.55
\mathbf{I}_7	Vermicompost	1292	1335	1782	1875	35.14	35.62	38.4	38.8	20672	21360	13175	13863	2.76	2.85
T_8	Vennicompost + Panchagavya	1504	1538	2005	2092	36.75	36.90	40.6	41.2	24064	24608	16267	16811	3.09	3.16
T_9	Nccm lcaf	1385	1418	1884	1964	35.95	35.97	38.6	39.0	22160	22688	16060	16588	3.63	3.72
$T_{\rm m}$	Neem leaf + Panchagarya	1578	1624	2108	2162	37.11	37.21	40.9	11.5	25248	25981	18848	19581	3.95	1.06
T_{11}	Poultry manure	1354	1396	1862	1942	35.63	35.81	38.4	38.6	21664	22336	16536	17208	4.22	4.36
T	Poultry manure + Panchaganya	1552	1602	2096	2144	36.97	37.22	40.8	41.5	24832	25632	19404	20204	4.57	4.72
T_{13}	Pig manure	1305	1352	1816	1906	35.20	35.64	38.4	38.8	20880	21632	12810	13562	2.59	2.68
T_{14}	Pig manure +Panchagavya	1528	1564	2028	2104	36.89	36.94	40.4	41.0	24448	25024	16078	16654	2.92	2.99
	S.E.±	28.5	33.1	39.4	41.9	0.127	0.138	0.56	09.0	419.7	452.1	276.4	290.1	0.063	0.065
	C.D. (P = 0.05)	81	94	112	119	0.36	0.39	1.6	1.7	1192	1284	785	824	0.18	0.18
Treat	N Treatments	N uptake		P uptake	ke	K uptake	take	Organic carbon (%)	carbon)	Nitrogen	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphc ha	Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	(kg ha ⁻¹
	2003	2004		2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004
	Initial -			-		a.	Ţ	0.23	0.32	135.6	174.4	17.63	26.75	176.5	224.3
Ľ	No manure 20.4	21.4		4.75	5.32	31.5	34.2	0.16	0.14	103.5	84.2	12.72	8.23	160.8	132.8
\mathbf{T}_{2}	panchagavya 26.5	28.6		4.82	5.38	32.6	34.8	0.16	0.14	103.0	84.0	12.70	8.18	160.2	132.2
\mathbf{T}_3	RDF 75.8	78.4		7.80	8.20	47.8	51.6	0.20	0.15	118.5	125.0	25.15	41.40	204.6	216.5
\mathbf{I}_4	RDF + panchagavya 76.4	78.8		8.10	8.26	48.6	52.5	0.20	0.15	117.4	123.0	24.52	40.44	202.5	214.8
T _s	Farm Yard Manure 64.6	67.2		8.75	9.68	59.6	60.0	0.39	0.45	138.0	173.4	18.54	22.88	210.2	225.6
T_{6}	FYM + panchagavya 70.8	73.5		9.50	9.76	60.4	60.6	0.39	0.45	138.0	172.6	18.28	22.43	208.4	223.8
${\rm T}_7$	Vermicompost 63.2	65.6		9.54	9.82	67.8	68.0	0.40	0.48	144.2	181.8	20.60	27.92	232.5	298.2
Ĕ	Vermicompost + panchagavya 69.4	72.0		9.58	06.6	68.4	68.8	0.40	0.48	143.4	180.6	20.26	27.64	230.8	295.4
T_9	Neem leaf 66.0	68.6		10.76	11.04	58.2	58.4	0.42	0.49	158.2	206.2	22.82	33.63	211.2	237.5
T ₁₀	Neem leaf + panchagavya 72.2	74.8		10.82	11.16	59.0	59.2	0.42	0.49	157.5	204.8	22.45	33.04	210.6	235.8
T_{11}	Poultry manure 65.2	68.0		13.28	13.96	56.8	57.2	0.30	0.36	148.2	193.9	28.26	48.06	206.8	218.6
$T_{12} \\$	Poultry manure + panchagavya 71.4	74.2		13.40	14.10	57.4	57.8	0.30	0.36	147.5	193.1	27.84	47.04	205.4	218.2
T_{13}	Pig manure 63.8	66.4		12.02	12.40	63.8	64.0	0.32	0.36	138.6	174.8	24.45	39.16	228.2	293.2
T_{14}	Pig manure + panchagavya 70.2	72.8	12734	12.10	12.70	64.6	64.5	0.32	0.36	138.5	174.0	23.88	38.21	226.4	291.4
	SEm± 1.13	1.13		0.394	0.408	1.06	1.13	0.03	0.04	4.17	4.63	0.878	1.019	6.28	6.53

K. TEJESWARA RAO, A. UPENDRA RAO, G. JOGI NAIDU AND D.S. REDDY

Internat. J. Plant Sci., 8 (1) Jan., 2013: 173-178 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

nitrogen replenished the soil solution. Buiilt up Soil available phosphorus and available potassium status in the was found compared to the pre-experimental level, with the application of organic manures as well as fertilizer. The build up of soil available phosphorus status with varied manurial practices was in the descending order of poultry manure, pig manure, fertilizer, neem leaf manure, vermicompost and farmyard manure, while the build up of soil available potassium status with varied manurial practices was in the descending order of vermicompost, pig manure, neem leaf manure, farmyard manure, poultry manure and fertilizer. The P and K content of different organic sources tried differed to a large extent and the final balances of P and K were in commensurate to their respective contents of P and K in different organic sources. Higher available nutrient status with organic farming practices might be due to slow decomposition of organic components, the losses of N from these fractions may be minimized, in addition to synergistic effect on P and K transformation, the available nutrient status tend to improve slightly compared to the initial nutrient level. All the four soil fertility parameters were found depleted at the end of two year cropping cycles due to nonmanuring to any of the crops in the cropping system *i.e.* absolute control. The results are in line with that of Stockdale et al., 2001), who reported that soil fertility could be sustained with organic nutrition due to tightening the nutrient cycles.

The highest seed yield of sunflower obtained with recommended dose of fertilizer, obviously resulted in higher economic returns. Reasonably higher yield resulting in higher gross returns and relatively cheaper cost of poultry manure has resulted in higher B-C ratio. The outcome from the present investigation corroborates with the findings of Natarajan (2002), Parrot and Marsdon (2002) and Somasundaram (2002).All the five organic manures tried on sunflower crop have resulted in equal performance in terms of yield and economic returns. The results have revealed that sunflower crop could respond to various organic manures in similar way and hence, one can go for the choice of organic sources to sunflower, depending upon the abundant availability locally and cheaper cost. The outcome of the present investigation corroborates with the findings of Murugappan et al. (2001), Franki et al. (2004) and Latha et al. (2002).

Growth parameters, yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake, oil content of the seed and economic returns were at their lowest with non- manuring of sunflower through any source. It is obvious that modern genotypes of crops would under perform in the absence of adequate nutrient supply, since they are responsive only to the applied nutrients and the same thing happened in the present study. Sunflower crop has flowered and matured at the earliest with no manure, while the flowering and maturity was found most delayed with recommended dose of fertilizer, which took significantly more number of days than with all the organic sources of manuring which were comparable among them. Under adequate nutrition of N and its availability to the crop continuously, the vegetative phase will be extended and it is opposite with non-supply of N, exactly that was what happened in the present study. Widely published research evidence is available to support the same phenomenon in several crops, including sunflower.

Panchagavya was applied as foliar spray to sunflower crops (as per the treatments) at fortnightly intervals starting from 15 DAS to 15 days before harvest. Panchagavya was known to contain plant growth stimulants, which can enhance the biological efficiency of crops and the quality of the produce (Pathak and Ram, 2002). It contains macro and micronutrients, besides several groups of beneficial microorganisms (Table 1). The effect of Panchagavya on the productivity and quality of the economic produce of maize and sunflower is deduced here under. Panchagavya has exerted variable influence on different parameters of the two crops on which it was applied. Oil content of sunflower seed was found improved considerably with foliar application of panchagavya in combination with any of the organic manures tried over their individual application. The increase in oil content with the use of Panchagavya ranged from 5.2 to 8.0 per cent with different manures. As indicated earlier, Panchagavya is known to contain certain plant growth stimulants, which can improve the biological efficiency and quality of certain crops (Pathak and Ram, 2002). Thus, the oil content of sunflower seed might have been improved due to beneficial effect on biosynthesis of oil. These results are in accordance with those of Somasundaram (2003).

It was clearly found from this study that foliar application of *Panchagavya* alone to maize and sunflower crops could not exert any beneficial effect either on the productivity or quality and this was just comparable with non-manuring to the two crops through any source. This amply indicates that foliar application of *Panchagavya* alone to crops can not meet the nutritional requirement of the crops and *Panchagavya* can be used as a supplement or additive, whenever organic sources are applied to the crops. Further, *Panchagavya* acts as a top dressing to supplement the nutrient requirement of crop. Hence, it can be tried out higher levels of organic sources and high carbon contents of soils also.

REFERENCES

- Bhiday, M. R.(1994). Earthworms and organic manures in agriculture. *Indian Fmg.*, **43**(12): 31-33.
- Devi Dayal, Agarwal, S.K. and Dayal, D.(1999). Response of sunflower genotypes (*Helianthus annus*) to nutrient management. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 69(1): 10-13.
- Franki, A.C., Schulz, S., Oyewole, B.D. and Bako, S. (2004). Incorporating shoot - season legumes and green manure crops into maize - based systems in the moist guinea. Savanna of West Africa. *Exp. Agric.*, **40**: 463-479.
- Humphries, E. C.(1956). Mineral components and ash analysis. In: *Modern method of plant analysis*. Spinger - Verlag, Berlin, 1: 468-502.

- Jackson, M.L.(1973). *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall India Private Limited, New Delhi 498 pp.
- Ken Knight, G. and Muncie, J.H. (1939). Isolation of phytopathogenic actinomycetes. *Phytopathol.*, **29**:1000-1001
- Lam, W., Wang, C. P. and Ong, P. H. (1997). Preliminary study on an organic farming method for the cultivation of Caixin. Xiabaicai and Baicia. *Singapore J. Primary Industries*. 25: 23-31.
- Latha, M.R., Savithri, P., Indirani ,R. and Kamaraj, S.(2002). Residual effect of zinc enriched organic manures on availability of micronutrients in maize - sunflower cropping system. *Madras Agric. J.*, **89** (1-3): 120-121.
- Lindsay,W.L. and Norvell,W. A.(1978). Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu .*Soil Sci. Soc. American J.*, **42** : 421-428.
- Malick, C.P. and Singh, M.B. (1980). *Plant enzymology and histo* enzymlogy. Kalyani Pub., New Delhi , 278pp
- Murugappan, V., Santhy, P., Selvi, D., Muthuvel, P. and Dhakshinamoorthy, M. (2001). Land degradation due to potassium mining under high intensive cropping in semi arid tropics. *Fertilizer News*, **44** (5): 75-77.
- Natarajan, K.(2002). *Panchagavya A manual*. Indian Press, Mapusa, Goa, India 33pp.
- Parrot, N. and Marsden, T. (2002). The real green revolution, organic and agro ecological farming in the south. Greenpeace Environmental Trust, LONDON, U.K. 147p.
- Pathak, R.K. and Ram, R.A.(2002). Approaches for organic production of vegetables in India. Report Central Institute for Subtropical Horticulture, Rehmankhera, LUCKNOW (U.P.) INDIA pp.1-13.

- Raju, M.S., Varma, S.C. and Ramaiah, N.V.(1991). Effect of phosphorus in relation to FYM Vs *Rhizobium* inoculation on nutrient uptake of chickpea cultivars under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Agric.Res.*, **25** (1): 43-48.
- Ramamurthy, V.and Shivashankar, K. (1995). Residual effect of organic matter and phosphorus on growth and yield of sunflower. J.Farm. Systems, 11(3&4): 16-20.
- Ramesh, P. and Rao, A.S. .(2009). Organic farming: Status and research achievements. Indian Institute of Soil Science Bhopal (M.P.) INDIA 74 pp.
- Somasundaram, E.(2002). Man's activities impaired the agroecosystem beyond repair - A veterans attempt to rectify. *Agro Bios News letter*, Agrobios (India) Pub., Jodhpur (RAJASTHAN) INDIA, pp.25-28.
- Somasundaram ,E.(2003). Evaluation of organic sources of nutrients and *Panchagavya* spray on the growth and sustainable productivity of maize – sunflower- greengram cropping system. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (INDIA).
- Somogyi, M. (1952). Note on sugar determination. J. Biol. Chem., 200:145-154.
- Stockdale, E.A., Lampkin, N.H., Hovi, M., Keatings, R., Lennartsson, E. M., Macdonold, D.W., Padel, S., Tattersall, F.H., Wolfe, M.S. and Watson, C.A. (2001). Agronomic and environmental implications of organic farming systems. *Adv.Agron.*, **70**: 261-327.
- Walkey, A. and Black, C. A. (1934). Estimation of organic carbon by chromic acid titration method. *J.Soil Sci.*, **37**: 29-38

****** *****