INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 8 | ISSUE 1 | APRIL, 2015 | 204-207



A CASE STUDY

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/8.1/204-207

Evaluation of banana germplasm against sigatoka leaf spot disease under natural conditions

■ S.R. PARDESHI*, N.B. SHAIKH AND J.S. CHAURE

Banana Research Station (M.P.K.V.), JALGAON (M.S.) INDIA

| | ARI | TCLE | INFO |
|--|-----|------|------|
|--|-----|------|------|

Received : 24.07.2014 **Accepted** : 20.03.2015

KEY WORDS : Epiphytotic, Genomic, Sigatoka leaf spot, Banana

ABSTRACT

Study on screening of germplasm of banana was under taken during 2008-09 and 2009-10 under natural ephiphytotic conditions. The observations were recorded on to sigatoka leaf spot index of banana. All cultivars under study from four genomic groups have recorded susceptible to highly susceptible reactions to the sigotika leaf spot disease (*Mycosphaerella musicola*) of banana. During both the years of study, all cultivars were recorded susceptible category, of disease reaction. Among the nine cultivars from AAA group, the respective range of PDI were 11.43 to 31.33 and 22.35 to 38.52 for 2008-09 to 2009-10. During both years of study, the highest PDI was recorded with cv. MAHALAXMI. The least PDI was recorded with cv. SHRIMANTHI. The cv. SAFED VELCHI (AB) recorded PDI above 20 and also recorded susceptible reaction. The four cultivars from AAB group recorded PDI in the range of 8.35 to 21.53 and 20.14 to 28.32, respectively for 2008-09 and 2009-10.

How to view point the article : Pardeshi, S.R., Shaikh, N.B. and Chaure, J.S. (2015). Evaluation of banana germplasm against sigatoka leaf spot disease under natural conditions. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **8**(1) : 204-207.

*Corresponding author: Email:

INTRODUCTION

Banana is the most important tropical fruit crop. The incidence of sigatoka since last two decades in Maharashtra was increased, Now-a-days it has become one of the major constraints in banana production. Sigatoka leaf spot disease is caused by *Mycosphaerella musicola*. Economic losses due to the leaf spot disease have been so heavy in some areas that the banana production has been ceased altogether especially where susceptible varieties were grown. A severe incidence of sigatoka was recorded in banana orchards of south Gujarat during 1976 to 1982 causing drying and defoliation of leaves and premature ripening of fruits (Vala, 1996). In Maharashtra state, north Maharashtra region is the major producer of banana followed by Nanded, Parbhani, Basmat area of Marathwada. Now-a-days Pune, Ahemednager,

Solapur and Kolhapur districts and rest of western Maharashtra, have emerged as new banana growing regions. There is appearance of sigatoka leaf spot disease from June to February, with varying incidence and intensity. It disappears during summer months. The grand naine, the major cultivar under cultivation in Maharashtra is from dwarf Cavendish group (AAA). The present investigation was undertaken to find out the resistant source from the different cultivars maintained in germplasm at the Banana Research Station, Jalgaon (M.S).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during 2008-09 and 2009-2010 at The Banana Research Station, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Jalgaon. Seventeen cultivars including various genome and ploidy levels were evaluated for their reaction to sigatoka leaf spot under natural field conditions. The observations were recorded with respect to sigatoka leaf spot index. For scoring 0 to 6 scale was used as suggested by (Gauhl *et al.*, 1993) where 0 = no symptoms, 1 = less than 1 per cent of lamina with symptoms (only streaks and 1 or up to 10 spots), 2 = up to 5 per cent of lamina with symptoms, 4 = 16 to 33 per cent of lamina with symptoms, 5 = 34 to 50 per cent of lamina with symptoms.

From these observations, the infection index (PDI) has been calculated by applying the following formula :

Infection index (PDI) =
$$\frac{\sum nb}{(N-1)T} \times 100$$

where, n = number of leaves in each grade. b = Grade N = Number of grades used in the scale (7) T = Total number of leaves scored.

After calculation, the cultivars were classified under different categories is given in Table A.

| Table A : Classification of cultivars | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Disease score | se score Disease reaction | | |
| 0. | Immune | | |
| 1. | Highly resistant | | |
| 2. | Resistant | | |
| 3. | Moderately resistant | | |
| 4. | Susceptible | | |
| 5 and 6. | Highly susceptible | | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Table 1, it was observed that, 16 cultivars were classified under susceptible, disease reaction and one under highly susceptible reaction. During the 2008-09 the range of PDI was in between 7.69 to 31.33 and disease score from 3 to 4.0. The nine cultivars from AAA genomic group, recorded PDI in the range of 11.43 to 31.33. The highest (31.33) PDI was recorded with cv. MAHALAXMI, followed by cv. GRAND NAINE (28.43). The rest of cultivars were in the range of 11.43 to 25.34. The commonly grown cultivars like cv. SHRIMANTI and cv. HANUMAN of northern Maharashtra recorded PDI in the range of 11.43 to 13.33 which was comparatively least than others Somu *et al.* (2013) and Totagi *et al.* (2014a).

Among AAB group of cultivars, the PDI ranged from 8.35 to 21.53 cv. ALPAN recorded least (8.35) PDI, and was grouped under susceptible. Another two cultivars from ABB group recorded PDI below 18 with disease score of 3.0. The cv. NENDRAN recorded 21.53 PDI and grouped as susceptible cultivars. Only one seedless diploid cultivar cv. SAFED VELCHI recorded 20.45 PDI with disease score of 4.0 and also grouped as susceptible cultivars (Totagi *et al.*, 2014b).

Table 1 also indicated that in 2009-10 study the PDI was in the range of 9.62 to 40.25 and disease score of 3 to 5.0. Among the cultivars from AAA group, cv. MAHALAXMI recorded 38.52 PDI with disease score of 4.0 and grouped as susceptible, and the cv. SHRIMANTI exhibited least PDI 22.55 with score of 4.0. The rest of cultivars from AAA group recorded PDI in the range of 23.8 to 29.30 and with susceptible reaction to the sigatoka leaf spot (Mondal *et al.*, 2012).

| Table 1 : Per cent disease intensity of sigatoka leaf spot on banana cultivars | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------|---------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Sr. No. Cultivars | Genome group - | PI | | Disease score | Disease reaction | |
| | | 5 8F | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | | |
| 1. | Grand Naine | AAA | 28.43 | 25.45 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 2. | Shrimanti | AAA | 11.43 | 22.55 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 3. | Harsal | AAA | 24.64 | 26.00 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 4. | Ardhapuri | AAA | 25.34 | 29.30 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 5. | Ambiamohor | AAA | 16.36 | 28.79 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 6. | Mahalaxmi | AAA | 31.33 | 38.52 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 7. | Red banana | AAA | 16.30 | 25.81 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 8. | Basrai | AAA | 12.84 | 23.80 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 9. | Hanuman | AAA | 13.33 | 27.63 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 10. | Safed velchi | AB | 20.45 | 20.33 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 11. | Nendran | AAB | 21.53 | 26.40 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 12. | Lalkel | AAB | 15.17 | 28.32 | 3.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 13. | Mutheli | AAB | 13.93 | 20.56 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 14. | Rajeli | AAB | 18.33 | 24.50 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 15. | Alpan | AAB | 08.35 | 20.14 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 16. | NRCB-01 | ABB | 7.69 | 09.62 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 17. | NRCB-03 | ABB | 7.14 | 11.30 | 3.0 | Susceptible |

EVALUATION OF BANANA GERMPLASM AGAINST SIGATOKA LEAF SPOT DISEASE UNDER NATURAL CONDITIONS

| Sr. No. | Per cent disease intensity of si Cultivars | | PDI | Disease score | Disease reaction |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | Genome group | | | |
| 1. | Basrai | AAA | 23.8 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 2. | Shrimanti | AAA | 22.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 3. | Ambia mohar | AAA | 28.79 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 4. | Harsaal | AAA | 26.00 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 5. | Hanumaan | AAA | 27.63 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 5. | Red Banana | AAA | 25.81 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 7. | Ardhapuri | AAA | 29.30 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 8. | Manoranjitham | AAA | 26.53 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 9. | Straight Finger | AAA | 26.33 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 10. | Gandevi selection | AAA | 28.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 11. | Grand Naine | AAA | 25.45 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 12. | Mahalaxmi | AAA | 38.52 | 5.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 13. | Mutheli | AAB | 20.56 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 14. | Rajeli | AAB | 24.15 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 15. | Alpan | AAB | 20.14 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 16. | Nendran | AAB | 26.40 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 17. | Karpurachakkerakeli | AAB | 33.70 | 5.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 18. | Lalkel | AAB | 28.32 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 19. | Rasthali | AAB | 27.40 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 20. | Jawari Bale | AAB | 29.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 21. | Ladies Finger | AAB | 26.35 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 22. | Mas | AAB | 40.25 | 5.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 23. | Sarkar Chonya | AAB | 35.60 | 5.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 23. 24. | Hybrid Sawani | AAB | 31.20 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 2 4 . 25. | Sughandhi | AAB | 20.54 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 2 <i>5</i> . 26. | Chera Padathi | AAB | 26.75 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 20. 27. | | AAB | 17.20 | 4.0 | - |
| 27. 28. | Champa | | | | Susceptible |
| | Vannan | AAB | 31.33 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 29. | NRCB-01 | ABB | 09.62 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 30. | NRCB-03 | ABB | 11.30 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 31. | Ankur-II | ABB | 18.43 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 32. | Bangrier | ABB | 20.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 33. | SABA | ABB | 15.66 | 3.0 | Susceptible |
| 34. | Udhayam | ABB | 19.25 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 35. | Bankel | ABB | 23.05 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 36. | Agnimalbhog | ABB | 21.17 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 37. | Sahalil Kela | ABB | 25.28 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 38. | Bhurkel | ABB | 24.15 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 39. | Jamulapalem | ABB | 18.25 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 40. | Boddida Bukkissa | ABB | 21.30 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 41. | Pey Kunnan | ABB | 22.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 42. | Chirapunji | ABB | 19.20 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 43. | Kallu Monthan | ABB | 18.85 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 44. | Kait Sheijing | ABB | 24.65 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 45. | Singalaji | ABB | 22.33 | 4.0 | Susceptible |

Table 2 : Contd...

| S.R. PARDESHI | N.B. | SHAIKH | AND J.S. | CHAURE |
|---------------|------|--------|----------|--------|
|---------------|------|--------|----------|--------|

| Table | 2 : Contd | | | | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-------|-----|--------------------|
| 46. | Goukar | ABB | 18.25 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 47. | Anaikomban | AA | 26.25 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 48. | Pisang lilin | AA | 29.70 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 49. | Matti | AA | 23.55 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 50. | Cv. rose | AA | 27.43 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 51. | Calcutta-04 | AA | 10.63 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 52. | Namarai | AA | 25.15 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 53. | Sanna chenkadali | AA | 35.20 | 5.0 | Highly susceptible |
| 54. | Kunnan | AB | 20.35 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 55. | Ney poovan | AB | 22.72 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 56. | Ney poovan | AB | 24.35 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 57. | Nattu poovan | AB | 26.36 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 58. | Poovila chundan | AB | 30.35 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 59. | Safed velchi | AB | 20.33 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 60. | Valia kunnan | AB | 27.85 | 4.0 | Susceptible |
| 61. | Adakka kunnan | AB | 25.38 | 4.0 | Susceptible |

All the the cultivars from AAB group recorded PDI more than 20 and with susceptible disease reaction. cv. LALKEL recorded highest PDI of 28.32 with disease score of 3.0. The rest of cultivars were grouprd as susceptible cultivars with PDI in the range of 20.14 to 26.40. The result obtained in two experiments are in agreement with the results obtained by Babylatha *et al.* (1990) and Daniells and Bryde (1999). Table 2 indicates the per cent disease intensity (PDI) and disease reaction of 61 cultiors of banana against sigatoka leaf spot disease.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (1993). Research Report, Group Discussion of AICRP on Tropical Fruits, Kerala Agricultural University, Kannara, 98-99pp.

Babylatha, A.K., Amma, S.P. and Pushakaran, K. (1990). Field tolerance of banana cultivars to leaf spot disease and rhizome weevil. *South Indian Hort.*, **38** (2) : 102 - 107.

Daniells, J. and Bryde, N. (1999). Screening of 143 banana varieties for resistance to yellow sigatoka in North Queensland. Informusa, **8**: 15-21.

Gauhl, F., Pasberg-Gauhl, C., Vuylsteke, D. and Ortiz, R. (1993). Multilocational evaluation of black sigatoka resistance. In: banana and plantain. IITA Research Guide, No. 47. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. 59pp.

Mondal, B., Ray, S.K., Misra, D.K. and Khatua, D.C. (2012). Bacterial wilt of banana in West Bengal, India. *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, 5(2): 227-231.

Somu, R., Thammaiah, N., Kulkarni, M.S., Swamy, G.S.K. and Devappa, V. (2013). Roving survey on panama disease (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cubense) in banana growing areas of Karnataka. *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, **6**(1): 56-58.

Stover, R.H. and Simmonds, N.W. (1987). Bananas, 3rd Ed., Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, ESSEX (UNITED KINGDOM). 468pp.

Totagi, Vijayalaxmi S., Rajput, Reena, Raghu, S. and Jahagirdar, Shamarao (2014a). An *in vitro* evaluations of chemicals on the growth of *Erwinia caratovora* subsp. *carotovora* causing tip-over disease of banana. *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, 7(1): 248-249.

Totagi, Vijayalaxmi, S., Rajput, Reena, Roopa, R.S. and Jahagirdar, Shamarao (2014b). Studies on host-range of tip-over disease of banana caused by *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora. Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, **7**(1): 270-271.

Vala, D.G. (1996). Fungal and bacterial diseases of banana Ed. Singh, S.J., In: *Advances in diseases of fruit crops in India*. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, 37-46pp.