# FOOD SCIENCE

e ISSN-2230-9403 ■ Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in Volume 8 | Issue 2 | October, 2017 | 310-318 DOI : 10.15740/HAS/FSRJ/8.2/310-318

# Development and nutritional evaluation of pumpkin seed (*Cucurbita moschata*) supplemented products

MANPREET KAUR AND SONIKA SHARMA

Pumpkin seeds are nutritionally dense food but commonly discarded as waste. Keeping in view the economic and nutritional benefits of pumpkin seeds, the products supplemented with them can be incorporated in daily diet to enhance the nutritional status of people. Due to the high prevalence of malnutrition and iron deficiency anaemia in children and women, the present study was aimed at the development and nutritional evaluation of food products supplemented with pumpkin seeds. Food products namely *Laddoo, Panjeeri* and *Mathi* were developed using standardized recipes with different levels of pumpkin seed flour in raw and roasted form. These products were organoleptically evaluated by a trained panel of 12 judges using nine point hedonic rating scale. Nutritional evaluation was also carried out to draw comparison between the developed products and its control (0% supplementation) counterpart. Results revealed that 30% supplementation of pumpkin seed flour (raw and roasted) in all the products is most acceptable. The supplemented products were found to have higher protein (8.97 to 12.07%), fat (31.55 to 45.56%), fibre (2.04 to 3.21%), ash (1.69 to 2.55%), iron (2.50 to 3.29mg/100g) and zinc (1.45 to 2.08mg/100g) content as compared to the control (0% supplementation). Moreover addition of pumpkin seed flour resulted in significant increase in the total carotenoid content (0.090 to 0.370meq/kg) and antioxidant activity (59.10 to 74.20%) of the supplemented products.

Key Words: Malnutrition, Pumpkin seed, Supplemented products, Organoleptic evaluation, Nutritional analysis.

How to cite this article : Kaur, Manpreet and Sharma, Sonika (2017). Development and nutritional evaluation of pumpkin seed (*Cucurbita moschata*) supplemented products. *Food Sci. Res. J.*, **8**(2): 310-318, **DOI : 10.15740/HAS/FSRJ/8.2/310-318**.

# INTRODUCTION

A healthy and well-nourished person depends on healthy food system. Today, malnutrition imposes high cost on the society. Malnutrition comes in many forms and under- nutrition is most prevalent form of malnutrition in developing countries. One out of five children are stunted and around 165 million children in the world are malnourished (FAO, 2013). Moreover, about 2 billion

Author for correspondence :

**SONIKA SHARMA**, Department of Food and Nutrition, Punjab Agricultural University, LUDHIANA (PUNJAB) INDIA Email : drsonika@pau.edu

Associate Authors' :

MANPREET KAUR, Department of Food and Nutrition, Punjab Agricultural University, LUDHIANA (PUNJAB) INDIA Email : manatwalpau@gmail.com people in the world lack vitamins and minerals which are essential for healthy life (FAO/WHO, 2004). Half of the humankind is affected by the deficiency of trace elements (WHO/EMRO, 2009).

In developing countries, availability of animal origin protein is inadequate to meet the daily requirements of protein of the rapidly rising population. Therefore, it is necessary to gear up the present-day research efforts to study the properties of food and potential of utilization of protein and other required nutrients from the local food crops which are not utilized efficiently (Enujiugha and Ayodele-Oni, 2003). Besides cereals and pulses, which form important sources of protein in the diets of majority of population in the developing countries, oilseeds and oilseed meals represent as the most important source of proteins which have not been fully exploited so far for supplementing human diet. The problem of providing low cost balanced protein supplements utilizing oilseeds and oilseed meals for combating malnutrition in underdeveloped countries has been engaging the attention of scientists in several countries. Oilseeds add a significant nutritional value to the diet due to their high quality protein (Sarwar, 2013).Significant consideration has been given to enrich wheat flour products with high protein oilseed flour (Hoover, 1979).

Pumpkin seeds, also known as pepitas which are small, flat, green, edible seeds. These seeds are the most important part of pumpkin but are mostly discarded as waste. Pumpkin seeds are loaded with nutrients and medicinal properties. Pumpkin seeds are rich natural source of protein (25 to 37%) and oil (37 to 45%) and are renowned as valuable oil seeds loaded with protein for human consumption (Milovanoic and Vucelic-Radovic, 2008). Edible oil extracted from pumpkin seeds has been highly acceptable and considered very healthy (Bastic et al., 1977). Pumpkin seeds and pumpkin seed oil is loaded with unsaturated fatty acids especially omega 3 fatty acids (Murkovic et al., 1996). These seeds are also rich in phytosterols (Phillips et al., 2005 and Ryan et al., 2007), polyunsaturated fatty acids (Applequist et al., 2006 and Sabudak, 2007), antioxidant vitamins such as carotenoids and tocopherol (Stevenson et al., 2007), trace elements such as zinc (Glew et al., 2006), iron and magnesium (Lim, 2012). Pumpkin seeds are also known for pharmacological activities like anti-fungal (Wang and Ng, 2003), anti-diabetic (Quanhong et al., 2003), anti-bacterial and anti-inflammation activities (Caili et al., 2006) and anti-oxidant effects (Nkosi et al., 2006). Moreover, pumpkin seeds are loaded with amino acids like tryptophan, lysine, methionine, tyrosine and also rich in iron, therefore these seeds are beneficial to adolescents to cure anaemia caused due to iron deficiency (El Adawy and Taha, 2001 and Patel, 2013). A pleasing green colour and nutty taste of pumpkin seed flour makes it feasible to use them to develop new food products with nutrient adequacy and thus a valuable supplement to food products to overcome malnutrition among children in India.

Considering, the nutritional deficiencies and health problems among people in India, the current study is designed to develop food products with incorporation of pumpkin seeds for nutritional enhancement and to evaluate the chemical composition and sensory parameters of supplemented food products.

#### **Objectives** :

To develop and organoleptically evaluate pumpkin seed flour supplemented products.

- To study the nutritional composition of supplemented products.

# METHODOLOGY

# Procurement of pumpkin seeds and preparation of flour :

Pumpkin seeds (Punjab Samrat) were procured from the Department of Vegetable Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.

Raw flour:	Roasted flour:
Selection of Pumpkin seeds	Selection of pumpkin seeds
$\downarrow$	Ĩ↓ – Î
Cleaning of Pumpkin seeds	Cleaning of pumpkin seeds
-	- <sup>-</sup> ↓ <sup>-</sup>
Sun drying	Sun drying
$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$
Powdered	Roasting for 15-20 mins at 75 °C
$\downarrow$	- ↓
Flour	Powdered
Fig. A : Preparation of flou	ır

# **Development and organoleptic evaluation of products :**

Products namely Laddoo, Panjeeri, Mathi were prepared in the Food Laboratory of Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Home Science, PAU. These products were prepared using standardized recipe with the supplementation of raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour at different levels ranging from 15-45% (Table A). For each recipe, one control and six experimental samples were prepared. The developed products were organoleptically evaluated by a trained panel of 10 judges. The judges were served each preparation with one control and six experimental samples in a food laboratory. The samples were coded to avoid any biased judgement. Each product was tested and mean scores were calculated. Judges were asked to score the samples for appearance, colour, texture, flavour, taste and overall acceptability using a score card of 9-point Hedonic Rating Scale (Larmond, 1970).

### Chemical analysis :

After the development and organoleptic evaluation

DEVELOPMENT & NUTRITIONAL	EVALUATION	OF PUMPKIN SH	EED SUPPLEMENTED	PRODUCTS
---------------------------	------------	---------------	------------------	----------

Product	Ingredients used		Method
	Control	Supplemented products	-
Laddoo	Bengal gram flour (100g) Ghee (50g) Powdered sugar (50g)	Bengal gram flour (85/70/55g) Raw/Roasted pumpkin seed flour (15/30/45g) Ghee (50g) Powdered sugar (50g)	<ul><li>Heat ghee in a kadahi, add bengal gram flour and roast on low heat till light brown color.</li><li>Allow the mixture to cool slightly, add pumpkin seed flour, sugar and mix well.</li><li>Roll into even sized <i>Laddoo</i> and serve.</li></ul>
Panjeeri	Whole wheat flour (100g) Ghee (50g) Powdered sugar (35g)	Whole wheat flour (85/70/55g) Raw/Roasted pumpkin seed flour (15/30/45g) Ghee (50g) Powdered sugar (50g)	Take kadahi and heat ghee in it. Put wheat flour in it and roast it. Remove flour from flame and add pumpkin seed flour and sugar. Mix it well and serve.
Mathi	Refined wheat flour (100g) Ghee (20g) Carom seeds (1g) Salt (1g) Refined oil (50g)	Refined wheat flour (85/70/55g) Raw/Roasted pumpkin seed flour (15/30/45g) Ghee (20g) Carom seeds (1g) Salt (1g) Refined oil (50g)	<ul> <li>Add pumpkin seed flour, salt and carom seeds to the refined wheat flour.</li> <li>Add fat to the flour as shortening and mix thoroughly.</li> <li>Knead it into a stiff dough.</li> <li>Divide the dough into small balls and roll the balls into shape of <i>Mathi</i>.</li> <li>Prick the rolled <i>Mathi</i> with knife so that it remains flat even after frying.</li> <li>Deep fry the <i>Mathi</i> till golden brown colour.</li> </ul>

of products, the highest acceptable products along with its corresponding control (0% supplementation)were weighed, homogenized and oven dried at 60° C. Estimation of proximate composition *i.e.* moisture, protein, fat, fibre, ash, carbohydrate, energy and estimation of minerals *i.e.* iron, zinc was done by using AOAC (2000) standardized methods. Estimation of total carotenoid content was done by following the method of Ranganna (2002),antioxidant activity was done by using DPPH method given by Liang (2008) and peroxide value by using standard method of AOAC (2000).

#### Statistical analysis :

The data was analyzed with the help of various statistical tools such as mean and standard error. To test the significant difference between the control and experimental samples analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied using SPSS 16 software.

# **OBSERVATIONS AND ASSESSMENT**

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

#### Organoleptic evaluation of the developed products:

All the developed products *i.e. Laddoo, Panjeeri* and *Mathi* with the supplementation of pumpkin seed flour (raw and roasted) were found to be highly acceptable at 30% level. All the products were liked very much with

an overall acceptability mean scores for *Laddoo* (raw-7.68 and roasted-7.62), *Panjeeri* (raw-7.82 and roasted-7.66) and *Mathi* (raw-7.50 and roasted-7.62). Organoleptic scores of *Laddoo*, *Panjeeri* and *Mathi* a represented in Table 1 to 3. The products supplemented with raw pumpkin seed flour gained maximum acceptability scores as compared to the products supplemented with roasted pumpkin seed flour except *Mathi*. Control sample of all products obtained lower acceptability scores than the test samples due to the improved appearance, colour, nutty flavour and taste of the supplemented products.

#### Proximate composition of developed products :

Proximate composition of all three products is presented in Table 4.

#### Laddoo:

The moisture content of *Laddoo* was 2.82 per cent for control whereas 2.28 and 1.96 per cent for  $T_2$ treatment (30% raw pumpkin seed flour) and  $T_5$  treatment (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour) which were significantly different. The protein content of both acceptable sample  $T_2$  and  $T_5$  *i.e.* 11.72 and 12.07% was significantly higher than the control sample *i.e.* 9.97%. The fat content of control sample was 27.45% which was significantly lower than the both test samples. There was a significant increase in the fibre content of  $T_2$ (3.21%) and  $T_5$  (3.18%) treatments than the control (1.69%). The ash content of both the treatments,  $T_2$  (2.46%) and  $T_5$  (2.33%) was higher than the control sample (1.41%). The carbohydrate content of control was significantly higher *i.e.* 56.46 g than  $T_5$  treatment (51.94 g) followed by  $T_2$  treatment (51.22 g). Energy content was found to be highest in  $T_5$  treatment *i.e.* 547.64 Kcal followed by  $T_2$  treatment (535.71 Kcal) and control sample (514.57 Kcal). Thus with the supplementation of pumpkin

seed flour (raw and roasted), there was a significant increase in the nutritional composition of *Laddoo* as compared to the *Laddoo* made from bengal gram flour. There was a significant increase in protein, fat, fibre, ash and energy content.Srivastava and Verma (2014) analyzed the proximate composition of *Laddoo* supplemented with 35% sunflower seeds flour and they found the energy 683.35 Kcal, protein 14.79 g, fat 40 gm and carbohydrate

Table 1 : Organoleptic scores for	Laddoo supplemented with raw an	d roasted pumpkin seed flour

Levels	Appearance	Colour	Texture	Flavour	Taste	Overall acceptability
С	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.3 <sup>b</sup> ±0.15	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.44 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12
$T_1$	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.6^{ab} \pm 0.16$	7.6 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	$7.54^{a}\pm0.10$
$T_2^*$	7.6 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.7 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.4^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.9^{a}\pm0.10$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.68^{a}\pm0.03$
T <sub>3</sub>	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	7.7 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.15	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.52^{a}\pm0.07$
$T_4$	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.5 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.17	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.46^{ab}\pm0.06$
${T_5}^*$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.4^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	$7.62^{a}\pm0.09$
T <sub>6</sub>	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	7.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	7.1 <sup>b</sup> ±0.10	7.2ª±0.13	7.3ª±0.15	7.26 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06

Means±SD with different notation (a, b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance.

C- Control (0% supplementation),

Raw pumpkin seed flour (T1- 15%, T2- 30% and T3- 45% )

Roasted pumpkin seed flour (T<sub>4</sub>- 15%, T<sub>5</sub>- 30% and T<sub>6</sub>- 45% )

\*most acceptable level of supplementation (30% supplementation) when compared to control sample.

#### Table 2 : Organoleptic scores for Panjeeri supplemented with raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour

	0					
Levels	Appearance	Colour	Texture	Flavour	Taste	Overall acceptability
С	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	$7.6^{ab} \pm 0.16$	7.7 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.58^{ab} \pm 0.07$
$T_1$	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	$7.6^{ab} \pm 0.16$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.7 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.54^{ab} \pm 0.07$
${T_2}^{\ast}$	$7.7^{a}\pm0.15$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.9^{a}\pm0.10$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.9^{a}\pm0.10$	$7.82^{a}\pm0.07$
$T_3$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.4 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.3 <sup>b</sup> ±0.15	$7.0^{b}\pm0.15$	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	7.36 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09
$T_4$	7.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	6.9 <sup>b</sup> ±0.18	7.2 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13	7.5 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.17	7.7 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	7.30 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09
${T_5}^*$	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.9^{a}\pm0.10$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.66^{a}\pm0.09$
T <sub>6</sub>	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	7.1 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.10	7.4 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.16	7.2 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13	7.6 <sup>a</sup> ±0.16	7.32 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06

Means±SD with different notation (a,b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance.

C- Control (0% supplementation)

Raw pumpkin seed flour( T1- 15%, T2- 30% and T3- 45% )

Roasted pumpkin seed flour (T<sub>4</sub>- 15%, T<sub>5</sub>- 30% and T<sub>6</sub>- 45% )

\*most acceptable level of supplementation (30% supplementation) when compared to control sample

#### Table 3 : Organoleptic scores for Mathi supplemented with raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour

Levels	Appearance	Colour	Texture	Flavour	Taste	Overall acceptability
С	$7.1^{ab}{\pm}0.18$	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	7.2ª±0.20	$7.2^{a}\pm0.20$	$7.5^{ab}\pm0.17$	7.26 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15
$T_1$	$6.9^{ab} \pm 0.18$	$6.9^{a}\pm0.18$	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.34^{a}\pm0.14$
$T_2$	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.4^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	$7.5^{ab}\pm0.17$	$7.50^{a}\pm0.11$
T <sub>3</sub>	6.8 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13	$7.4^{a}\pm0.16$	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	7.2 <sup>b</sup> ±0.13	$7.24^{a}\pm0.10$
$T_4$	7.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	7.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.7 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.44^{a}\pm0.10$
T <sub>5</sub>	7.5 <sup>a</sup> ±0.17	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	$7.9^{a}\pm0.10$	$7.62^{a}\pm0.11$
T <sub>6</sub>	7.3 <sup>a</sup> ±0.15	$7.6^{a}\pm0.16$	7.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	$7.5^{a}\pm0.17$	7.9 <sup>a</sup> ±0.10	7.46 <sup>a</sup> ±0.10

Means  $\pm$  SD with different notation (a, b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance.

C- Control (0% supplementation)

Raw pumpkin seed flour (T<sub>1</sub>- 15%, T<sub>2</sub>- 30% and T<sub>3</sub>- 45% )

Roasted pumpkin seed flour(T4- 15% T5- 30% and T6- 45% )

\*most acceptable level of supplementation (30% supplementation) when compared to control sample

91.13 g which were higher than the control *Laddoo* with energy 680.20 Kcal, protein 12.10 g, fat 21.70 except carbohydrate 109.16 g.

### Panjeeri:

It was observed that the moisture content of control Panjeeri (whole wheat flour) was 2.76% which was higher than the  $T_2$  treatment (30% raw pumpkin seed flour) *i.e.* 2.62% followed by T<sub>5</sub> treatment (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour) with 2.12% moisture. With the supplementation of pumpkin seed flour, there was a significant increase in protein content as the protein content was found to be significantly higher in T<sub>5</sub> treatment with 9.11% than  $T_2$  treatment and control *i.e.* 8.97% and 6.05%. Fat content of both the treatments T<sub>2</sub> and  $T_5$  (31.57 and 31.88%) was significantly higher than the control sample (26.82%). Fibre content of  $T_2$  (3.16%) and  $T_5$  (3.15%) treatments was almost same but significantly higher than the control sample (1.55%). There was a significant difference between the ash content of control,  $T_2$  treatment and  $T_5$  treatment *i.e.* 1.46, 2.55 and 2.41%. Carbohydrate content of control sample was 61.36 g which was significantly higher than the  $T_5$  treatment *i.e.* 51.33 g followed by T<sub>2</sub> treatment with 51.13 g. Energy content was found to be maximum in  $T_5$  treatment *i.e.* 

 Table 4 : Proximate composition of pumpkin seed supplemented products

528.68 Kcal than the T<sub>2</sub> treatment and control sample *i.e.* 524.53 and 511.02 Kcal. Thus it was concluded that with the supplementation of pumpkin seed flour whether in raw or roasted form, there was a significant increase in the nutritional composition of *Panjeeri* as compared to the control Panjeeri made from whole wheat flour only. Bansal (2013) evaluated the panjeeri supplemented with 50% partially defatted peanut flour and found the moisture, protein, fat, fibre and ash as 1.45%, 31.00%, 25.50%, 6.00% and 3.80% which were almost higher as compared to the control panjeeri except fat i.e. 0.37% moisture, 9.27% protein, 37.45% fat, 1.50% fibre and 3.80% ash. Kaur and Kochhar (2014) analyzed nutritional composition of the control Panjeeri (wheat flour only) and panjeeri supplemented with 40% potato flour. Results showed the significant differences in the moisture, protein, fat, fibre, ash content of control and supplemented panjeeri *i.e.* 0.65, 6.60, 25.70, 1.30, 0.67% and 1.35, 7.40, 23.42, 2.40, 0.95%, respectively.

### Mathi :

The results revealed that the moisture content of control sample (refined wheat flour) was 2.02% which was significantly higher than both  $T_2$  (30% raw pumpkin seed flour) and  $T_5$  (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour)

Treatments	Moisture (%)	Protein(%)	Fat (%)	Fibre (%)	Ash (%)	CHO (g)	Energy(Kcal)
Laddoo							
Control	$2.82^{a}\pm0.006$	$09.97^{\circ} \pm 0.006$	$27.65^{\circ} \pm 0.006$	1.69 <sup>c</sup> ±0.006	$1.41^{c}\pm0.006$	56.46	514.57
Accepted (Raw)	$2.28^{b} \pm 0.006$	$11.72^{b}\pm0.006$	$31.55^{b}\pm0.006$	3.21ª±0.006	$2.46^{a}\pm0.006$	51.22	535.71
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation							
Accepted (Roasted)	$1.96^{\circ}\pm0.006$	$12.07^{a}\pm0.006$	$32.40^{a}\pm0.006$	$3.18^{b}\pm0.006$	2.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006	51.94	547.64
T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation							
Panjeeri							
Control	$2.76^{a}\pm0.006$	$6.05^{\circ}\pm0.006$	$26.82^{c}\pm0.006$	$1.55^{b}\pm0.006$	$1.46^{c}\pm0.006$	61.36	511.02
Accepted (Raw)	2.62 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006	$8.97^{b} \pm 0.006$	$31.57^{b} \pm 0.006$	$3.16^{a}\pm0.006$	$2.55^{a}\pm0.006$	51.13	524.53
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation							
Accepted (Roasted)	$2.12^{\circ}\pm0.006$	9.11 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006	$31.88^{a} \pm 0.006$	$3.15^{a}\pm0.006$	$2.41^{b} \pm 0.006$	51.33	528.68
T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation							
Mathi							
Control	2.02 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006	$6.22^{c}\pm0.006$	40.31°±0.006	$0.22^{c}\pm0.006$	$0.38^{c}\pm0.006$	50.85	591.07
Accepted (Raw)	$1.89^{b} \pm 0.006$	$9.09^{b}\pm0.006$	$45.52^{b}\pm0.006$	$2.08^{a}\pm0.006$	1.93 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006	39.49	604.00
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation							
Accepted (Roasted)	1.52°±0.006	9.67 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006	$45.56^{a}\pm0.006$	$2.04^{b}\pm0.006$	$1.69^{b} \pm 0.006$	39.52	606.80
$T_5$ -30% supplementation	~~						

Values are expressed as Mean±SE

Means with different notation (a, b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance

treatments i.e. 1.89 and 1.52%. Protein content was also significantly higher in  $T_5$  treatment (9.67%) and  $T_2$ treatment (9.09%) than the control sample (6.22%). There was a significant increase in the fat content of both  $T_2$  and  $T_5$  treatments *i.e.* 45.52 and 45.56% from the control sample whose fat content was 40.31%. Fibre content of  $T_2$  treatment was 2.08% which was more than the T<sub>5</sub> treatment whereas control sample had significantly lower fibre content i.e. 0.22%. Ash content of control sample (0.38%) was significantly lower than the T<sub>2</sub> treatment (1.93%) followed by  $T_5$  treatment (1.69%). Carbohydrate content was observed more in control sample as 50.85 g than the  $T_2$  and  $T_5$  treatments *i.e.* 39.49 and 39.52 g. Energy content of  $T_5$  treatment was maximum as 606.80 Kcal followed by the T<sub>2</sub> treatment with 604.00 Kcal whereas control sample had least calories i.e. 591.07 Kcal. Thus it was observed that the supplementation of raw or roasted pumpkin seed flour in the Mathi increased the nutritional content as compared to the control Mathi made from refined wheat flour only. Kaur and Kochhar (2014) evaluated the nutritional value of mathi supplemented with 25% potato flour and found that it provides 3.93% moisture, 6.44% protein, 35.93% fat, 1.28% fibre and 1.35% ash which were higher than the control mathi except protein *i.e.* 3.43% moisture, 6.52% protein, 35.20% fat, 0.46% fibre and 1.27% ash. Dhanesh (2016) analyzed the proximate composition of control mathi and mathi supplemented with 10% defatted peanut flour and 1% fenugreek leaf powder. Results revealed that the moisture, protein, fat, fibre, ash, carbohydrates, energy content of control and

Table 5 : Mineral content of pumpkin seed supplemented products

supplemented mathi was 3.32 and 3.44%, 11.59 and 16.58%, 18.86 and 20.92%, 0.23 and 1.43%, 0.48 and 0.88%, 65.52 and 57.18%, 477.67 and 483.56 Kcal.

# Mineral content of pumpkin seed flour supplemented products :

Mineral *i.e.* iron and zinc content of all three products is presented in Table 5.

#### **Iron**:

Results revealed that the iron content of all the supplemented products was higher than their control samples. In Laddoo, it was found 3.09 mg in T<sub>2</sub> treatment (30% raw pumpkin seed flour), 2.93 mg in  $T_5$  treatment (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour) and 2.36 mg in control sample made from bengal gram flour. In Panjeeri, iron content of T<sub>2</sub> treatment *i.e.* 3.29 mg was higher than the T<sub>5</sub> treatment *i.e.* 3.11 mg followed by control sample made of whole wheat flour with 2.15 mg. Iron content of mathiwas again maximum in  $T_2$  treatment (2.71 mg) followed by  $T_5$  treatment (2.50 mg) whereas control had minimum content (1.38 mg) as it was made from refined wheat flour. Abd El-Ghany et al. (2010) analyzed the mineral content of pumpkin seeds and reported that the iron content of seeds as 9.76 mg/100g. Thus iron content of all the products significantly increased with the supplementation of raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour. Further it was observed that the maximum iron concentration was in the products supplemented with raw pumpkin seed flour at the level of 30% incorporation.

Treatments	Iron (mg/100g)	Zinc (mg/100g)
Laddoo		
Control	2.36 <sup>c</sup> ±0.006	1.18°±0.006
Accepted (Raw)T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation	$3.09^{a}\pm0.006$	$2.08^{a}\pm0.006$
Accepted (Roasted)T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation	2.93 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006	$2.04^{b}\pm0.006$
Panjeeri		
Control	2.15°±0.006	1.02 <sup>c</sup> ±0.006
Accepted (Raw)T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation	$3.29^{a}\pm0.006$	$1.95^{a}\pm0.006$
Accepted (Roasted)T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation	3.11 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006	$1.91^{b}\pm0.006$
Mathi		
Control	1.38°±0.006	0.32 <sup>c</sup> ±0.006
Accepted (Raw)T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation	2.71 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006	1.51 <sup>a</sup> ±0.006
Accepted (Roasted)T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation	$2.50^{b}\pm0.006$	1.45 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006

Values are expressed as Mean±SE

Means with different notation (a, b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance

# Zinc :

From the results, it was found that the zinc content of the products supplemented with raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour was as followed : *Laddoo* 2.08 and 2.04 mg, *Panjeeri* 1.95 and 1.91 mg, *Mathi* 1.51 and 1.45 mg. Abd El-Ghany *et al.* (2010) analyzed the mineral content of pumpkin seeds and reported that the zinc content of seeds as 7.99 mg/100g. Thus zinc content of all the products significantly increased with the supplementation of raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour as compared to the control with 0% supplementation. Further it was observed that the maximum zinc content was in the products supplemented with raw pumpkin seed flour at 30% level of incorporation followed by products supplemented with roasted pumpkin seed flour.

# Antioxidant compounds and peroxide value of pumpkin seed supplemented products :

Antioxidant compounds and peroxide value of all three products is presented in Table 6.

## Total carotenoid content :

From the results, it is observed that carotenoid content of all the products are significantly different. *Laddoo* was found to be the product with maximum TCC

among all the three products whereas *Mathi* contained minimum total carotenoid content. TCC of accepted products *i.e. Laddoo, Panjeeri* and *Mathi* supplemented with raw and roasted flour was 0.370 and 0.320 mg, 0.280 and 0.220 mg, 0.120 and 0.090 mg/100g. Control samples of each product was lower than the supplemented. Thus the total carotenoid content of all the products supplemented with pumpkin seed flour whether in raw or roasted form showed an increase as compared to the control sample of each product. Kim *et al.* (2012) found that the pumpkin seeds of *Cucurbita moschata* variety contained 7.15 mg/kg  $\beta$ -carotene.

## Total antioxidant activity :

In *Laddoo*, TAA of 30% raw pumpkin seed flour supplemented *Laddoo* was 74.20% which was quite higher than the 30% roasted pumpkin seed flour supplemented *Laddoo* and control *Laddoo* made of bengal gram flour *i.e.* 69.90% and 63.70%. In *Panjeeri*, total antioxidant activity of  $T_2$  treatment (30% raw pumpkin seed flour),  $T_5$  treatment (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour) and control (whole wheat flour) were 63.50%, 61.30% and 53.80%. TAA of  $T_2$  (30% raw pumpkin seed flour) and  $T_5$  (30% roasted pumpkin seed flour) *Mathi* was higher *i.e.* 61.30% and 59.10% than the control

 Table 6 : Antioxidant compounds and peroxide value in pumpkin seed supplemented products

Treatments	Total carotenoid content (mg/100g)	Total antioxidant activity (%)	Peroxide value (meq/kg)
Laddoo			
Control	$0.200^{c} \pm 0.0006$	$63.70^{\circ} \pm 0.006$	4.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06
Accepted (Raw)	$0.370^{a}\pm0.0006$	$74.20^{a}\pm0.006$	4.0 <sup>a</sup> ±0.58
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation			
Accepted (Roasted)	$0.320^{b} \pm 0.0006$	$69.90^{b} \pm 0.006$	3.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06
T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation			
Panjeeri			
Control	$0.090^{\circ} \pm 0.0006$	53.80°±0.006	2.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06
Accepted (Raw)	$0.280^{a} \pm 0.0006$	$63.50^{a}\pm0.006$	2.5 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation			
Accepted (Roasted)	$0.220^{b} \pm 0.0006$	$61.30^{b}\pm0.006$	2.1°±0.06
T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation			
Mathi			
Control	$0.003^{c} \pm 0.0006$	$54.80^{\circ}\pm0.006$	8.9 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06
Accepted (Raw)	$0.120^{a}\pm0.0006$	$61.30^{a}\pm0.006$	$6.7^{b}\pm0.06$
T <sub>2</sub> -30% supplementation			
Accepted (Roasted)	$0.090^{b} \pm 0.0006$	59.10 <sup>b</sup> ±0.006	6.3°±0.06
T <sub>5</sub> -30% supplementation	-,,		

Values are expressed as Mean±SE

Means with different notation (a, b and c) indicates significant difference at 5% level of significance.

sample (refined wheat flour) *i.e.* 54.80%. Thus total antioxidant activity was found higher in the products supplemented with raw pumpkin seed flour followed by the products supplemented with roasted pumpkin seed flour whereas control sample of all the products had comparatively less TAA. Nyam *et al.* (2013) found that DPPH radical scavenging activity of pumpkin seeds is 36.97%. They also prepared bread supplemented with 5% pumpkin seeds. Results showed a 37.99% increase in DPPH radical scavenging activity in pumpkin seed bread as compared to control bread.

## Peroxide value :

Peroxide value raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour supplemented products i.e. Laddoo, Panjeeri, Mathi was 4.0 and 4.2 meq/kg, 2.5 and 2.1 meq/kg, 6.7 and 6.3 meq/kg, respectively. Control samples of all the products had significantly higher peroxide value than the treatment samples *i.e.* products supplemented with raw and roasted pumpkin seed flour at different levels of incorporation which implies that the supplementation of pumpkin seed flour whether in raw or roasted form reduces the chances of rancidity and increase the shelf-life of products. Srbinoska et al. (2012) studied the peroxide value of pumpkin seed whole and pumpkin seed kernel of two different varieties. Results showed that the peroxide value of whole seed of Cucurbita maxima was 4.93 meq/kg extract whereas in Cucurbita pepo, it was 6.06 meq/kg extract for whole seed.

# **Conclusion :**

Malnutrition and anemia imposes high cost on society. From this study, it was found that upto 30% supplementation of raw or roasted pumpkin seed flour in food products (Laddoo, Panjeeri and Mathi) was acceptable. Products supplemented with pumpkin seed flour (raw or roasted) have significantly higher content of protein, fat, fibre, iron, zinc as compared to the control samples (0% supplementation). Total carotenoid content, antioxidant activity was also found higher in pumpkin seed supplemented products than the control sample. Peroxide value was found higher in control sample than the supplemented products. Thus, it can be concluded that the consumption of pumpkin seed flour supplemented products should be encouraged in routine diet so as to improve the nutritional status of the individuals. Value added products using raw or roasted pumpkin seed flour

can be supplemented to children and women to combat malnutrition and anaemia. These products can also become a part of the supplementary feeding programmes. Keeping in view the economic and nutritional benefits of pumpkin seeds, these products can be popularized among the communities and as for its nutritional value, it can be recommended to food industries to incorporate pumpkin seed flour in their products to improve the nutritional value.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Abd El-Ghany, M. A., Dalia A. Hafez and Soha M. Sameh El-Safty (2010). Biological study on the effect of pumpkin seeds and zinc on reproductive potential of male rats. Proc 5<sup>th</sup> Arab and 2<sup>nd</sup> International Annual Scientific Conf. pp. 2384-2404, Mansoura University, Egypt.
- AOAC (2000). Official methods of analysis, 17<sup>th</sup> edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Applequist, W.L., Avula, B., Schaneberg, B.T., Wang, Y.H. and Khan, I.A. (2006). Comparative fatty acid content of seeds of four cucurbita species grown in a common (shared) garden. J. Food Composition & Analysis, 19 : 606-611.
- Bansal, P. (2013). Development of peanut flour based value added products for malnourished children. M.Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab (India).
- Bastic, M., Bastic, L., Jovanovic, J. A. and Spiteller, G. (1977). Sterols in pumpkin seeds oils. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 54 : 525-27.
- Caili, F.U., Huan, S.H. and Quanhong, L.I. (2006). A review on pharmacological activities and Utilization technologies of pumpkin. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr.*, 61: 70-80.
- **Dhanesh, T.B. (2016).** Impact of supplementation of value added products using partially defatted peanut cake flour on the nutritional status of malnourished children. Ph.D. dissertation. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.
- El-Adawy, T.A. and Taha, K.M. (2001). Characteristics and composition of different seed oils and flours. *Food Chem.*, 74 : 47-54.
- Enujiugha, V.N. and Ayodele-Oni, O. (2003). Evaluation of nutrients and some anti-nutrients in lesser known, underutilized oilseeds. *Internat. J. Food Sci. Tech.*, 38 : 525-28.
- FAO (2013) *State of food insecurity in the world*. The multiple dimension of food security Rome. FAO. pp. 8-29.
- FAO/WHO (2004) Vitamin and mineral requirement in human nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Bangkok. FAO/WHO. pp. 341.

- Glew, R.H., Glew, R.S., Chuang, L.T., Huang, Y.S., Millson, M., Constans, D. and Vanderjagt, D.J. (2006). Amino acid, mineral and fatty acid content of pumpkin seeds (*Cucurbita* spp) and *Cyperusesculentus* nuts in the Republic of Niger. *Plant Foods Human Nutr.*, 61: 49-54.
- Hoover, W. (1979). Use of soy proteins in baked foods. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, **56** : 301.
- Kaur, A. and Kochhar, A. (2014). Sensory and nutritional evaluation of value added products using potato flour for nutritional and health benefits. *Internat. J. Med. Sci.*, 7:1-6.
- Kim, M.Y., Kim, E.J., Kim, Y.N., Choi, C. and Lee, B.H. (2012). Comparison of the chemical compositions and nutritive values of various pumpkin (*Cucurbitaceae*) species and parts. *Nutr. Res. Pract.*, 6 : 21-27.
- Larmond, E. (1970). Methods of sensory evaluation of food. *Can. Deptt. Agric. Pubs.*, 1284-90.
- Liang, Yu. (2008). *Wheat antioxidants*. A John Wiley and sons. Inc. Publications. pp. 120-149.
- Lim, T.K. (ed) (2012). Edible Medicinal and Non-Medicinal Plants. 67 : pp. 272-76. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg, New York.
- Milovanoic, M. M. and Vucelic-Radovic, B.V. (2008). Sources, nutritional and health value of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids. J. Agric. Sci., 53 : 203-213.
- Murkovic, M., Hillebrand, A., Winkler, J., Leitner, E. and Pfannhauser, W. (1996). Variability of fatty acid content in pumpkin seeds (*Cucurbita pepo L.*). *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 203 : 216-219.
- Nkosi, C.Z., Opoku, A.R. and Terblanche, S.E. (2006). Antioxidative effects of pumpkin seed (*Cucurbita pepo*) protein isolate in CCL4 induced liver injury in low protein fed rats. *Phytother Res.*, **20** : 935-940.
- Nyam, K.L., Lau, M. and Tan, C.P. (2013). Fibre from pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) seeds and rinds : Physico-chemical properties, antioxidant capacity and application as bakery product ingredients. *Mal. J. Nutr.*, **19** : 99-109.
- Patel, S. (2013). Pumpkin (*Cucurbita* sp) seeds as neutraceutic: A review on status quo and scopes. *Mediterr. J. Nutr.*

*Metab.*, **6**: 183-189.

- Phillips, K.M., Ruggio, D.M. and Ashraf-Khorassani, M. (2005). Phytosterol composition of nuts and seeds commonly consumed in united states. J. Agric. Food Chem., 53: 9436-9445.
- Quanhong, L., Ze, T. and Tongyi, C. (2003). Study on the hypoglycemic action of pumpkin extract in diabetic rats. *Acta Nutrimenta Sinica*, **25** : 34-36.
- Ranganna, S. (2002). Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw Hill. Pub. Co. Ltd. New Delhi (India).
- Ryan, E., Galvin, K., O'Connor, T. P., Maguire, A. R. and O'Brien, N. M. (2007). Phytosterol, squalene, tocopherol content and fatty acid profile of selected seeds, grains and legumes. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.*, 62: 85-91.
- Sabudak, T. (2007). Fatty acid composition of seed and leaf oils of pumpkin, walnut, almond, maize, sunflower and melon. *Chem. Natural Compounds*, 43: 465-467.
- Srbinoska, M., Hrabovski, N., Rafajlovska, V. and Sinadinovic-Fiser, S. (2012). Characterization of the seed and seed extracts of the pumpkins *Cucurbita maxima* D. and *Cucurbita pepo* L. from Macedonia. *Macedonian J. Chem. & Chem. Eng.*, 31: 65-78.
- Srivastava, A. and Verma, A. (2014). Nutritional composition of sunflower seeds flour and nutritive value of products prepared by incorporating sunflower seeds flour. *Internat. J. Pharm. Res. Allied Sci.*, 3: 45-49.
- Stevenson, D.G., Eller, F.J., Wang, L., Jane, J.L., Wang, T. and Inglett, G.E. (2007). Oil and tocopherol content and composition of pumpkin seed in 12 cultivars. J. Agric. Food Chem., 55 : 4005-4013.
- Wang, H.X. and Ng, T.B. (2003). Isolation of cucurmoschin: A novel antifungal peptide abundant in arginine, glutamate and glycine residues from black pumpkin seeds. *Peptides*, 24:969-972.

# WEBLIOGRAPHY

WHO/EMRO (2009).Regional data on non communicable diseases risk factors. (Retrieved from http://www.emro. who.int.ned).

Received : 01.07.2017; Revised: 21.08.2017; Accepted : 05.09.2017