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#### Abstract

Milk and milk products play an important role in human nutrition as they are having excellent nutritional qualities. Ice creams which are highly palatable, nutritious and commercially important dairy product can sometimes become a potential source of health hazard, by causing food poisoning outbreaks or by acting as a carrier of pathogens or by adulteration. The present study was attempted to evaluate the quality of ice creams. For this, samples were collected in triplicates in previously sterilized containers, from five prominent shops of Bikaner city. The average moisture, crude protein, fat, total ash, carbohydrate, energy, calcium and phosphorus content was ranged from $59.74-65.06 \mathrm{~g} \%, 3.06-4.46 \mathrm{~g} \%, 9.00-14.06$ $\mathrm{g} \%, 2.35-3.12 \mathrm{~g} \%, 18.24-21.04 \mathrm{~g} \%, 175-222 \mathrm{Kcal}, 589-757 \mathrm{mg} \%$, and $648-784 \mathrm{mg} \%$, respectively. Forty per cent, 20 per cent and 60 per cent ice cream samples were noted to be of satisfactory quality with respect to SPC, faecal; E.coli, coliform and ; psychrophilic count, respectively. Presence or absence of adulterants like starch and metanil yellow were also detected, but all the samples were found to be devoid of adulterants.
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## Introduction

Quality ice cream production has become an important activity of the dairy industry in India. Ice cream is a highly palatable, nutritious and commercially important dairy product. Because of the large population being vegetarian this product have a special place in the dietary pattern to supply high quality protein and other nutrients. Ice cream which is one of the most popular and nutritious dairy product can sometimes become a potential source of health hazard, by causing food poisoning outbreaks or by acting as a carrier of pathogens or by adulteration.

There are many causes for microbial contamination and adulteration of ice cream being mainly the poor quality of ingredients, improper processing or pasteurization, prolong aging of mix at low temperature, improper cleaning and sanitizing the equipments, improper handling and storage of the finished product (Yadav et al., 1993 and Pooran et al., 2012). With respect to the possibility of adulteration and microbial contamination, quality of ice cream remains in question. An attempt was therefore made in the present investigation to study the quality of ice cream with respect to nutritional, adulteration and bacteriological aspects.

## Methodology

Samples of ice cream (vanilla) were procured in triplicates and in previously sterilized containers from five different prominent shops of Bikaner city (Rajasthan). All the samples were immediately brought to laboratory under cold conditions and stored in refrigerator till used
for analysis
Analysis of the ice cream samples was carried out using standard methods for moisture, crude protein, total ash, fat, carbohydrate, energy (AOAC, 1995), calcium (Talpatra et al., 1940), and phosphorus (Gupta et al., 1988). Bacteriological qualities were assessed on the basis of standard plate count (APHA, 1960), staphylococcal count (Chapman, 1946), psychrophilic count (APHA, 1978), coli form count (APHA, 1960), E. coli count (APHA, 1960) and faecal streptococcal count (NCFA, 1968). The presence of adulterants, if any, like inedible colour (metanil yellow) and exogenous starch were analyzed by using the methods prescribed by AOAC (1995) and Plummer (1971), respectively.

## ObSERvations and Assessment

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads :

## Nutritional analysis :

The average moisture, crude protein, fat, total ash, carbohydrate, energy, calcium and phosphorus content of ice cream ranged from $59.74-65.06 \mathrm{~g}$ per cent, 3.064.46 g per cent, $9.00-14.06 \mathrm{~g}$ per cent, $2.35-3.12 \mathrm{~g}$ per cent, $18.24-21.04 \mathrm{~g}$ per cent, $175-222 \mathrm{Kcal}, 589-757 \mathrm{mg}$ per cent and 648-784 mg per cent, respectively (Table 1). Statistically significant difference ( $1 \%$ level) was found between the ice cream samples for all the above nutrient contents (Table 1). Values of these nutrient content were noted to be comparable with the standards, prescribed by PFA (2000). Based on the nutritional analysis one serving of ice cream ( 100 g or 1 small cup), was found to be providing 3.84 g protein, 11.48 g fat, 19.31 g carbohydrate, 196 Kcal energy, 644 mg calcium and 726 mg phosphorus, respectively.

## Bacteriological examination :

On the basis of ISI (1964) standard, only 40 per

Table 1 : Nutrient composition of ice cream samples

| Sample number | Moisture <br> $\mathrm{g} \%$ | Protein <br> $\mathrm{g} \%$ | Fat <br> $\mathrm{g} \%$ | Ash <br> $\mathrm{g} \%$ | Carbohydrate <br> $\mathrm{g} \%$ | Energy <br> KCal | Calcium <br> $\mathrm{mg} \%$ | Phosphorus <br> $\mathrm{mg} \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample I | 65.06 | 3.72 | 9.56 | 3.12 | 18.54 | 175 | 655 | 784 |
| Sample II | 61.99 | 4.46 | 11.25 | 3.03 | 19.27 | 196 | 757 | 756 |
| Sample III | 62.07 | 3.59 | 13.50 | 2.60 | 18.24 | 209 | 598 | 648 |
| Sample IV | 64.35 | 3.06 | 9.00 | 2.55 | 21.04 | 177 | 620 | 702 |
| Sample V | 59.74 | 4.38 | 14.06 | 2.35 | 19.47 | 222 | 589 | 741 |
| Standard error of mean (SEM) | 0.35 | 0.014 | 0.137 | 0.018 | 0.456 | 1686 | 1.48 |  |
| CD value | 1.09 | 0.045 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 1.09 | 5.31 | 4.67 | 2.78 |

CD : Critical difference

Table 2 : Bacteriological examination of ice cream samples

| Sample | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SPC} / \mathrm{g} \text { or } \\ \mathrm{ml} \end{gathered}$ | Quality | Stephylococcal Count / g or ml | Quality | Psychrophlic count/g or ml | Quality | Coliform Count/g or ml | Quality | E.Coli count/g or ml | Quality | Faecal <br> Streptococcus Count/g or ml | Quality | Overall bacterial quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard | $\begin{aligned} & <2.5 \times 10^{5} \\ & (\text { ISI,1964) } \end{aligned}$ | S | Zero | S | $<5 \times 10^{4}$ <br> (Mergyl,1984) | S | $\begin{gathered} <100 \\ (\text { ISI,1964 }) \end{gathered}$ | S | Zero | S | Zero | S | S |
| Sample I | $3.4 \times 10^{5}$ | US | $2.5 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $3 \times 10^{4}$ | S | $3.5 \times 10^{2}$ | US | $2.5 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $2.8 \times 10^{2}$ | US | US |
| Sample II | $7.5 \times 10^{5}$ | US | $3.4 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $5 \times 10^{4}$ | S | $1.6 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $3 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $1.6 \times 10^{2}$ | US | US |
| Sample III | $1.5 \times 10^{5}$ | S | $5.3 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $8.4 \times 10^{4}$ | US | 70 | S | 0 | S | 0 | S | US |
| Sample IV | $1.2 \times 10^{5}$ | S | $2.8 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $8 \times 10^{4}$ | US | $1.6 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $4 \times 10^{3}$ | US | 0 | S | US |
| Sample V | $6.8 \times 10^{5}$ | US | $2 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $1 \times 10^{4}$ | S | $9 \times 10^{2}$ | US | $2 \times 10^{3}$ | US | $1.8 \times 10^{2}$ | US | US |
| Percentage | 60\%US |  | 100\%US |  | 40\%US |  | 80\%US |  | 80\%US |  | 60\% US |  | 100\%US |
| of S/US | 40\%S |  |  |  | 60\%S |  | 20\%S |  | 20\%S |  | 40\%S |  |  |
| samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S : Satisfac US : Unsat | ory isfactory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 : Detection of presence/absence of adulterants in ice cream samples

| Sample number |  | Adulterants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sample I | Starch | Colour (Metanil Yellow) |
| Sample II | Absent | Absent |
| Sample III | Absent | Absent |
| Sample IV | Absent | Absent |
| Sample V | Absent | Absent |

cent of ice cream samples were found to be satisfactory with respect to SPC. Hundred per cent of ice cream samples were noted to be of unsatisfactory grade with respect to presence or absence of staphylococcal count. As per standard given by Mergyl (1984), 40 per cent of ice cream samples were of unsatisfactory quality having more than 50,000 psychrophilic organisms per ml . On the basis of ISI (1964) standard, 80 per cent of ice cream samples were found to be of unsatisfactory quality with respect to coliform count. In ice cream samples, presence of $E$. coli count was 80 per cent. Sixty per cent of ice cream samples were contaminated with faecal streptococci count (Table 2).

## Adulteration analysis :

Results of the adulterant analysis showed that none of the ice cream samples analyzed were adulterated with exogenous starch as well as with metanil yellow (Table 3). It is possible that shopkeepers have become aware of the fact that only standard marked food ingredients are to be used.

## Summary :

Overall quality of ice cream samples when adjudged on the basis of their nutritional, bacteriological and adulteration analysis, the ice cream was found to be nutritious as well as free from exogenous starch and metanil yellow. But on the basis of bacteriological examination all the ice cream samples under study, had high percentage of microbial count, indicating significance of sanitary methods used during processing, handling, storage and distribution of milk and milk products.
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