

Effect of pesticidal schedule to control the shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* in brinjal

■ D. PANDA*, S. SRICHANDAN AND K.K. BEHERA

Krishi Vigyan Kendra (O.U.A.T.), Larkipalli, BOLANGIR (ODISHA) INDIA

ARTICLE INFO

Received : 05.08.2015
Revised : 01.09.2015
Accepted : 17.09.2015

KEY WORDS :

Borer, Brinjal, B: C, Days after transplanting, Economics

ABSTRACT

To study the performance of various pesticidal schedules to evolve a suitable management strategy against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, the field experiment was carried out at The Central Research Station, OUAT, Bhubaneswar from January to June 2010. The findings of investigation revealed that the brinjal shoot and fruit borer caused highest fruit damage (80.22%) at 120 days after transplanting under unprotected condition. Under protected condition, the mean fruit infestation due to shoot and fruit borer varied from 46.44 to 53.15 per cent. Among all the treatment schedule, application of cartap hydrochloride, monocrotophos, carbaryl, azadirachtin, Bt formulation and triazophos at 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 days after transplanting at 15 days interval reduced the fruit infestation successfully and registered highest fruit yield and highest monetary value (Rs. 36,070/ha).

How to view point the article : Panda, D., Srichandan, S. and Behera, K.K. (2015). Effect of pesticidal schedule to control the shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* in brinjal. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, 8(2) : 347-351.

*Corresponding author:

Email: panda_debashis@yahoo.co.in

INTRODUCTION

Vegetables are rapidly becoming an important source of income for the rural population. Brinjal, *Solanum melongena* L, is one such typical vegetable and its commercial cultivation not only helps to improve human nutrition, but also increase income generation for the grower. This crop is considered cosmopolitan in nature. In the hot wet monsoon season when other vegetables are in short supply, brinjal is practically the only vegetable that is available at an affordable price for rural and urban poor. In India, brinjal is grown over 0.75 million ha with a production of 8.04 million tonnes with an average yield of 16.84 t/ha. As far as vegetable

production in global scenario is concerned, India retains the second position after China. The fruit is a good source of calcium, phosphorus, iron and vit. B. The roots are utilised in preparation of medicines. The seeds are utilised as stimulant to remove constipation. The brinjal is stated to stimulate the intrahepatic metabolism of cholesterol. Aqueous extract of the fruits inhibit choline esterase activity of human plasma. Dried fruits are reported to contain a goitrogenic principle. The essential amino acids present are argentine, histidine, lysine, tryptophan, phenylalanine, methionine, leucine, threonine, isoleucine and valine. The edible portion of fruits contains pectin, oxalic acid, trigonellin and β amino-4-ethyl-

glyoxaline. Brinjal is often infested by a plethora of insect pests throughout Asia. A survey on vegetable pests by Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre (AVRDC) indicated that brinjal fruit and shoot borer is the most destructive pest in major brinjal producing countries of South Asia, as the larvae tunnel inside the plant shoots or fruit.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was studied on the effect of pesticidal schedule to control the shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*) in brinjal at the Central Research Station, OUAT, Bhubaneswar during January to June 2010 in Randomized Block Design consisting of seven treatments and three replications. The size of each plot was 4m x 2.8m. Three week old seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 60cmx45cm. The total area of the experimental plot is 331.2 m². The high yielding variety *i.e.* BB 45 C was selected for the experiment due to its popularity among the farming community. Eleven pesticides belonging to different chemical groups were selected for field experiments to test their efficacy against brinjal shoot and fruit borer damage. The various groups of pesticide selected were synthetic pyrethroids, organophosphates, chlorinated hydrocarbons, moulting hormones, nereistoxins, bacterial formulations, neem based pesticides and carbamates. The pesticides were applied at 15 days interval starting from 30 DAT to 105 DAT (Days after transplanting). The treatment details is given in Table A.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under the following heads:

Performance of pesticidal schedule on brinjal shoot borer :

Application of pesticidal schedule revealed that brinjal shoot and fruit borer activity peaked (4.49%) at 90 days old crop. Cartap hydrochloride and Carbaryl produced the similar result in first application of pesticidal schedule (Table 1). The use of Cartap hydrochloride in second round spraying maintained its effectiveness considerably. Use of other effective pesticides against shoot and fruit borer were cypermethrin, Carbaryl, triazophos, Halt and Diflubenzurone. Analyzing the data

Table A : Treatment details		
Sr. No.	Treatment details	Dose(kg a.i./ha)
1.	Carbofuran(Furadon) at 30 DAT+	2.0
	Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 45 DAT+	0.5
	Cypermethrin(classic) at 60 DAT+	0.1
	Carbaryl(Sevin) at 75 DAT+	1.0
	Triazophos(Nagphos) at 90 DAT+	2.0
	Fenvalerate(Fenval) at 105 DAT	0.1
	2.	Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 30 DAT+
Monocrotophos(Nuvacron) at 45 DAT+		0.5
Carbaryl(Sevin) at 60 DAT+		0.1
Azadirachtin(Multineem) at 75 DAT+		25 kg/ha
Bt formulation(Halt) at 90 DAT+		1.5 kg/ha
Triazophos(Nagphos) at 105 DAT		2.0
3.		Carbaryl(Sevin) at 30 DAT+
	Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 45 DAT+	0.5
	Triazophos(Nagphos) at 60 DAT+	2.0
	Diflubenzurion(Dimilin) at 75 DAT+	0.075
	Azadirachtin(Multineem) at 90 DAT+	2.5 kg/ha
	Chloropyriphos(Lethal) at 105 DAT	0.4
	4.	Triazophos(Nagphos) at 30 DAT+
Azadirachtin(Multineem) at 45 DAT+		2.5 kg/ha
Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 60 DAT+		0.5
Cypermethrin(classic) at 75 DAT+		0.1
Fenvalerate(Fenval) at 90 DAT+		0.1
Carbaryl(Sevin) at 105DAT		1.0
5.		Chloropyriphos(Lethal) at 30 DAT+
	Triazophos(Nagphos) at 45 DAT+	2.0
	Bt formulation(Halt) at 60 DAT+	1.5 kg/ha
	Diflubenzurion(Dimilin) at 75 DAT+	0.075
	Carbaryl(Sevin) at 90DAT+	1.0
	Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 105 DAT	0.5
	6.	Azadirachtin(Multineem) at 30 DAT+
Carbaryl(Sevin) at 45DAT+		1.0
Diflubenzurion(Dimilin) at 60 DAT+		0.075
Fenvalerate(Fenval) at 75 DAT+		0.1
Cartap hydrochloride(Padan) at 90 DAT+		0.5
Monocrotophos(Nuvacron) at 105 DAT		0.5
7.		Untreated check

on decrease of fruit damage over untreated check, it was quite evident that T₃ was most effective to cause maximum reduction of shoot damage (69.71%) (Table 1). The mean shoot infestation caused by different treatments are arranged in following orders *i.e.* T₃<T₁<T₂<T₆<T₄<T₅<T₇ (Table 3). The findings corroborates the findings of Dutta *et al.*(2007);

Table 1 : Incidence of shoot damage caused by brinjal shoot and fruit borer during Rabi 2010-11 at Bhubaneswar

Treatments	45 DAT	60DAT	75DAT	Mean	% decrease over untreated phase
T ₁	0.96 (1.19) ^a	1.59 (1.43) ^a	1.99 (1.53) ^a	1.51 (1.38)	66.36%
T ₂	1.07 (1.23) ^{ab}	3.47 (1.84) ^{ab}	1.66 (1.44) ^a	2.06 (1.05)	54.12%
T ₃	1.05 (1.22) ^{ab}	1.83 (1.42) ^a	1.20 (1.30) ^a	1.36 (1.31)	69.71%
T ₄	1.72 (1.44) ^{abc}	3.93 (2.08) ^b	0.83 (1.14) ^a	2.16 (1.55)	51.89%
T ₅	2.41 (1.69) ^{bc}	4.04 (2.12) ^b	2.03 (1.54) ^a	2.82 (1.73)	37.19%
T ₆	1.38 (1.33) ^{abc}	3.81 (2.03) ^b	1.25 (1.32) ^a	2.14 (1.54)	52.33%
T ₇	4.19 (2.16) ^d	5.09 (2.35) ^b	4.21 (2.16) ^b	4.49 (2.22)	
Mean	1.82 (1.46)	3.39 (1.89)	1.88 (1.49)		
SEM(±)	0.124	0.168	0.154		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.384	0.520	0.475		

DAT-Days after transplanting

Table 2 : Yield and economics of insecticidal management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer in brinjal during Rabi 2010-11 at Bhubaneswar

Treatments	Yield(q/ha)	Increase in yield over untreated check (%)	Incremental yield(q/ha)	Cost of treatment (Rs./ha)	Net return (Rs./ha)	B:C
T ₁	148.04 ^b	22.68	27.37	8030	8392	1.04:1
T ₂	190.52 ^d	57.88	69.85	5840	36070	6.17:1
T ₃	150.42 ^b	24.65	29.75	4760	13090	2.75:1
T ₄	178.11 ^{cd}	47.60	57.44	3460	31004	8.96:1
T ₅	160.31 ^{bc}	32.84	39.64	6420	17364	2.70:1
T ₆	175.03 ^{cd}	45.04	54.36	4220	12396	2.70:1
T ₇	120.67 ^a	-	-	-	-	-

CD=23.07

(P=0.05)

Table 3 : Extent of fruit damage caused by brinjal shoot and fruit borer during Rabi 2010-11 at Bhubaneswar

Treatments	45	60	75	90	105	120	135	150	Mean	% decrease over check
T ₁	59.45 (50.44) ^c	38.76 (40.26) ^{ab}	56.85 (48.94) ^{bc}	53.70 (47.13) ^{bc}	55.99 (48.43) ^{bc}	59.7 (50.57) ^{bc}	49.37 (44.65) ^a	51.44 (45.83) ^{bc}	53.15 (47.03)	22.65
T ₂	52.60 (46.54) ^{ab}	46.72 (43.16) ^{bc}	50.30 (45.18) ^a	34.52 (35.44) ^a	44.18 (41.63) ^{ab}	54.55 (47.68) ^{bc}	44.11 (41.88) ^a	44.56 (41.88) ^a	46.44 (42.88)	32.42
T ₃	53.56 (47.05) ^{abc}	49.53 (44.78) ^{bc}	61.03 (51.36) ^d	41.96 (40.37) ^{ab}	45.26 (42.29) ^{ab}	61.44 (51.63) ^c	61.07 (51.40) ^{bc}	47.52 (43.38) ^{ab}	52.67 (46.53)	23.35
T ₄	48.30 (44.05) ^a	25.73 (30.42) ^a	56.7 (48.84) ^{bc}	40.82 (39.64) ^{ab}	52.97 (46.84) ^b	49.96 (44.67) ^b	52.63 (46.50) ^{ab}	55.55 (48.18) ^c	47.83 (43.64)	30.39
T ₅	52.81 (46.61) ^{ab}	42.59 (40.59) ^{ab}	53.11 (46.78) ^{ab}	36.89 (37.36) ^a	48.36 (43.35) ^{ab}	73.66 (59.10) ^d	49.52 (44.72) ^a	42.51 (40.68) ^a	49.93 (44.89)	27.34
T ₆	56.55 (48.74) ^{bc}	46.66 (43.06) ^{bc}	60.3 (50.9) ^{cd}	49.19 (44.52) ^{ab}	37.77 (37.90) ^a	35.41 (36.49) ^a	52.67 (46.54) ^{ab}	54.89 (47.82) ^c	49.18 (44.50)	28.43
T ₇	69.96 (56.74) ^d	65.22 (53.84) ^c	68.22 (55.91) ^e	67.40 (5.17) ^c	67.14 (55.01) ^c	80.22 (63.74) ^d	65.86 (54.27) ^c	65.81 (53.77) ^d	68.72 (56.05)	
Mean	56.17 (48.59)	45.03 (42.30)	58.07 (49.70)	46.35 (37.09)	50.23 (45.06)	59.27 (50.55)	53.60 (47.09)	51.75 (45.93)		
S.E.(±)	1.154	3.579	0.708	3.052	2.614	1.964	1.757	1.196		
C.D.(P=0.05)	3.556	11.030	2.183	9.407	8.057	6.053	5.417	3.687		

Figure in parenthesis are angular transformation values.

Similar superscripts in the feature indicates that their difference are not statistically significant at P=0.05

Chatterjee *et al.* (2009) and Latif *et al.* (2009) in brinjal crop.

Performance of pesticidal schedule on brinjal fruit infestation :

It was pertinent from the studies that the application of second pesticidal schedule resulted in decrease of fruit infestation. Out of all the pesticides applied azadirachtin @ 2.5 kg/ha brought down the fruit damage successfully. All the pesticides used in the third schedule were not effective to reduce fruit damage. Similarly fourth pesticidal schedule minimised the fruit damage. Application of pesticides under fifth and sixth schedules were not effective to decrease the fruit damage. Spraying of cartap hydrochloride, monocrotophos, carbaryl, azadirachtin, halt and triazophos in T₂ resulted in highest reduction in fruit damage as compared to other treatments. Other promising treatment to cause appreciable quantum of reduction in fruit damage over check was T₃. Considering the importance of reduction of fruit damage over check the different treatments are arranged as follows. T₂>T₄>T₆>T₅>T₃>T₁. The results corroborate the findings of Satpathy *et al.* (2005), Sundaramurthy(2010), Chatterjee and Mondal (2012).

Effect of pesticidal schedule on fruit yield :

Out of several pesticidal schedule, T₂ produced the highest yield (190.52 q/ha). Other promising schedule were T₄ and T₆ which recorded 170.11 and 170.03 q/ha, respectively. Our research findings were in harmony with the findings of previous workers who have studied the effectiveness of various pesticides *i.e.* triazophos, monocrotophos, cypermethrin, fenvalerate, azadirachtin and cartap hydrochloride.

Analysis of benefit cost ratio :

It is pertinent from the above Table 2 that T₂ registered the highest fruit yield and highest net return of Rs. 36070/ ha. But the highest B: C was received from T₄ (8.96:1). It was due to the less investment of pesticidal cost in comparison to T₂. Other promising pesticidal schedule registering the highest B: C was T₆ (6.72:1). The pesticidal schedule T₁ was not able to record the highest B: C.

The result is in confirmation with Srinivasan and Babu (2000) and Chakraborty(2012).

REFERENCES

- Adiroubane, D. and Raghuraman, K. (2008).** Plant products and microbial formulation in the management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee. *J. Biopesticides*, **1** (2):124-129.
- Anandhi, P.S.V. and Singh, R.K. (2008).** Seasonal incidence and management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *J. Entomol. Res.*, **33**(4): 323-329.
- Bharadiya, A.M. and Patel, B.R. (2005).** Succession of insect pests of brinjal in north Gujarat. *Insect J. Agric. Sci.*, **13**(1): 159-161
- Chakraborty, K. (2012).** Effective Management of Scirpophagaincertulas Walker on rice crop during *Kharif* season in West Bengal, India. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, **12**(9):1176-1184.
- Chatterjee, M.L.S.P. and Shanowly Mondal Samata, A. (2009)** Field evaluation of some new insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *Pesticide Res. J.*, **21**(1): 58-60.
- Deshmukh, R.M. and Bhamare, V.K. (2006).** Field evaluation of some insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer. *Insect J. Agric. Sci.*, **2**(1): 247-249.
- Dutta, N.K., Alam, M.S., Nasiruddin, M., Das, A.K. and Munmun, T.S. (2007).** Efficacy of some new chemical insecticides against Brinjal Shoot and Fruit Borer *Leucinodes orbonales* Guen. *J. Subtropical Agric. Res. & Development*, **5** (3): 301-304.
- Ghimire, S.N., Upreti, G., Thapa, R.B. and Manandhar, D.N. (2007).** Ecofriendly management of brinjal fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *IAAS Res. Adv.*, **2**:127-131.
- Hanson, P.M., Yang, R.Y., Tsou, S.C.S., Ledesma, D., Engle, L. and Lee, T.C. (2006).** Diversity of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) for superoxide scavenging activity, total phenolics and ascorbic acid. *J. Food Composition & Analysis*, **19** (6-7): 594-600. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2006.03.001.
- Kadam, J.R., Bhosale, U.D. and Chavan, A. P (2006).** Influence of insecticidal treatment sequences on population of *Leucinodes orbonalis* and its predators. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **31**(3): 379-382.
- Kaur, S., Bal, S.S., Singh, G., Sidhu, A.S. and Dhillon, T.S. (2004).** Management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee through net house cultivation. *Acta Horticulturae*, **659**: 345-350.
- Krishnamoorthy, A. (2012).** Exploitation of egg parasitoids for control of potential pests in vegetable ecosystems in India. *Comunicata Scientiae*, **3**(1):1-15

Latif, M.A., Rahman, M.M., Alam, M.Z. and Hossain, M.M. (2009). Evaluation of flubendiamide as an IPM component for the management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee. *Munis Entomol. & Zool.*, **4**(1):257-267.

Mathur Anjali, N.J. (2006). Control of shoot and fruit borer of brinjal, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in the field. *Entomon*, **31**(2) : 141-144.

Satpathy, S., Shivalingaswamy, T. M., Akhilesh Kumar, Rai, A.B. and Mathura Rai (2005). Bio-intensive management of eggplant shoot and fruit borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen. *Veg. Sci.*, **32** (1): 103-104.

Srinivasan, G. and Babu, P.C.S. (2000). Sex pheromones for brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis*. *Indian J. Entomol.*, **62**(2):225-226 (Abs.).

Sundaramurthy, V.T. (2010). The impacts of the transgenes

on the modified crops, non-target soil and terrestrial organisms. *African J. Biotechnol.*, **9** (54):9163-9176.

Thapa, R.B. (2010). Integrated management of brinjal fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen: An overview. *J. Institute of Agric. & Animal Sci.*, **30** and 32 : 1-

Tohnishi, M., Nakao, H., Furuya, T., Seo, A., Kodama, H., Tsubata, K., Fujioka, S., Hirooka, T. and Nishimatsu, T. (2005). Flubendiamide, a novel insecticide highly active against Lepidopterous insect pests. *J. Pestic. Sci.*, **30**:354-360.

■ WEBLIOGRAPHY

Chatterjee, M.L. and Mondal, S. (2012). Sustainable management of key lepidopteran insect pests of vegetables. *ISHS Acta Horticulturae* (Available at: http://www.actahort.org/g/books/958/958_17.html Retrieved on April 4, 2013).

8th
Year
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★