



## Research Paper

### Article history :

Received : 06.04.2013

Revised : 20.08.2013

Accepted : 01.09.2013

# Effect of organic manure, drying methods on flower yield and carotenoid contents in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.)

■ SUNIL KUMAR AND SWATI SHARMA<sup>1</sup>

### Members of the Research Forum

#### Associated Authors:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Floriculture, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, PASIGHAT (ARUNACHAL PRADESH) INDIA

#### Author for correspondence :

SUNIL KUMAR

Department of Floriculture, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, PASIGHAT (ARUNACHAL PRADESH) INDIA

Email : sunil59@yahoo.co.in

**ABSTRACT :** A study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of farm yard manure, vermicompost and drying methods on flower yield and carotenoid contents in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) at Instructional farm, Department of Floriculture College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh during October 2009 to September 2010. Two varieties viz., Pusa Basanti Gaiinda and Pusa Narangi Gaiinda, three levels of nutrition viz., 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (control), FYM (25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and vermicompost (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), three levels of drying methods viz., sun drying, ventilated shade drying and hot air oven drying at 50°C were used, for investigation. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Seedlings of marigold cultivars were transplanted in the respective plots under different treatments in the month of November at spacing 30x30cm. Significant response in vegetative and flowering characters in Pusa Basanti Gaiinda with respect to Pusa Narangi Gaiinda except carotenoid contents was observed. Highest plant height (47.46cm), more number of flower buds per plant (23.19), increased flower diameter (66.80mm) and dry weight of single flower (1.38g) and enhanced flower yield (204.64 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in cultivars Pusa Basanti Gaiinda. However, maximum plant spread (24.98 cm), advanced flower bud initiation (35.67 days), increased carotenoid content in fresh petals (285.84µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and dried petals (30.47µg g<sup>-1</sup>), highest dried petal yield (11.98q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed in cultivar Pusa Narangi Gaiinda. Organic manure showed significant response especially well rotten farm yard manure against vermicompost. Flower yield (206.83 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), carotenoid content in fresh petal (166.04g) and dried petal (16.53g) was responded by farm yard manure, whereas, vermicompost showed maximum dry weight of single flower (1.38g) and dried petal yield (12.83q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**KEY WORDS :** Marigold, Nutrition, Variety, Drying, Carotenoid contents, Yield

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE :** Kumar, Sunil and Sharma, Swati (2013). Effect of organic manure, drying methods on flower yield and carotenoid contents in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Asian J. Hort.*, 8(2) : 385-390.

**M**arigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) is one among the important commercial ornamental plants grown in India. One of the most popular flowers due to long duration of flowering, ease of cultivation, wide adaptability and attractively coloured flowers of excellent keeping quality. Flowers are extensively used as loose form for floral decoration, religious offerings, garlands making and flower baskets. Besides its ornamental value, marigold petals are the most concentrated source of xanthophylls and a rich source of lutein (80-90 %). Dry petals of marigold flower contain about 90 per cent (w/w) carotenoids. The petals are dried in such condition that maximum carotenoids retain in them. These dried petals and concentrates are used

as feed additives to improve the pigmentation of the poultry skin and the eggs of laying hens. Nutritional management through organic manure are helpful for enhancing yield, quality of flowers and carotenoid content. Thus, a study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of farm yard manure, vermicompost and drying methods on flower yield and carotenoid contents in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.).

## RESEARCH METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Instructional farm, Department of Floriculture College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh during October

2009 to September 2010. Two varieties *viz.*, Pusa Basanti Gainda and Pusa Narangi Gainda, three levels of nutrition *viz.* 0 kg/ha (control), FYM (25t/ha) and vermicompost (10t/ha), three levels of drying methods *viz.* sun drying, ventilated shade drying and hot air oven drying at 50°C were used for investigation. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Seedlings of marigold cultivars were transplanted in the respective plots under different treatments in the month of November at spacing 30x30cm. Observations on vegetative growth characters *viz.*, plant height, plant spread, number of branches; flowering characters like days to flower bud initiation, days to flower, number of days for 50 per cent flowering, number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup>, flower duration, flower diameter, total number of flowers plant<sup>-1</sup> season<sup>-1</sup>, dry weight of flowers, yield of flowers, dried petal yield and estimation of total carotenoid in fresh as well as dried flower petals were recorded and analysed statistically as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1995).

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Significant response of cultivars and organic manures in vegetative and flowering characters was observed. Highest plant height (47.46cm) was associated with Pusa Basanti Gainda. However, maximum plant spread (24.98cm) was noticed in Pusa Narangi Gainda (Table 1). This might be due to genotypic differences between the cultivars due to which they responded differently. Organic manures had significant response on plant height and spread. Vermicompost showed maximum plant height (45.58cm) and plant spread (24.33cm) which was at par with well rotten farm yard (45.37cm and 24.23cm), respectively. Enhanced plant height may be attributed due to the presence and synthesis of gibberellins in vermicompost. Similar findings have been reported by Gayithri *et al.* (2004) in static and Pandey *et al.* (2010) in chrysanthemum. Vermicompost also serve as a source of humic and fulvic acid which significantly influences the vegetative growth of the plant (Sinha *et al.*, 2009). Cultivars and nutrition showed significant response in number of branches per plant. More number of branches per plant (10.33) was found in Pusa Basanti Gainda as compared to Pusa Narangi Gainda. Use of well rotten farm yard manure produced maximum number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (10.33) followed by vermicompost (9.70cm). The minimum number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (8.97) was noticed in plot without nutrition. Similar findings were observed in *Pelargonium graveolens* in which well rotten farm yard manure produced significantly higher number of shoots (Bhaskar *et al.*, 2001).

The data presented in Table 2 showed that Pusa Narangi Gainda responded earliness in flower bud initiation (35.67 days) and 50 per cent flowering (70.96 days) against Pusa Basanti Gainda (43.98 days and 80.37 days), respectively. Whereas, more number of flower buds plant<sup>-1</sup> (23.19) in Pusa

**Table 1 : Effect of organic manures and drying methods on vegetative parameters in marigold**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	No. of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>
<b>Variety</b>			
V <sub>1</sub>	47.46	21.81	10.33
V <sub>2</sub>	41.06	24.98	9.00
C.D	1.39	0.75	0.29
<b>Nutrition</b>			
N <sub>0</sub>	41.84	21.62	8.97
N <sub>1</sub>	45.37	24.23	10.33
N <sub>2</sub>	45.58	24.33	9.70
C.D	1.70	0.92	0.36
<b>Drying</b>			
D <sub>0</sub>	45.20	23.54	9.63
D <sub>1</sub>	44.10	24.30	9.92
D <sub>2</sub>	43.48	22.34	9.44
C.D	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interactions</b>			
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	44.46	19.62	9.84
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	49.16	23.40	10.82
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	48.77	22.41	10.33
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	39.22	23.63	8.09
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	41.58	25.26	9.84
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	42.38	26.05	9.07
C.D	NS	NS	NS
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	48.46	21.97	10.27
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	46.70	21.63	10.42
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	47.24	21.83	10.31
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	41.95	25.11	9.00
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	41.51	26.97	9.42
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	39.72	22.85	8.58
C.D	NS	NS	NS
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	42.41	20.04	7.97
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	42.11	23.99	9.10
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	41.00	20.85	9.83
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	46.41	22.98	10.27
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	44.95	25.57	10.93
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	44.75	24.44	9.80
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	46.80	27.61	10.67
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	45.25	23.35	9.73
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	44.68	21.74	8.70
C.D	NS	NS	NS
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	46.25	19.16	9.40
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	43.95	20.55	9.53
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	43.17	19.15	10.60
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	51.38	24.03	11.13
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	46.54	22.33	11.40
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	49.58	23.85	9.93
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	47.75	22.72	10.27
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	49.59	22.00	10.33
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	48.97	22.51	10.40
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	38.56	20.91	6.53
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	40.27	27.42	8.67
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	38.83	22.55	9.07
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	41.45	21.93	9.40
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	43.35	28.81	10.47
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	39.93	25.04	9.67
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	45.85	32.50	11.07
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	40.91	24.69	9.13
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	40.39	20.96	7.00
C.D	NS	NS	NS

NS=Non-significant

**Table 2 : Effect of organic manures and drying methods on flowering parameters in marigold**

Treatments	Initiation of flower buds (days)	50 per cent flowering (days)	No. of flower buds <sup>-1</sup>	Diameter of flower (mm)	Fresh weight of single flower (g)	Duration of flower (days)	Flower yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Variety</b>							
V <sub>1</sub>	43.98	80.37	23.19	66.80	10.55	95.53	204.64
V <sub>2</sub>	35.67	70.96	19.43	60.77	9.34	83.82	160.73
C.D	1.09	1.47	0.76	1.01	0.32	1.71	14.59
<b>Nutrition</b>							
N <sub>0</sub>	41.67	78.94	20.03	61.68	8.61	92.62	148.93
N <sub>1</sub>	38.04	72.67	22.42	63.50	10.65	88.18	206.83
N <sub>2</sub>	39.77	75.39	21.48	66.17	10.58	88.22	192.29
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.39	NS	17.87
<b>Drying</b>							
D <sub>0</sub>	40.31	76.72	21.39	65.25	10.26	90.12	191.85
D <sub>1</sub>	39.12	75.22	21.33	63.18	9.89	89.12	179.20
D <sub>2</sub>	40.04	75.06	21.21	62.93	9.69	89.78	177.00
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interactions</b>							
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	45.71	85.33	23.27	66.49	9.33	98.51	183.38
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	42.64	78.00	23.04	67.05	11.37	93.89	219.76
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	43.58	77.78	23.27	66.86	10.96	94.20	210.77
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	37.62	72.56	16.80	56.88	7.89	86.73	114.47
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	33.44	67.33	21.80	59.96	9.93	82.47	193.89
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	35.96	73.00	19.69	65.48	10.21	82.24	173.81
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	44.13	80.89	23.24	67.78	10.90	95.47	209.28
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	42.96	79.56	22.47	66.92	11.18	93.76	208.90
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	44.84	80.67	23.87	65.69	9.57	97.38	195.73
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	36.49	72.57	19.53	62.71	9.61	84.78	174.41
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	35.29	70.89	20.20	59.43	8.61	84.49	149.50
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	35.24	69.44	18.57	60.18	9.81	82.18	158.27
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	41.77	78.33	18.50	61.19	8.91	93.43	140.07
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	40.80	80.83	21.30	61.66	8.73	92.00	159.51
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	42.43	77.67	20.30	62.20	8.18	92.43	147.20
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	38.23	74.50	22.67	65.26	11.18	88.03	228.67
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	38.13	72.67	23.33	63.82	10.28	86.67	203.56
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	37.77	70.83	21.27	61.43	10.48	87.83	188.25
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	40.93	77.33	23.00	69.29	10.68	88.90	206.79
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	38.43	72.17	19.37	64.05	10.67	86.70	174.53
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	39.93	76.67	22.07	65.18	10.40	89.07	195.55
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	42.73	84.00	22.27	67.03	9.64	97.13	180.86
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	45.47	84.00	26.48	67.27	10.77	97.20	229.31
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	48.93	88.00	21.07	65.17	7.57	101.20	139.98
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	44.07	80.67	23.73	68.70	12.37	94.20	242.69
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	41.67	76.67	22.00	67.89	11.13	92.33	211.46
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	42.20	76.67	23.40	64.55	10.60	95.13	205.14
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	45.60	78.00	23.73	67.63	10.70	95.07	204.30
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	41.73	78.00	18.93	65.61	11.63	91.73	185.95
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	43.40	77.33	27.13	67.36	10.53	95.80	242.06
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	40.80	72.67	14.73	55.36	8.17	89.73	99.28
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	36.13	77.67	16.13	56.03	6.70	86.80	89.72
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	35.93	67.33	19.53	59.22	8.80	83.67	154.42
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	32.40	68.33	21.60	61.83	10.00	81.87	214.65
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	34.60	68.67	24.67	59.75	9.43	85.00	195.67
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	33.33	65.00	19.13	58.31	10.37	80.53	171.37
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	36.27	76.67	22.27	70.95	10.67	82.73	209.29
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	35.13	66.33	19.80	62.50	9.70	81.67	163.12
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	36.47	76.00	17.00	62.99	10.27	82.33	149.04
C.D	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS=Non-significant

Basanti Gaiinda as compared to Pusa Narangi Gaiinda (19.43) was observed. Increased flower diameter (66.80mm) and maximum fresh weight of single flower (10.55g) was associated with Pusa Basanti Gaiinda as compared to Pusa Narangi Gaiinda (60.77mm and 9.34g), respectively, while, maximum carotenoid contents was obtained from Pusa Narangi Gaiinda. Sree Kala *et al.* (2002) showed negative indirect effect through flower weight for carotenoid content. Nutrition also responded significantly for enhancing fresh weight of single flower. Well rotten farm yard manure showed maximum fresh weight of single flower (10.65g) which was at par with vermicompost (10.58g). These results are in conformity with the findings of Yadav *et al.* (2000) which reported that farm yard manure had significant response of increased flower weight in African marigold. Maximum diameter and weight of flowers was also observed by Singh (2006) in rose and Gupta *et al.* (2008) in gladiolus. Pusa Basanti Gaiinda prolonged the flower duration (95.53 days) and maximum flower yield (204.64 qha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to Pusa Narangi Gaiinda (83.82 days, 160.73 qha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. Organic manure played a significant role for enhancing the flower yield. Well rotten farm manure responded good for increase in flower yield (206.83qha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by vermicompost treated plot (192.29qha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in flower yield may be due to use of organic manure which helps in improving the fertility and productivity of soils as well as they supply both macro and micro nutrients and improve physical and biological conditions of soil in sustainable crop production. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Nambisan and Krishnan (1983) in tuberose and John *et al.* (2007) in tulip.

The data presented in Table 3 revealed that Pusa Basanti Gaiinda produced maximum dry weight of single flower (1.38g) as compared to Pusa Narangi Gaiinda (1.10g). Vermicompost responded for increased dry weight (1.38g) against well rotten farm yard manure (1.27g). Increased dry weight of flower might be due to higher water holding capacity and bulk density of vermicompost as reported by Hidalgo and Harkess (2002) in chrysanthemum. Maximum dry weight of single flower (1.30g) was obtained by ventilated shade drying method followed by sun drying (1.24g). In interaction, maximum dry weight (1.50g) was observed in Pusa Basanti Gaiinda with vermicompost followed by Pusa Narangi Gaiinda with vermicompost (1.25g). Pusa Basanti Gaiinda with ventilated shade drying method produced more dry weight of single flower (1.47g). Nutrition also played significant role for increasing dry weight of single flower. Flowers obtained from vermicompost treated plots when dried under ventilated shade drying showed maximum dry weight of single flower (1.42g). The flowers of Pusa Basanti Gaiinda obtained from vermicompost treated plot when dried under ventilated shade drying produced maximum dry weight of single flower (1.61g). Nagavallema (2004) observed

that vermicompost renders its beneficial effect by providing nutrients in the available form and also enhances their uptake in plants. However, Pusa Narangi Gaiinda produced maximum dried petal yield (11.98qha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to Pusa Basanti Gaiinda (9.65qha<sup>-1</sup>). Vermicompost responded for increased dried petal yield (12.83qha<sup>-1</sup>) against well rotten farm yard manure (11.84qha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum dried petal yield (11.74 qha<sup>-1</sup> g) was obtained by ventilated shade drying followed by sun drying (10.84qha<sup>-1</sup>). In interaction, Maximum dried petal yield (14.28qha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in Pusa Narangi Gaiinda with vermicompost followed by Pusa Basanti Gaiinda with vermicompost (11.39qha<sup>-1</sup>). Pusa Narangi Gaiinda with ventilated shade drying produced maximum dried petal yield of single flower (12.77qha<sup>-1</sup>). Flowers obtained from vermicompost treated plots when dried under ventilated shade drying showed maximum dried petal yield (13.76 qha<sup>-1</sup>). The flowers of Pusa Narangi Gaiinda obtained from vermicompost treated plot when dried under ventilated shade produced more dried petal yield of single flower (13.89 qha<sup>-1</sup>).

Significant responses in carotenoid contents in fresh petals between cultivars and nutrition were observed (Table 3). Pusa Narangi Gaiinda produced maximum carotenoid content (285.84 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to Pusa Basanti Gaiinda (11.36 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). Well rotten farm yard manure showed significant response towards carotenoid contents in fresh petal (166.04 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) followed by vermicompost (141.29 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). Well ventilated shade drying methods produced maximum carotenoid content in fresh petal (163.32 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) followed by hot air oven drying at 500C (152.39 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). In interaction, petals taken from Pusa Narangi Gaiinda from the plots of well rotten farm yard manure, showed maximum carotenoid contents in fresh petals (320.99 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) followed by vermicompost treated plot (272.17 µg g<sup>-1</sup>), whereas, Pusa Basanti Gaiinda showed least carotenoid contents in fresh petals irrespective of nutrients used. Also, the petals of Pusa Narangi Gaiinda when subjected to ventilated shade drying produced maximum carotenoid content (314.30µg g<sup>-1</sup>). Subsequently, petals obtained from plot with well rotten farm yard manure, when dried under ventilated shade drying method showed maximum carotenoid content (202.96µg g<sup>-1</sup>). While, flower petals of Pusa Narangi Gaiinda obtained from well rotten farm yard manure treated plot when ventilated shade dried produced more carotenoid contents (392.54µg g<sup>-1</sup>).

Pusa Narangi Gaiinda produced maximum carotenoid contents in dried petal (30.47µg g<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to Pusa Basanti Gaiinda (1.96 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). Well rotten farm yard manure responded for increased carotenoid contents in dried petal (16.53 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) against vermicompost treated plot (15.71 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). However, maximum carotenoid contents in dried petal (17.13 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained by ventilated shade drying followed by hot air oven drying at 500C (15.97 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) which

**Table 3 : Effect of organic manures and drying methods on dry weight and carotenoid content in marigold**

Treatments	Dry weight of single flower (g)	Dried petal yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Carotenoid content in fresh petals (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Carotenoid content in dried petals (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Variety</b>				
V <sub>1</sub>	1.38	9.65	11.36	1.956
V <sub>2</sub>	1.10	11.98	285.84	30.47
C.D.	0.05	0.43	1.03	0.25
<b>Nutrition</b>				
N <sub>0</sub>	1.07	7.78	138.47	16.40
N <sub>1</sub>	1.27	11.84	166.04	16.53
N <sub>2</sub>	1.38	12.83	141.29	15.71
C.D	0.04	0.52	1.25	0.31
<b>Drying</b>				
D <sub>0</sub>	1.24	9.88	130.09	15.54
D <sub>1</sub>	1.30	11.74	163.32	17.13
D <sub>2</sub>	1.18	10.84	152.39	15.97
C.D.	0.05	0.64	1.54	0.38
<b>Interactions</b>				
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	1.19	6.99	12.57	2.32
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	1.46	10.58	11.10	1.77
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	1.50	11.39	10.41	1.79
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub>	0.96	8.56	264.37	30.48
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	1.08	13.10	320.99	29.63
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	1.25	14.28	272.17	31.30
C.D	0.06	0.74	1.78	0.44
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.46	10.70	9.85	1.75
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.47	10.48	12.35	2.05
V <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.21	7.79	11.88	2.08
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.14	11.19	250.32	29.04
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.01	12.77	314.30	32.50
V <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.15	11.97	292.90	29.87
C.D	0.09	1.10	2.06	0.66
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.22	8.64	112.51	18.32
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.03	7.70	151.33	16.36
N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	0.98	6.99	151.57	14.52
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.32	12.81	142.74	14.96
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.28	12.56	202.96	18.34
N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.21	10.15	152.44	16.30
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.37	12.25	135.02	14.72
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.42	13.76	135.68	15.31
N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.34	12.49	153.17	17.10
C.D	0.07	0.90	2.17	0.54
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.32	8.43	11.70	1.99
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.29	9.34	13.77	2.66
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	0.95	3.19	12.24	2.30
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.59	12.67	9.30	1.63
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.52	11.22	13.37	1.56
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.27	7.86	10.63	2.10
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.49	10.99	8.56	1.63
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.61	10.87	9.90	1.91
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.40	12.30	12.77	1.83
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.11	8.84	213.32	34.64
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	0.76	6.06	288.89	30.06
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>0</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.00	10.78	290.90	26.75
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.07	12.96	276.18	28.35
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.04	13.62	392.54	35.04
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.14	12.44	294.24	30.49
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>0</sub>	1.25	16.52	261.47	27.82
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	1.22	13.89	261.46	28.71
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	1.29	12.68	293.57	32.37
C.D.	0.10	1.27	3.07	0.76

was at par with sun drying ( $15.54 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). Similar findings were noticed by Singh *et al.* (2002) in zinnia that degradation of pigments was lowest in room dried flowers. In interaction, maximum carotenoid contents ( $31.30 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) were observed in Pusa Narangi Gainda with well rotten farm yard manure treated plot. Pusa Narangi Gainda with ventilated shade drying method produced maximum carotenoid contents in dried petal ( $32.50 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). Flower petals obtained from well rotten farm yard manure treated plots when ventilated shade dried showed maximum carotenoid contents ( $18.34 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). While, flower petals of Pusa Narangi Gainda obtained from well rotten farm yard manure treated plot when ventilated shade dried produced more carotenoid contents ( $35.04 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ).

Thus, it was apparent through entire investigation that cultivar Pusa Basanti Gainda and farm yard manure were significantly associated with qualitative and quantitative characters, while Pusa Narangi Gainda yielded more carotenoid contents in ventilated shade drying methods.

## REFERENCES

- Bhaskar, S., Kumar, T.V., Shivananda, T.N., Arun, M.N., Janardhan, G. and Ramachandra, C. (2001).** Effect of farmyard manure, nitrogen levels and its method of application on scented geranium (*Pelargonium graveolens*). *J. Med. & Aromatic Plant Sci.*, **23** (3) : 388-391
- Gayithri, H.N., Jayaprasad, K.V. and Narayanaswamy, P. (2004).** Response of biofertilizers and their combined application with different levels of inorganic fertilizers in statice (*Limonium caspia*). *J. Orna. Hort.*, **7**(1):70-74.
- Gupta, P., Rajwal, N., Dhaka, V.K. And Rajwal, D. (2008).** Effect of different levels of vermicompost, NPK and FYM on performance of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. HAPPY END. *Asian J. Hort.*, **3**(1): 142-143.
- Hidalgo, P.R. and Harkess, R.L. (2002).** Earthworm castings as a substrate amendment for chrysanthemum production. *Hort. Sci.*, **37**(7): 1035-1039.
- John, A.Q., Mir, M.M., Nelofar and Khan, F.U. (2007).** Response of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on growth and bulb production in tulip (*Tulipa gesneriana* Linn.). *J. Orna. Hort.*, **10**(3): 157-160.
- Nagavallema, K.P., Wani, S.P., Lacroix, S., Padmaja, V.V., Vineela, C., Rao, M. and Sahrawat, K.L. (2004).** Vermicomposting: recycling wastes into valuable organic fertilizer. *Internat. Crops Res. Institute Semi Arid Tropics*, **8**: 1-3.
- Nambisan, K.M.P. and Krishnan, B.M. (1983).** Better cultural practices for high yield of tuberose in South India. *Indian Hort.*, **28**(3): 17-20.
- Pandey, G., Kumar, S. and Kumar, A. (2010).** Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev). *J. Orna. Hort.*, **13**(2): 112-116.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1995).** *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 158pp.
- Singh, A.K. (2006).** Effect of farm yard manure, *Azotobacter* and nitrogen on leaf nutrient composition, growth, flowering and yield in rose. *Indian J. Hort.*, **63**(1): 62-65.
- Singh, A., Dhaduk, B.K. and Shah, R.R. (2002).** Effect of different drying conditions and temperature on chloroplast and vacuolar pigment content in zinnia lowers. *J. Orna. Hort.*, (New Series), **5**(2): 66.
- Sinha, R.K., Herat, S., Valani, D. and Chauhan, K. (2009).** Earthworms vermicompost: A powerful crop nutrients over the conventional compost and protective soil conditioner against the destructive chemical fertilizers for food safety and security. *American Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, **5**(S): 14-22.
- Sreekala, C., Raghava, S.P.S., Misra, R.L. and Maini, S.B. (2002).** Path analysis for total carotenoid yield in African marigold. *J. Orna. Hort. (New Series)*, **5**(2): 8-10.
- Yadav, P.K., Singh, S., Dhindwal, A.S. and Yadav, M.K. (2000).** Effect of N and FYM application on floral characters and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **29**(1/2): 69-71.

8<sup>th</sup>  
Year  
★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★