

RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/8.1/45-48

Comparison of bio-agents and botanicals with fungicides against tikka and anthracnose diseases of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.)

■ MOHAMMAD FAISAL* AND SHASHI TIWARI

Department of Plant Protection, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, ALLAHABAD (U.P.) INDIA

ARITCLE INFO

Received:04.08.2014Revised:15.01.2015Accepted:30.01.2015

KEY WORDS : Bio-agents, Botanicals, Fungicides, Groundnut, Anthracnose Tikka disease

ABSTRACT

Groundnut is an important oilseed crop and contributes about 40 per cent of total oilseed production in India. Due to its nutritional value and oil production, it is very valuable and economical crop but several diseases like tikka, collar rot, rust, peanut bud necrosis and anthracnose take heavy toll every year decrease the yield severely. A field trail was conducted in *Kharif* season in the month of August, 2012 to test the effect of seed treatment with bioagents, botanicals in comparison to chemical fungicides against tikka disease and anthracnose of groundnut to minimize the disease intensity. The treatments were control (water irrigation), *Trichoderma harzianum* 1 per cent, *Trichoderma viride* 1 per cent, Neem seed kernel extract 5 per cent. Seed treatment with Bavistin @ 0.2 per cent, Thiram 0.2 per cent, Neem oil 5 per cent. Seed treatment with Bavistin @ 0.2 per cent was found superior among all the treatments in managing the tikka leaf spot, whereas, neem oil showed better results next to it. However, anthracnose infection was very little or negligible.

How to view point the article : Faisal, Mohammad and Tiwari, Shashi (2015). Comparison of bioagents and botanicals with fungicides against tikka and anthracnose diseases of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, 8(1) : 45-48.

*Corresponding author: Email: mfaisal710@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the important oilseed crops containing 48 per cent edible oil and 25 per cent high quality protein. It is the world's fourth most important source of edible oil and third most important source of vegetable protein. China is the world's largest groundnut producer, with 40 per cent of world's production, followed by India (23 %) (Diop *et al.*, 2004). Groundnut seed contains moisture (5.529 %), crude fibre (1.149 %), lipid (46.224 %), crude protein (25.20 %), carbohydrate (21.26 %), ash (2.577 %), calcium (0.087 %), phosphorus (0.29 %) and energy (601.856 %). The total fatty acid composition is 10.44 and 33.51per cent for saturated and unsaturated fatty acid, respectively (Ingale and Shrivastava, 2011). The groundnut plant has a distinct main stem and varying number of lateral branches is an important character which determines the growth habit. Based on this character the cultivated varieties of groundnut mainly grouped into two habit forms, base of the stem *viz.*, bunch and spreading type. Under rain-fed conditions, the average yield of semi-spreading and spreading varieties of groundnut is 1200-1400 kg. of unshelled pods per hectare; and that of bunch types is 800-1000 kg./ha. Fields with supplementary irrigation produce 3000 kg. more of pods

per hectare. The pods yield 70 to 75 per cent of kernels by weight. The yield of haulms is usually two to two-and-a-half times that of pods (Anonymous, 2012). Groundnut is grown on nearly 23.95 million ha worldwide with the total production of 36.45 million tons and an average yield of 1520 kg./ha (FAOSTAT, 2011).

Among the fungal diseases of groundnut, tikka disease and anthracnose are most common. Early leaf-spot (ELS) caused by *Cercospora arachidicola* (Agrihunt, 2011) and late leaf-spot (LLS) due to *Cercospora personatum* (Meena, 2011) are mainly prevalent during the *Kharif* than the *Rabi* season almost all groundnut growing areas of the world and become endemic frequently (Ghewande and Misra, 1983). Yield losses caused by leaf-spots and rust ranged from 15 to 80 per cent. Losses in pod yield can be up to 29 per cent (Siddaramaiah *et al.*, 1977).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at the crop research farm, Allahabad School of Agriculture, SHIATS, Allahabad during Kharif, 2012 to study the comparison of bio-agents and botanicals with fungicides against tikka and anthracnose diseases of groundnut. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Seven treatments including an untreated control and application was done as seed treatment. The treatments were control (water irrigation), Trichoderma harzianum 5 per cent, Trichoderma viride 5 per cent, neem seed kernel extract 5 per cent, Pseudomonas flourescens 5 per cent, Bavistin 0.2 per cent, Thiram 0.2 per cent, Neem oil 5 per cent. Bio-agent powdered formulation was brought to the laboratory and the viability was checked by serial dilution method, the C.F.U. was found to be 10⁶ (appropriate) for seed treatment. The seeds were sown in 2×1.5 m² plot size with a spacing of 30 cm row to row and 10 cm plant to plant. The crop was sown as line sowing on 2.8.2012. The biometric observations were taken on five randomly selected plants in a net plot area. Thinning was done two weeks after sowing to maintain a uniform plant distance in respective treatments for uniform plant population. The disease intensity was recorded at 30, 45 and 60 DAS and pod yield was recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3 revealed that Bavistin @0.04 per cent was best but somewhat lower, the similar results were shown by neem oil 5 per cent followed by NSKE 5 per cent, Thiram 0.4 per cent, *Pseudomonas flourescens* 5 per cent, *Trichoderma harzianum* 5 per cent, *Trichoderma viride* 5 per cent and gave the significant reduction in the severity of tikka disease of groundnut over control. Table A shows that T_s (Bavistin 0.2 %) was most effective treatment in

which the disease intensity of tikka was 17.68 followed by T₂ neem oil 5 per cent (18.29) which was much reduced over the control (26.20) and thus, these two treatments were found significantly superior than all other treatments. Similar findings have also been reported by Dubey et al. (1995) who tested the efficiency of 5 fungicides, Bordeaux mixture, derosal (carbendazim), Bavistin (carbendazim), Dithane M-45 (mancozeb) and Fytolan (copper oxychloride), to control Cercospora personata (Mycosphaerella berkeleyi) and rust (Puccinia arachidis) on groundnut. All fungicides provided control but derosal and Bavistin were the most effective. Twumasi (1993) tested five fungicides, benomyl, carbendazim, metiram, tridemorph and triphenyltin hydroxide, over 3 and 4 years in the field as weekly and forthnightly foliar sprays and as seed-dressing chemicals against Cercospora arachidicola and Cercosporidum personatum leaf spots of groundnut. For spraying, concentrations of 0.2 per cent (benomyl, carbendazim, triphenyltin hydroxide) and 0.3 per cent (Metiram and tridemorph) were used. For seed-dressing, the rates were 3.0 g /kg of seed (benomyl, carbendazim and triphenyltin hydroxide). Benomyl and carbendazim significantly reduced leaf spot incidence more than triphenyltin hydroxide which, in turn, significantly reduced the disease more than tridemorph and metiram when sprayed weekly and fortnightly.

Thus, in comparison to Bavistin, neem oil showed equivalent results as earlier done by Srinivas et al. (2000) who tested the effect of some plant extracts and chemicals on the management of tikka leaf spot of groundnutA(rachis hypogaea L.) by conducting an experiment under field conditions. Results indicated that plant extracts viz., Calotropis leaf suspension at 1 per cent concentration or neem oil at 0.5 per cent concentration effectively controlled the leaf spot disease and significantly increased the yield over control and even Ganapathy and Narayanaswamy, 1990 performed lab. and field tests neem oil from Azadirachta indica and leaf extract from Nerium odorum reduced the incidence of late leaf spot [Phaeoisariopsis personata (Mycosphaerella berkeleyi)], rust (Puccinia arachidis) and ring mosaic (tomato spotted wilt virus) and reported that neem oil is also effective in managing the disease. In addition, neem oil increased pod yield by 62.3 per cent.

The cost benefit ratio in case Bavistin was lower (1:1.55) as already concluded by Singh and Baiswar (2007) after the study during 2004 and 2005 in Meghalaya, India, to manage the early leaf spot disease [*Cercospora arachidicola* (*Mycosphaerella arachidis*)] of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* cv. JL 24) by minimum application of fungicides and plant-based chemicals. Treatments comprised: triadimefon 25 WP (bayleton), tricyclazole 75 WP (sivic), mancozeb 75 WP (dithane M-45)+carbendazim 50 WP (Bavistin), propineb 70 WP (antracol), 12 per cent carbendazim (saaf) + 63 per cent mancozeb, bitertanol 25 WP (baycor), 25 per cent

⁴⁶ Internat. J. Plant Protec., **8**(1) Apr., 2015 : 45-48

⁴⁰ HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

propiconazole (tilt) and two botanicals [0.03 % *Azadirachtin* (tricure) and *Cymbopogon* spp.]. Out of the fungicides tested, maximum control was recorded by Dithane M-45 + Bavistin (40.2%) and Saaf (39.1%) with a cost : benefit ratio of 1:2.85 and 1:2.67, respectively. It is evident from the findings that early leaf spot can be effectively and economically managed by using saaf under rainfed conditions in hills where late leaf spot and rust are not major problems but was higher than that

of neem oil (1:1.3) which showed that use of Bavistin is costlier than that of neem oil but both have almost same results. Antifungal activity of extracts of some botanicals have been reported from India and abroad by a number of past workers (Tiwari *et al.*, 2005; Nduagu *et al.*, 2008; Noriel and Robles, 1990; Bandara *et al.*, 1989; Natarajan and Lalithakumari, 1987; Moradia, 2012; Angadi *et al.*, 2013 and Jha *et al.*, 2013) which are in line to the present investigation.

Table 1 : Effect of treatments on Tikka disease intensity at 30, 45 and 60 DAS of groundnut						
No. of treatments	Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS		
T_0	Control	1.93	3.99	26.20		
T ₁	Trichoderma harzianum @ 5%	1.74	3.77	20.29		
T ₂	Trichoderma viride @ 5%	1.74	3.78	20.33		
T ₃	NSKE @ 5%	1.52	3.29	19.85		
T_4	Pseudomonas flourescens@ 5%	1.67	3.69	19.96		
T ₅	Bavistin @ 0.2%	1.23	2.52	17.68		
T ₆	Thiram @ 0.2%	1.58	3.55	19.94		
T ₇	Neem oil @ 5%	1.41	3.20	18.29		
F- test		S	S	S		
S.E. ±		0.057	0.138	0.455		
C.D. (P = 0.05)		0.121	0.292	0.966		

Table 2 : Effect of treatments on anthracnose disease incidence at 30, 45 and 60 DAS of groundnut							
No. of treatments	Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS			
T ₀	Control	0.84	4.30	8.07			
T_1	Trichoderma harzianum @ 5%	0.46	3.77	6.42			
T ₂	Trichoderma viride @ 5%	0.39	3.09	4.61			
T ₃	NSKE @ 5%	0.59	3.82	6.5			
T_4	Pseudomonas flourescens @ 5%	0.45	3.72	6.19			
T ₅	Bavistin @ 0.2%	0.44	3.45	5.89			
T ₆	Thiram @ 0.2%	0.44	3.29	5.22			
T ₇	Neem oil @ 5%	0.16	2.49	3.29			
F- test		S	S	S			
S.E. ±		0.048	0.161	0.327			
C.D. (P = 0.05)		0.103	0.342	0.693			

Table 3 : Cost benefit ratio								
Sr. No.	Treatments	Yield of q/ha	Cost of yield	Total cost of yield (Rs.)	Common cost (Rs.)	Treatment cost (Rs.)	Total cost	C:B ratio
1.	T ₁ T. harzianum @ 5%	5.95	11,000 Rs./q	65,450/-	51,766/-	640/-	52,406/-	1:1.25
2.	$T_2 T$. viride @ 5%	5.93	11,000 Rs./q	65,230/-	51,766/-	640/-	52,406/-	1:1.24
3.	T ₃ NSKE @ 5%	8.44	11,000 Rs./q	92,840/-	51,766/-	680/-	52,446/-	1:1.77
4.	T ₄ P. flourescens @5%	7.37	11,000 Rs./q	81,070/-	51,766/-	640/-	52,406/-	1:1.55
5.	T ₅ Bavistin @ 4g/kg seed	10.5	11,000 Rs./q	1,15,500/-	51,766/-	672/-	52,438/-	1:2.20
6.	T ₆ Thiram @ 4g/kg seed	7.44	11,000 Rs./q	81,840/-	51,766/-	936/-	52,702/-	1:1.55
7.	T7 Neem oil @5%	10.44	11,000 Rs./q	1,14,840/-	51,766/-	1,360/-	53,126/-	1:2.16
8.	T ₀ Control	5.93	11,000 Rs./q	65,230/-	51,766/-		51,766/-	1:1.3

Internat. J. Plant Protec., 8(1) Apr., 2015: 45-48 HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

Conclusion :

In the present study, on the basis of observation, it was found that for controlling leaf spot diseases of ground Bavistin 50 WP @ 0.2 per cent (17.68) was the best effective in comparison to other treatments followed by neem oil (18.29) as effective next to chemical and even the cost benefit ratio of Bavistin (1:1.55) was higher than that of using the neem oil (1:1.3) for seed treatment. Hence, from the present study it can be concluded that neem oil in comparison to chemical fungicides like Bavistin, can be used effectively to reduce the disease intensity as both are non-significant to each other and got better yield similar to that with the use of chemicals. Even neem oil is easily available, easy to use, will be safer, will not harm non-targeted organisms, biodegradable and is effectively highly cost benefited.

REFERENCES

AgriHunt (2011). Tikka disease of groundnut, 1-2pp.

Angadi, C.C., Motagi, B.N., Naidu, G.K. and Shashidhar, T.R. (2013). Selection systems for late leaf spot resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, 6(1): 128-130.

Anonymous (2012) Groundnuts oiling the economy. *Facts for you*, Market Survey.

Bandara, B.M.R., Kumar, N.S. and Samaranayake, K.M.S. (1989). An antifungal constituent from the stembark of *Butea* monosperma. J. Ethnopharmacology, **25** (1): 73-75.

Diop, N., Beghin, J. and Sewadeh, M. (2004). *Groundnut policies. Global trade dynamics and the impact of trade liberalization.* World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3226pp.

Dubey, L.N., Das, K.K. and Hazarika, D.K. (1995). Effectiveness of some fungicides to control leaf spot and rust diseases of groundnut. *Indian J. Mycol. & Pl. Pathol.*, **25** (3): 329-330.

Ganapathy, T. and Narayanasamy, P. (1990). Effect of plant products on the incidence of major diseases of groundnut. *Internat. Arachis Newslett.*, **7** : 20-21.

Ghewande, M.P. and Misra, D.P. (1983). Groundnut rust: A challenge to meet. *Seeds & Farms*, 9(6) : 12-15.

Ingale, S. and Shrivastava, S.K. (2011). Nutritional study of new variety of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) JL-24 seeds. *African J. Food Sci.*, **5**(8): 490-498.

Jha, Ankur, Tiwari, Shashi and Kumar, Anil (2013). Effect of bio-pesticides and fungicides on tikka disease of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, **6**(2) : 425-427.

Meena, B. (2011). Effect of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pfl talcbased formulation under different storage periods against late leaf spot of groundnut. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **4**(1): 137-139.

Moradia, A.M. (2012). Effect of source of varietal resistance against of *Macrophomina phaseolina* on groundnut. *Internat. J. Pl. Protec.*, 5(2): 438-439.

Natarajan, M.R. and Lalithakumari, D. (1987). Antifungal activity of the leaf extract of *Lawsonia inermis* on *Dreschslera oryzae*. *Indian Phytopath.*, **40**, 390-395.

Nduagu, C., Ekefan, E.J. and Nwankiti, A.O. (2008). Effect of some crude plant extracts on growth of *Colletotrichum capsici* (Synd.) Butler & Bisby, causal agent of pepper anthracnose. *J. Appl. Biosciences*, **6** (2): 184-190.

Noriel, L.M. and Robles, R.P. (1990). Fungicidal activity of *Portulaca oleracea* extract against *Helminthosporium maydis* Wisik and Miyake in corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Philippine J. Weed Sci.*, 17: 26-32.

Siddaramaiah, A.L., Prasad, Krishna, K.S. and Hegde, R.K. (1977). Chemical control of groundnut rust. *Pesticides*, 11(12): 38-39.

Singh, C.S. and Baiswar, A.K.P. (2007). Efficacy of single spray of fungicides on early leaf spot (*Cercospora arachidicola*) of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **77**(3) : 201-202.

Srinivas, T., Rao M.S., Reddy, P.S. and Reddy, P.N. (2000). Comparative effects of plant extracts and chemicals for the management of leaf spot of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), *Tropical Agric.*, 77(1): 58-60.

Twumasi, J.K. (1993). Field performance of some selected fungicides in the control of *Cercospora* leaf spot disease of groundnut (*Arachis hypogae* L.) in Ghana. *Ghana J. Agric. Sci.*, 24-27 : 105-111.

Tiwari, R.K.S., Chandravanshi, S.S. and Ojha, B.M. (2005). Efficacy of extracts of medicinal plant species on growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* root in rot in tomato. *J. Mycol. & Pl. Pathol.*, **34** : 461-464.

WEBLIOGRAPHY

FAOSTAT (2011). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *www.faostat.fao.org*

