# Nutrient management option for maximizing productivity and profitability of rice – rice system

A. UPENDRA RAO\*, K.M.D. MURTHY, T.V. SRIDHAR AND D. SRINIVAS Andhra Pradesh Rice Research Institute (A.N.G.R.A.U.), MARUTERU (A.P.) INDIA

**Abstract :** Field experiments conducted consecutively for three years during *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons on Godavari alluvial soils revealed that during *Kharif*, application of 75 per cent recommended NPK coupled with 25 per cent recommended N through green manure recorded the higher grain yield. During *Rabi*, substitution of 50 per cent N through any of the organic sources to *Kharif* followed by application 100 per cent RDF to *Rabi* resulted in significantly higher grain yield. Substitution of 50 per cent rec. N through green manure during *Kharif* and application of 100 per cent RDF to *Rabi* crop recorded higher system grain yield (12315 kg/ha) as well as protein yield(862 kg/ha) and gross returns (Rs 73890/- per ha), Where as the net returns and B:C ratio of the system were higher with substitution of 25 per cent rec. N through green manure during *Kharif* and application of 75 per cent RDF to *Rabi* and was closely followed by 100 per cent RDF during both the seasons.

Key Words : Rice, Nutrient management, Grain yield, Economics

View Point Article : Upendra Rao, A., Murthy, K.M.D., Sridhar, T.V. and Srinivas, D. (2012). Nutrient management option for maximizing productivity and profitability of rice – rice system. *Internat. J. agric. Sci.*, 8(2): 486-490.

Article History : Received : 20.03.2012; Revised : 03.05.2012; Accepted : 28.05.2012

### INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the main staple food crop of India. In modern agriculture, efficient nutrient management has emerged as one of the most important factors in sustaining high production levels, besides conserving soil fertility of intensive cropping systems. Intensive cultivation of land without conservation of soil fertility would lead to springing up of deserts (Swaminathan, 1987). Continuous use of high level of chemical fertilizers had led to soil degradation problems causing serious stagnation and declining productivity of various rice ecosystems. Integrated nutrient management being an information intensive and location specific, achieves a better synchronization of nutrient release with the crop needs, which is a key step in realizing the production targets on sustainable basis. Keeping the above points in view, the present study was undertaken to find out an effective nutrient management option for rice-rice system.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted on rice (Oryza sativa

L.) consecutively for three years during *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons of 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08 on Godavari alluvials (Vertic chromusters) at Andhra Pradesh Rice Research Institute, Maruteru, A.P. India (26.38° N, 84. 44° E and 5 m above mean sea level). The soil was clay loam having pH 7.1, organic carbon 0.9 per cent, available  $P_2O_5$  38 kg/ha and  $K_2O$  344 kg/ha. The trial consisted of twelve treatments (Table A) replicated thrice in Randomised Block Design.

MTU 1001 (135 days duration) during *Kharif* and MTU 1010 (120 days duration) during *Rabi* were the test varieties planted 24 to 26 days old seedlings at a spacing of 20 cm x 15 cm during *Kharif* 15 x 15 cm during *Rabi* with 2-3 seedlings per hill. Weeds were controlled by application of pre emergence herbicide pretilachlore @ 0.75 kg a.i per hectare followed by one hand weeding at 40 days after transplanting. Water was maintained at a depth of 2 cm up to panicle initiation and 5 cm thereafter up to one week before harvest. The field was drained before application of fertilizers and one week before harvest. Manures and fertilizers were applied as per the treatmental requirement through urea, SSP, MOP. Entire P and K and 1/3 recommended N was applied as basal, remaining

\* Author for correspondence (Present Address): Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Naira, SRIKAKULAM (A.P.) INDIA

A. UPENDRA RAO, K.M.D. MURTHY, T.V. SRIDHAR AND D. SRINIVAS

| Table a : Ti    | reatments   |   |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Treatment       | Kharif  | Rabi  |
| $T_1$           | No fertilizer, no organic manure                                    | No fertilizer, no organic manure                          |
| $T_2$           | 50% Rec. NPK dose through fertilizers                               | 50% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                          |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 50% Rec. NPK dose through fertilizers                               | 100% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                         |
| $T_4$           | 75% Rec. NPK dose through fertilizers                               | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                          |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 100% Rec. NPK dose through fertilizers (60-40-40 N P K )            | 100% Rec. NPK dose through fertilizers (120-60-40 N P K ) |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 50% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 50% Rec. N through FYM           | 100% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                         |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 25% Rec. N through FYM           | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                          |
| $T_8$           | 50% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 50% Rec. N through paddy straw   | 100% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                         |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 25% Rec. N through paddy straw   | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                          |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 50% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 50% Rec. N through green manure. | 100% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                         |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers + 25% Rec. N through green manure. | 75% Rec. NPK through fertilizers                          |
| T <sub>12</sub> | Conventional farmer's practice (60-40-0 NPK)                        | Conventional farmer's practice (120-40-0 NPK)             |

N was applied in two splits at active tillering and panicle initiation. Organic manures were applied based on their nutrient content and incorporated two weeks before planting. The experiments received uniform plant protection and cultural management practices throughout the period of crop growth.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Three years pooled data reveal that during *Kharif*, application of 75 per cent recommended NPK coupled with 25 per cent N through green manure recorded the higher grain yield (5731 kg/ha) which was 6 per cent higher than 100 per cent RDF (Table 1). Subashchandra *et al.* (2001) also reported similar findings *i.e.* application of 25 per cent N through GM + 75 per cent NPK through fertilizer recorded comparable yield of rice with that of 100 per cent recommended NPK. The

increase in yield was mainly due to more number of panicles (362 /m<sup>2</sup>), higher number of filled grains (143 grains/panicle) and higher panicle weight (3.6 g). Application of 25 or 50 per cent N through green manure + remaining N through urea significantly increased panicles m<sup>-2</sup>, grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight over 100 per cent N through fertilizer (Prasad, 2000). Mineralization of green manures ensured a continuous supply of NH<sup>+</sup>-N, which was preferred and readily absorbed by the low land rice crop, resulting in better growth, yield structure and yield was reported by Siddeswaran (1992). However, substitution of 25 and 50 per cent recommended N through sesbania green manure produced grain yield at par to substitution of 25 rec. N through FYM and 100 per cent RDF. Pandey et al. (2001) reported that green manuring combined with prilled urea proved better than prilled urea alone increasing grain, straw yields and the yields were comparable with that of received with FYM. Substitution of 50 rec. N through FYM

| Table 1 : Effect of nutrient management on yield attributes and yield of <i>Kharif</i> rice (Pooled data three years) |                     |                                |                        |                    |  |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Treatments  | Grain yield (kg/ha) | No of panicles /m <sup>2</sup> | Filled grains /panicle | Panicle weight (g) |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> - No fertilizer (Control)  | 2194                | 204                            | 88                     | 2.27               |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> -50% RDF   | 4088                | 280                            | 108                    | 2.70               |  |
| T <sub>3-</sub> 50% RDF   | 4132                | 296                            | 110                    | 2.93               |  |
| T <sub>4-</sub> 75% RDF   | 4799                | 316                            | 122                    | 3.12               |  |
| $T_{5}$ -100% RDF(60-40-40 NPK )  | 5385                | 344                            | 133                    | 3.34               |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> -50% RDF + 50% FYM- N  | 5050                | 345                            | 132                    | 3.52               |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> -50% RDF + 25% FYM- N  | 5530                | 352                            | 135                    | 3.60               |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> -50% RDF + 50% P.S- N  | 4484                | 309                            | 120                    | 3.17               |  |
| T <sub>9</sub> -50% RDF + 25% P.S- N*   | 4744                | 321                            | 123                    | 3.27               |  |
| T <sub>10</sub> -50% RDF + 50% GM- N*   | 5463                | 319                            | 136                    | 3.60               |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> -50% RDF + 25% GM- N  | 5731                | 362                            | 143                    | 3.60               |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> -Farmers practice(60-40-0 NPK)  | 4506                | 296                            | 113                    | 2.90               |  |
| S.E. ±  | 131                 | 14.3                           | 3.3                    | 0.13               |  |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 370                 | 42                             | 8.0                    | 0.39               |  |

\*PS-N = Nitrogen through paddy straw ; GM-N = Nitrogen through green manure

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | June, 2012| Vol. 8 | Issue 2 | 486-490 [487] Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

recorded significantly lower grain yield compared to substitution of 25 rec. N through FYM, green manure and 100 per cent RDF. Substitution of 25 and 50 per cent recommended N through paddy straw showed significantly poor performance as compared to green manure/FYM or 100 per cent RDF. It shows the possibility to substitute 25 per cent of the fertilizer needs of *Kharif* rice thorough organic sources of N particularly green manuring or FYM. Paddy straw integration was found ineffective in sustaining the production levels of rainy season rice. Wider C:N ratio and higher cellulolignin content of paddy straw results in immobilization of N might be the reason behind this. Increasing doses of NPK fertilizers from 0 to 50 per cent, 50 to75 per cent and 75 to100 per cent significantly increased the grain yield by each increment and the increase was 86 per cent, 33 per cent and 26 per cent, respectively indicating the need of 100 per cent fertilizer application.

During *Rabi*, substitution of 50 per cent N through any of the organic sources to *Kharif* crop followed by application 100 per cent RDF to *Rabi* crop resulted significantly higher grain yield as compared to substitution of 25 per cent N through any of the organic sources to *Kharif* crop followed by application 75 per cent RDF to *Rabi* crop (Table 2). It was possible to substitute 25 to 50 per cent needs of *Kharif* rice by FYM without any adverse effect on the total productivity of a rice based cropping system (Hegde, 1996). (Blaise and Prasad, 1996) explained the reason for this as rice crop supplied with adequate N produces more number of tillers, leaf area, dry

| Treatments                                      | Grain yield (kg/ha) | No. of panicles /m <sup>2</sup> | Filled grains /panicle | Panicle weight (g) |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> - No fertilizer (Control)        | 1850                | 186                             | 63                     | 1.66               |
| T <sub>2</sub> -50% RDF *                       | 3758                | 317                             | 93                     | 2.10               |
| T <sub>3-</sub> 100% RDF                        | 6010                | 393                             | 111                    | 2.53               |
| T <sub>4-</sub> 75% RDF                         | 5486                | 362                             | 113                    | 2.37               |
| T <sub>5</sub> -100% RDF (120-60-40 NPK)        | 6576                | 395                             | 132                    | 2.57               |
| T <sub>6</sub> -100% RDF                        | 6848                | 412                             | 155                    | 2.78               |
| T <sub>7-</sub> 75% RDF                         | 6368                | 391                             | 132                    | 2.42               |
| T <sub>8</sub> -100% RDF                        | 6853                | 409                             | 150                    | 2.69               |
| T <sub>9-</sub> 75% RDF                         | 6373                | 383                             | 133                    | 2.44               |
| T <sub>10</sub> -100% RDF                       | 6852                | 404                             | 146                    | 2.68               |
| T <sub>11-</sub> 75% RDF                        | 6306                | 383                             | 128                    | 2.34               |
| T <sub>12</sub> - Farmers Practice(12-60-0 NPK) | 5491                | 370                             | 99                     | 2.05               |
| S.E. ±  | 117                 | 21.7                            | 6.6                    | 0.08               |
| C.D. (P=0.05)                                   | 331                 | 63                              | 19                     | 0.24               |

\*RDF= Recommended dose of Fertilizer

#### Table 3 : Effect of nutrient management on system yield and economics of rice-rice system (Pooled data three years)

Table 2 · Effect of nutrient management on yield attributes and yield of *Rabi* rice (Pooled data three years)

| Treatments      | System grain yield<br>(kg/ha) | System protein yield<br>(kg/ha) | Gross returns<br>(Rs./ha) | Net returns<br>(Rs./ha) | B:C ratio |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| T <sub>1</sub>  | 4044                          | 283                             | 24264                     | -3714                   | 0.87      |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 7846                          | 549                             | 47076                     | 17888                   | 1.61      |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 10142                         | 710                             | 60852                     | 31664                   | 2.08      |
| $T_4$           | 10285                         | 720                             | 61710                     | 31737                   | 2.06      |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 11961                         | 837                             | 71766                     | 41368                   | 2.36      |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 11898                         | 833                             | 71388                     | 37226                   | 2.09      |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 11898                         | 833                             | 71388                     | 38294                   | 2.16      |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 11337                         | 794                             | 68022                     | 35584                   | 2.10      |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 11117                         | 778                             | 66702                     | 36460                   | 2.21      |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 12315                         | 862                             | 73890                     | 41218                   | 2.26      |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 12037                         | 843                             | 72222                     | 41806                   | 2.37      |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 9997                          | 700                             | 59982                     | 30284                   | 2.02      |
| S.E. ±          | 192                           | 94                              | 1567                      | 1034                    | 0.06      |
| C.D. (P=0.05)   | 540                           | 264                             | 4574                      | 3018                    | 0.17      |

Internat. J. agric. Sci. | June, 2012| Vol. 8 | Issue 2 | 486-490 [488] Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

matter, yield attributes and there by higher yields. However it was at par to application of 100 per cent RDF during both the seasons. It shows that the yield attributes like panicles /m<sup>2</sup>. filled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight were higher with substitution of 50 per cent N through any of the organic sources to *Kharif* crop followed by application 100 per cent RDF to *Rabi* crop. The performance of all the organic sources applied to *Kharif* crop were at par during *Rabi*. Increasing doses of NPK fertilizers from 0 to 50 per cent, 50 to75 per cent and 75 to100 per cent registered very significant increase in grain yield by each increment and the increase was 103 per cent, 94 per cent and 58 per cent, respectively. Which shows very high response to the fertilizer application during *Rabi* compared to *Kharif*.

Rice-rice system responded very well to different fertilizer treatments as all the fertilizer treatments were significantly superior over unfertilized control. Substitution of 50 per cent reccommended N by green manuring during Kharif and application of 100 per cent RDF to Rabi crop recorded higher system grain yield (12315 kg/ha) as well as protein yield (862 kg/ha) (Table 3). Organic materials might have modified and increased the soil physical conditions to enhance the grain yield of rice as suggested by Larsen and Clapp (1984). However, substitution of 25 or 50 per cent rec. N through green manure or FYM produced similar effect on system grain yield and were at par to 100 per cent RDF. Importance of supplying part of N requirement through farm available organic sources for realizing higher yield and economic returns was also reported by Abrol and Katyal, (1990). On the other hand substitution of either 25 per cent or 50 per cent reccommended N through paddy straw proved inferior as compared to green manuring/FYM and recorded significantly lower grain yield. Increasing doses of NPK fertilizers from 0 to 50 per cent, 75 per cent and to 100 per cent increased grain yields by 94 per cent, 154 per cent and 196 per cent, respectively. Deleting of K from fertilizer schedule reduced the grain yield to an extent of 16.4 per cent. Similar findings of omission of K fertilisers resulted in reduction of grain yield to the tune of 15.3 per cent and 16.6 per cent during Kharif and Rabi, respectively was reported by Rao et al. (2007).

Economic analysis of the system reveals that substitution of 50 per cent reccommended N by green manuring during *Kharif* and application of 100 per cent RDF to *Rabi* crop recorded higher gross returns (Rs. 73890/- per ha), however, it was at par substitution of 25 or 50 per cent reccommended N through green manure or FYM during *Kharif* followed by 100 per cent RDF during *Rabi* and 100 per cent RDF during both the seasons. Where as the net returns and B:C ratio of the system were higher with substitution of 25 per cent reccommended N through green manuring during *Kharif* and application of 75 per cent RDF to *Rabi* and was closely followed by 100 per cent RDF during both the seasons and substitution of 50 per cent Rec. N by sesbania green manuring. Similar findings of higher gross returns with green manure integration and at par net returns with green manure integration and 100 per cent RDF to rice were reported by Rao *et al.* (2006). Since the cost of N supplied through chemical fertilizer was relatively cheaper than any other organic sources of N.

Thus the results reveal that substitution of 50 per cent reccommended N of *Kharif* rice through organic sources and 100 per cent fertilization to *Rabi* rice through minerals fertilisers was the best fertilisers option in rice-rice system in Godavari delta and significant yield reduction was observed with any reduction in fertiliser dose to either of the seasons. The study also indicates that rice –rice system in Godavari delta requires application of 100 per cent recommended dose of fertilisers during both seasons to double the system productivity as compared to no fertiliser application. The system productivity was declined by 29 per cent and 18 per cent when the recommended fertiliser dose was reduced to 50 per cent and 25 per cent, respectively.

#### REFERENCES

**Abrol, I. P. and Katyal, J.C. (1990).** Proceedings of 1<sup>st</sup> International symposium on Natural Resources Management for Sustainable Agriculture, **28**: 235-263.

Blaise, D. and Prasad, R. (1996). Relative efficiency of modified urea fertilizers in wetland rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 41 (4): 373-378.

**Hegde, D. M. (1996).** Integrated nutrient supply on crop productivity and soil fertility in rice-rice cropping system. *Indian J. Agron.*, **41**(1): 1-8.

Larsen, W.E. and Clapp, C.E. (1984). Inorganic matter and Rice, International Rice Research Institute, Manila, PHILIPPINES. 363-386.

Pandey, N., Upadhyay., S.K. Joshi, B.S. and Tripathi, R.S. (2001). Integrated use of organic manures and inorganic N fertilizers for the cultivation of lowland rice in vertisol. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 35(2): 112-114

**Prasad, P.V.N. (2000).** Nitrogen management for rice-based cropping systems of coastal A.P. Ph. D. Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, A.P. (INDIA).

**Rao, A.U., Murthy, K.M.D. and Reddy, C.V. (2007).** Effect of site specific nutrient management on production and economics of rice in rice-rice system in Godavari delta of Andhra Pradesh. Extended summeries 3<sup>rd</sup> national symposium on Integrated Farming Systems. 348- 349.

**Rao, A.U., Reddy, B.B., Reddy, M.D. and Reddy, M.S. (2006).** Effect of Integrated nitrogen management practices and age of seedlings on total productivity and profitability of late planted rice green gram system. Proceedings of national seminar agricultural diversification in the changing grolbal Scenario –A paradigm shift. 170-172.

**Siddeswaran, K. (1992).** Integrated nitrogen management with green manure and grain legumes in rice-based cropping system. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (INDIA).

**Subashchandra, Ghosh, B.N., Singh,P. and Singh, R.D. (2001).** Effect of varying fertilization on yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system and soil fertility status. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **71** (10): 631-633. Swamianthan, M.S. (1987). In: International Symposium on Sustainable Agriculture, IRRI, PHILIPPINES, May 25-29.