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#### Abstract

Twenty five years ( 1980-2004) monthly rainfall data collected at ICAR RESEARCH COMPLEX FOR NEH Region, Mizoram centre, were analysed for the probabilwastic distributuion of rainfall. The data recorded at the centre, were arranged in descending order to find out the rank order number in Doorenboss and Pruitt formula. The data analysed revealed a large variation in monthly rainfall distributuion pattern of Kolasib district. At any probability level, the minimum assured monthly rainfall pattern varied widely.
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## INTRODUCTION

The success or failure of farming in this area was intimately related to the prevailing weather conditions. Rainfall is one of the most important factor influencing the crop growth. Rainfall influences many farming operations such as the preparation of land, sowing, harvesting and threshing. Several weather components affect the crop growth hence crop weather relationships is of immense practical value. Selection of crops and cropping patterns are based on the water availability, and on the number of wet months i.e., those in which rainfall exceeds evapotranspiration etc. Without proper information about climatic factors viz., rainfall, temperature, humidity, crops introduction and upbringing may not give the desired production. It is an established fact that water requirement of the crops can be fully or partly met by rainfall. In a state like Mizoram management of available water is of paramount importance. The state is mostly dependent on rain
water resources for its agricultural operations.
Kolasib district is one of the five agricultural important districts of Mizoram. All the major farming operations are mostly rain dependent. Proper knowledge about rainfall distributuion pattern can be very useful for planning various agronomic operations like preparation of land, manuring, sowing, weeding, transplanting, harvesting threshing, drying etc. An important aspect of decision making process was dependent on distributuion of rainfall. It is very pertinent for a farmer to know how much rainfall can be expected atleast in a time interval. In the light of these facts, the present paper determines amount of rainfall which can be predicted at any level of probability for Kolasib district.

## Materials and Methods

Twenty five years (1980-2004) monthly rainfall data collected at the centre were used to determine the

[^0]probabilwastic distributuion of rainfall. The data were arranged in descending magnitude and each record was assigned a number called Rank Number (m). Ranking order method of Doorenboss and Pruit (1975) was then used to make rain plotting position. For highest value of rainfall data it was given rank number 1 and the last rank number for the lowest rainfall data. Probability numbers $\mathrm{Fa}(\mathrm{m})$ in per cent was calculated for these rank numbers by Doorenboss and Pruitt (1975) formula :
$\mathrm{F} \mathbf{a}(\mathrm{m})=100 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{n}+\mathbf{1}$
where $\mathrm{n}=$ number of records $($ in present case 25$)$
$\mathrm{m}=$ rank number

## ReSults and Discussion

The rainfall received and recorded for twenty five years period (1980-2004) for Kolasib is given in Table 1. A perusal of data presented in Table 1 indicated that the mean monthly
rainfall varied widely. During lean periods i.e. from November to February mean rainfall received for twenty five years varied from $18.7,32.4,9$ and 35.7 mm , respectively. However, the eight months received heavy downpour, where mean monthly rainfall varied from 116.9 mm in the month of March to 450.8 mm in the month of August. For the months of April, May, June, July, September and October mean monthly variations were for $218.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 355.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 388.9 \mathrm{~mm}, 448.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 323.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 164.7 mm , respectively. The perusal of data also indicated that rainfall was not evenly distributed and the intensity during the months from May to October was very high. This was the period when the district experiences major landslides and landslips.

A perusal of Fig. 1 indicated that rainfall in Kolasib was mainly concentrated during monsoon months viz., June, July, August and September. In these four months of monsoonal period, the district received average of 64 per cent of the total annual rainfall. However, one unique thing about the district was, it received average 27 per cent of its total annual rainfall in the pre-monsoonal months of March, April and May. In the

Table 1 : Rainfall data for twenty five years(1980-2004) recorded at ICAR Mizoram Centre,Kolasib

| Years | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 3.4 | 33.2 | 156.5 | 344.3 | 464.4 | 590.5 | 1020.9 | 314 | 383.4 | 162.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 44.8 | 45.8 | 121.9 | 302.4 | 425.2 | 347.8 | 415.9 | 381.8 | 241 | 57.7 | 59.1 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 105.9 | 84.95 | 426.3 | 250.8 | 441.4 | 443.8 | 453.92 | 175.63 | 139.68 | 24.29 | 0 |
| 1983 | 49.63 | 40.12 | 348.39 | 356.63 | 406.11 | 347.3 | 424.17 | 680.79 | 386.16 | 177.91 | 11.18 | 47.5 |
| 1984 | 4.47 | 0 | 6.15 | 98.96 | 711.1 | 248.38 | 277.48 | 203.4 | 224.35 | 271.86 | 0 | 6.15 |
| 1985 | 5.03 | 40.03 | 58.42 | 128.5 | 287.32 | 614.37 | 292.86 | 328.85 | 307.99 | 68.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 7.5 | 15 | 11 | 327 | 120 | 297 | 522.5 | 442 | 297 | 301 | 68 | 0 |
| 1987 | 7.2 | 0 | 131 | 386 | 104 | 385 | 390 | 476 | 430 | 99 | 136 | 6 |
| 1988 | 0 | 35 | 140 | 120.2 | 374.5 | 251.1 | 358.6 | 236.8 | 433.4 | 219.2 | 85.8 | 49.8 |
| 1989 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 154.4 | 203.2 | 151.8 | 446 | 459 | 296 | 193.8 | 2.6 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 34.6 | 173.2 | 338.6 | 204.6 | 294 | 306.8 | 471 | 224 | 218.4 | 57.5 | 16 |
| 1991 | 26 | 70 | 128.6 | 318.45 | 886 | 438.25 | 138.45 | 453.49 | 298.75 | 195.7 | 70 | 86.5 |
| 1992 | 0 | 44.25 | 55 | 151 | 360 | 238.75 | 463.53 | 554.8 | 386.74 | 51.25 | 0.5 | 19.9 |
| 1993 | 4.5 | 101.25 | 110.5 | 167.25 | 526.4 | 510.7 | 680.5 | 524.85 | 340.5 | 115.6 | 12 | 0 |
| 1994 | 7.5 | 18.5 | 65.5 | 84 | 88.3 | 464 | 441 | 380.5 | 157 | 55 | 1 | 0 |
| 1995 | 1.5 | 23 | 113.8 | 152.5 | 301.5 | 214.5 | 207 | 376 | 349 | 154 | 128.5 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 18.5 | 229.25 | 338 | 430 | 304 | 217.5 | 161.5 | 179.25 | 98.25 | 28 | 1.5 |
| 1997 | 4 | 22.5 | 124.25 | 106.5 | 256.25 | 213.5 | 637.75 | 334 | 529.5 | 60.5 | 0.75 | 88.5 |
| 1998 | 35 | 25.5 | 210.1 | 141.5 | 262.5 | 387 | 348.6 | 401.7 | 102.3 | 88 | 35 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 23.6 | 434.5 | 278.25 | 439.5 | 461 | 328.25 | 264.25 | 9 | 1.5 |
| 2000 | 16.75 | 37.25 | 219.25 | 435.2 | 455.9 | 315.2 | 307.3 | 736.8 | 346.6 | 218 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 139.5 | 114 | 163.2 | 358.8 | 844.9 | 594.4 | 382.2 | 447.5 | 286.5 | 71.5 | 67.03 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 212.4 | 544.5 | 335 | 347.7 | 547.6 | 114 | 205.2 | 8.24 | 4.2 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 18.2 | 165 | 79.4 | 96.7 | 468.5 | 627.5 | 667.5 | 587.6 | 219.8 | 0 | 74 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 342 | 741.5 | 852 | 840 | 514 | 195 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Mean | 9.0 | 35.7 | 116.9 | 218.2 | 355.8 | 388.9 | 448.1 | 450.8 | 323.2 | 164.7 | 32.4 | 18.7 |
| Stand. Dev. | 14.1 | 34.4 | 79.7 | 121.6 | 184.9 | 165.3 | 197.4 | 157.5 | 125.3 | 76.2 | 40.3 | 29.7 |
| C V (\%) | 157.2 | 96.4 | 68.2 | 55.7 | 52.0 | 42.5 | 44.0 | 34.9 | 38.8 | 46.3 | 124.5 | 158.2 |
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Fig. 1: Mean monthly rainfall distributuion pattern based on 25 years data
post monsoonal period ie from October to February the district received 9 per cent of the total annual rainfall. At both pre and post monsoon period there was high variability in the rainfall received. Mostly eighty to ninety per cent of the total rainfall in the country occurs during the south-west monsoon season and the success of agriculture in India, depends primarily on the timely onset, the proper amount and the distribution of
rains. The dates of the onset of the S-W monsoon, its intensity in different parts of the country and the the distribution of rain display large variations in time and space. The S-W monsoon normally breaks in the district in the first week of June (Ramdas, 1966). Apart from S-W monsoon the region also receives rains from N-E monsoon during February -March and May months. But all the major agricultural operations start in second fortnight of March itself, after burning of Jhums. Sometimes, sowing of crops in Jhums earlier than March was also observed in Kolasib. In the context of jhum land there was no definite delineation of the slope etc. As per the convenience of the farmers, sowing was carried out. However, the workload was less in the months of October to January (Fig. 2) which also coincides with the lean period. Again from the months of January onwards, the arduous task of slashing the jungle begins. Actual farming operations starts after the completion of burning and cleaning in the burnt jhums i.e. from $15^{\text {th }}$ of March. This is the period when the state experiences heavy hailstorms and showers.

Distributuion of monthly rainfall in Kolasib district can be classified as:

- Pre- monsoonal months (March, April and May) -

Table 2 : Estimation of minimum assured rainfall at a particular probability level

| Probability \% | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.85 | 49 | 139 | 348 | 435.2 | 886 | 844 | 102 | 840 | 587 | 301 | 136 | 88.5 |
| 7.69 | 44.8 | 105 | 229 | 426.3 | 711.1 | 741 | 852 | 736 | 529 | 286 | 128 | 86.5 |
| 11.54 | 35 | 101 | 219 | 386 | 544.5 | 614 | 680 | 680 | 514 | 271 | 85.8 | 74 |
| 15.3 | 26 | 70 | 210 | 356.6 | 526.4 | 590 | 637 | 667 | 447 | 264 | 71.5 | 67.0 |
| 19.23 | 16.75 | 45.8 | 173 | 344.3 | 464.4 | 510.7 | 627.5 | 554.8 | 433 | 219 | 70 | 49.8 |
| 23.08 | 7.5 | 44.25 | 165 | 338.6 | 455.9 | 468.5 | 594.4 | 547.6 | 430 | 219 | 68 | 47.5 |
| 26.92 | 7.5 | 40.1 | 156 | 338 | 434.5 | 464 | 522 | 524.8 | 386 | 218 | 59.1 | 19.9 |
| 30.77 | 7.2 | 40 | 140 | 327 | 430 | 441 | 463.5 | 476 | 386 | 218 | 57.5 | 16 |
| 34.62 | 6.5 | 37.25 | 131 | 318.45 | 425.2 | 438.2 | 446 | 471 | 383 | 205 | 35 | 6.15 |
| 38.46 | 5.03 | 35 | 128.6 | 302.4 | 406.11 | 387 | 443 | 461 | 349 | 195 | 28 | 6 |
| 42.31 | 4.5 | 34.6 | 124.2 | 212.4 | 374.5 | 385 | 441 | 459 | 346 | 195 | 24.2 | 4.2 |
| 46.15 | 4.47 | 33.2 | 121 | 167.25 | 360 | 347 | 439.5 | 453 | 340 | 193 | 12 | 1.5 |
| 50 | 4 | 25.5 | 114 | 163.2 | 358.8 | 347 | 424 | 453.4 | 328 | 177 | 11.1 | 1.5 |
| 53.85 | 3.4 | 25 | 113.8 | 154.4 | 342 | 335 | 415 | 442 | 307 | 162 | 9 | 0 |
| 57.69 | 1.5 | 23 | 110.5 | 152.5 | 301.5 | 315.2 | 390 | 401.7 | 298 | 154 | 8.24 | 0 |
| 61.54 | 0 | 22.5 | 91 | 151 | 287.32 | 304 | 358 | 382.2 | 297 | 139 | 2.6 | 0 |
| 65.38 | 0 | 18.5 | 84.9 | 141.5 | 262.5 | 297 | 348.6 | 381 | 296 | 115 | 1 | 0 |
| 69.23 | 0 | 18.5 | 65.5 | 128.5 | 256.25 | 294 | 347.7 | 380.5 | 241 | 99 | 0.75 | 0 |
| 73.08 | 0 | 18.2 | 64 | 120.2 | 250.8 | 278.2 | 307.3 | 376 | 224 | 98.2 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 76.92 | 0 | 15 | 58.4 | 106.5 | 204.6 | 251 | 306 | 334 | 224 | 88 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 80.77 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 98.96 | 203.2 | 248 | 292 | 328 | 179 | 68 | 0 | 0 |
| 84.62 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 98 | 120 | 238 | 277 | 314 | 175 | 60.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 88.46 | 0 | 0 | 6.15 | 84 | 104 | 214.5 | 217.5 | 236 | 157 | 57 | 0 | 0 |
| 92.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79.4 | 96.7 | 213.5 | 207 | 203 | 114 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 96.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.6 | 88.3 | 151. | 138.4 | 161.5 | 102. | 51.2 | 0 | 0 |
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Fig. 2 : Jhum calendar showing major operations carried out in Jhum based on rainfall received

Twenty seven per cent of the total rainfall.

- Monsoonal months (June, July, August and September) - Sixty four per cent of the total rainfall.

Post- monsoonal months (October, November, December, January and February )- Nine per cent of the total rainfall

## Prediction of rainfall at different probability level:

A perusal of data presented in Table 2 indicated that at a particular level of probability rainfall predicted varied month wise. Higher percentage of rainfall can be expected at lower level of probability. Lesser assured rainfall can be expected at higher level of probability level. A perusal of data presented in Table 3 indicated that at 25 per cent, 50 per cent, 75 per cent, 96 per cent of probability level, minimum assured rainfall predicted for the month are $7.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 4 \mathrm{~mm}, 0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0 mm .

Similarly, at 25 per cent, 50 per cent, 75 per cent, 96 per cent of probability level for the month of May, minimum assured rainfall can be predicted as $434.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 358.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 204.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 88.3 mm , respectively. Similarly in the monsoonal months of June, July August and September, at 50 per cent, at 75 per cent, at 96 per cent of probability level, minimum assured rainfall which can be predicted are 464 mm at 25 per cent of probability level, 347.3 mm at 50 per cent of probability level, 51.1 mm at 75 per cent of probability level, 151.8 mm at 96 per cent of probability level for the month of June. For the month of July minimum assured rainfall can be predicted as 522.5 mm at 25 per cent of probability level, 424.17 mm at 50 per cent of probability level, 306.8 mm at 75 per cent of probability level, 138.45 mm at 96 per cent of probability level. Similarly for the month of August, minimum assured rainfall which can be predicted as 524.85 mm
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Table 3 : Minimum assured rainfall predicted at 25, 50, 75 and 96 per cent of probability level

| Sr. No. | Months | Minimum assured rainfall at probability level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25 (\%) | 50(\%) | 75(\%) | 96(\%) |
| 1. | January | 7.5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. | February | 40.12 | 25.5 | 15 | 0 |
| 3. | March | 156.5 | 114 | 58.42 | 0 |
| 4. | April | 338 | 163.2 | 106.5 | 23.6 |
| 5. | May | 434.5 | 358.8 | 204.6 | 88.3 |
| 6. | June | 464 | 347.3 | 251.1 | 151.8 |
| 7. | July | 522.5 | 424.17 | 306.8 | 138.45 |
| 8. | August | 524.85 | 453.49 | 334 | 161.5 |
| 9. | September | 386.74 | 328.25 | 224 | 102.3 |
| 10. | October | 218.4 | 177.91 | 88 | 51.25 |
| 11. | November | 59.1 | 11.18 | 0.05 | 0 |
| 12. | December | 19.9 | 1.5 | 0 |  |

at 25 per cent of probability level, 453.49 mm at 50 per cent of probability level, 334 mm at 75 per cent of probability level, 161.5 mm at 96 per cent of probability level. Similarly for the month of September minimum assured rainfall which can be predicted as, 386.74 mm at 25 per cent of probability level, 328.25 mm at 50 per cent of probability level, 224 mm at 75 per cent of probability level and 102.3 mm at 96 per cent of probability level.

## Conclusion:

Several crops are grown in areas without consideration of the climate much of production potential of the vast resources can be utilized by knowing the weather parameters. One very important environmental risk in a state like Mizoram which was having tropical to subtropical to sub humid climate
was of rainfall. The state is completely dependent on rain water resources received. And for management of the already depleted perched water resources for crop production was a big challenge for one and all.
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