INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 9 | ISSUE 1 | APRIL, 2016 | 120-123



RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/9.1/120-123

Screening of germplasm of pigeonpea against phytophthora stem blight

L.B. YADAV*, K.P.S. KUSHWAHA AND R.P. MAURYA

Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture ,G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, U.S. NAGAR (UTTARAKHAND) INDIA

ARITCLE INFO

Received:21.01.2015Revised:13.02.2016Accepted:27.02.2016

KEY WORDS:

Germplasm, *Phytophthora drechsleri* f. sp. *cajani*, Pigeonpea, Registance, Susceptible

ABSTRACT

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] is very important and old pulse crop, which is used in various ways. Its main use is in the form of Dal (split seed) in the Indian diet. The phytophthora stem blight caused by *Phytophthora drechsleri* f.sp. *cajani* is the third potentially important disease of pigeonpea crop after wilt and sterility mosaic wherever crop is grown during *Kharif* season mostly in northern parts of India. The present investigation was undertaken with an objective to develop disease resistant material by screening of pigeonpea germplasm against phytophthora stem bight. A screening trail was conducted by employing fourty pigeonpea germplasms, in which Only one germplasm namely ERG-65 was found resistant, however, 27 germplasms included HY-3C, KPL44, MA-06, Vipula 42, WRP-1, Pusa-992, BDN-711, RVSA-07-22, AL-1593, RVSA-07-10, GAUT-001, BSMR-853, BSMR-2, JICM-189, BSMR-579, BSMR-571, RVKT-260, KPL-43, AL-1798, RVKT-261, CORG-9701, GT-101, BRG-2, AKT-8811, RVSA-7-29, ICPL-8863, and ICPL-87119 were found moderately resistant. Resistant germplasm namely ERG-65 may be utilized as a resistant donor in developing resistant variety against the phytophthora stem blight.

How to view point the article : Yadav, L.B., Kushwaha, K.P.S. and Maurya, R.P. (2016). Screening of germplasm of pigeonpea against phytophthora stem blight. *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **9**(1):120-123.

Email: lalbahadurpatho@gmail.com

*Corresponding author:

INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] commonly known as red gram or Arhar is a major grain legume crop of the country. In India pigeonpea is the second most important food legume crop after chickpea. It occupies about 3.90 million ha with a production of 3.17 million tonnes and productivity 813 kg/ha. It accounts for about 11.8 per cent of the total pulse area and 17 per cent of total pulse production of the country. In Uttarakhand still it is very less in fact about 4 thousand ha area with a production of 3 thousand tonnes and productivity 750 kg/ha. It is multipurpose crop, being grown not only for grain but also for fuel and fodder (Nene and Shiela, 1990). Phytophthora stem blight caused *Phytophthora drechsleri* Tucker f.sp. *cajani* was first reported in India by (Williams *et al.*, 1968).

Since then, the disease has been identified in several pigeonpea growing areas and has been considered as third potentially important disease of pigeonpea in India (Kannaiyan el al., 1984). However, It is the most important production constraint in Nort-eastern part of the India (Mishra and Shukla 1987 and Chauhan et al., 2002). The characteristic symptoms of the disease appears as brown to dark brown distinctly marked lesions on base of the stem or above it. These lesions increase in size and girdle the stem causing drying and death of affected plants (Plate 1, A). At times knots are formed on the stem at the base of soil surface which is cracked later and stem or branches may break at lesion site with wind or other forces. White to pinkish brown fungal growth may appear on blighted area under congenial weather conditions (Plate 1, B).

The most effective way to manage Phytophthora stem blight would be to develop resistant cultivars. Keeping in view, the all facts introduced above and importance of the disease 40 germplasms of pigeonpea including check (ICP-7119) were evaluated to find out the resistance source which may further be exploited in resistant breeding programme.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Germplasms (40 Numbers given in Table 1) were sown in two rows in plot of 5 meter row length in a three replications in sick plot at NEBCRC Pantnagar during two successive season i.e. 2012-13 and 2013-14. Pathogenic culture was multiplied on pigeonpea infusion agar (infusion from 40 g pigeonpea seed: 20 g agar and distilled water 1000 ml) as per need of artificial inoculation. The stem of one month old plants were inoculated at base by rubbing small amount of mycelial mass with finger with 10 days old culture. The field was flooded with water immediately after inoculation. One month later after the first inoculation, disease free plants were re-inoculated to minimize the chances of escapes and maintain the humidity of the field. The effectiveness of the inoculation was also monitored by planting the susceptible cultivar ICP-7119 after every 10 test rows. The final observations were recorded after 20, 30, and 40 days of second inoculation on the basis of a 0-9 point susceptibility scale as per IBPGR and ICRISAT Descriptors 1993 and expressed as five categories, 0-10 per cent as Resistant, 10.1-30 per cent as moderately resistant, 30.1-50 per cent as tolerant, 50.1-70 per cent as moderately susceptible and 70.1-90 per cent as susceptible genotype (Nene *et al.*, 1981). Per cent of PSB susceptibility (PSB incidence %) was calculated as follows:

Phytophthora stem blight incidence % =	Number of PSB infected plants x 100
i nytophtnor a stem blight incluence // –	Total number of plants

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data presented in (Table 1 and 2) revealed that, only one germplasm namely ERG-65 (7.5%) was found resistant and twenty seven germplasms included HY3C, KPL44, MA-06, Vipula 42, WRP-1, Pusa-992, BDN-711, RVSA-07-22, AL-1593, RVSA-07-10, GAUT-001, BSMR-853, BSMR-2, JICM-189, BSMR-579, BSMR-



Plate A : Phytophthora stem blight of pigeonpea



Plate B : White fungal growth on cracked lesion of stem

SCREENING OF GERMPLASM OF PIGEONPEA AGAINST PHYTOPHTHORA STEM BLIGHT

Sr. No.	Germplasms	Pooled disease incidence (%)	Sr. No.	Germplasm	Pooled disease incidence (%)
1.	HY3C	15.79 (23.176)	21.	RVSA-07-22	17.15 (24.46)
2.	WRG53	58.62 (49.88)	22.	AL1593	10.71 (19.09)
3.	ERG65	7.50 (15.87)	23.	BRG-10-2	95.45 (78.17)
4.	KPL44	13.64 (21.66)	24.	RVSA-07-10	25.81 (30.51)
5.	IPA204	31.03 (33.84)	25.	GAUT-001	20.00 (26.55)
6.	MA-06	11.63 (19.90)	26.	BSMR-853	17.50 (24.71)
7.	Vipula 422	19.05 (25.87)	27.	BSMR-528	72.00 (58.06)
8.	WRP-1	14.58 (22.43)	28.	BSMR-2	23.81 (29.19)
9.	Pusa992	13.64 (21.66)	29.	JICM189	11.11 (19.45)
10.	BDN711	19.05 (25.87)	30.	ICP-7119	92.11 (73.76)
11.	BRG-11-1	82.61 (65.37)	31.	GT-101	20.00 (26.55)
12.	BSMR-579	20.5 (26.92)	32.	BRG-2	25.00 (29.99)
13.	BSMR-571	24.00 (29.59)	33.	AKT-8811	22.22 (28.12)
14.	RVKT-260	21.88 (27.88)	34.	RVSA-7-29	20.51 (26.91)
15.	BRG-1	70.37 (57.07)	35.	ICPL-8863	21.62 (27.70)
16.	BRG-3	53.49 (47.00)	36.	ICPL-87091	52.00 (46.14)
17.	KPL-43	18.18 (25.23)	37.	ICPL-87119	26.83 (31.19)
18.	AL-1798	13.1 (21.26)	38.	BSMR-736	50.62 (45.35)
19.	RVKT-261	14.58 (22.43)	39.	C. platycarpus	52.86 (46.64)
20.	CORG-9701	23.08 (28.70)	40.	C. scaraboides	50.33 (45.18)
C.D. (P=	0.05)	1.72, (H S)	C.D. (P=0.05)		1.72, (H S)

Figures in parenthesis are angular transformed values

R-Resistant, MR-Moderately resistant, T- Tolerance, MS-Moderately susceptible, S-Susceptible

Sr. No.	Germplasm reactions (Categ.)	Range of incidence (%)	Germplasms	Number
1.	Resistance	0-10	ERG-65	01
2.	Moderately resistant	10.1-30	HY3C, KPL44, MA-06, Vipula 42, WRP-1, Pusa-992, BDN-711, RVSA-07-22, AL-1593, RVSA-07-10, GAUT-001, BSMR-853, BSMR-2, JICM-189, BSMR-579, BSMR-571, RVKT-260, KPL-43, AL-1798, RVKT-261, CORG-9701, GT-101, BRG-2, AKT-8811, RVSA-7-29, ICPL-8863, and ICPL-87119	27
3.	Toletant	30.1-50	IPA-204	01
4.	Moderately susceptible	50.1-70	BRG-3, ICPL-87091, BSMR-736, C. platycarpus, C. scaraboides, WRG-53	06
5.	Susceptible	70.1-90	BRG-11-1, BRG-1, BSMR-528, ICP-7119 and BRG-10-2	05

571, RVKT-260, KPL-43, AL-1798, RVKT-261, CORG-9701, GT-101, BRG-2, AKT-8811, RVSA-7-29, ICPL-8863, and ICPL-87119 were found moderately resistant. Nevertheless, among 27 moderately resistant germplasms, 3 germplasms, AL-1593 (10.71), JICM-189 (11.11) and MA-06 (11.63) were found statistically at par to one an other and very close to resistant reaction.

One genotype IPA-204 was observed to maintain the level of tolerance and remaining 11 germplasms (Table 2) were found as moderately susceptible to susceptible category. In a very limited screening (Pal *et al.*, 1970), had been identified three pigeonpea lines as a moderately resistant. Similar work has also been done and reported by (Kannaiyan *et al.*, 1981; Sharma *et al.*, 1995 and Pande *et al.*, 2006).

Conclusion :

On the basis of results, it is concluded that resistant germplasm namely ERG-65 may be used as a resistant donor in developing resistant variety against the

HIND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE

phytophthora stem blight and 3 germplasms, AL-1593 (10.71), JICM-189 (11.11) and MA-06 (11.63) were found very close to resistant reaction may further screened by inter crossing with other cultivar in resistant breeding programme.

REFERENCES

Chauhan, V.B., Singh, V.B. and Singh, A.K. (2002). Status of Phytophthora blight of pigeonpea in eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **10**: 402-404.

IBPGR and ICRISAT (1993). Descriptors for pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. Rome Italy. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources and Patancheru-502324, AP, India. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Kannaiyan J., Nene Y.L., Raju T.N. and Shiela, V.K. (1981). Screening for resistance to Phytophthora blight of pigeonpea. *Plant Dis.*, **65** : 61-62.

Kannaiyan, J., Nene, Y.L., Reddy, M.V., Ryan, J.G. and Raju, T.N. (1984). Prevalence of pigeonpea diseases and Associated crop losses in Asia, Africa and the Americas. *Trop. Pest. Manag.*, **30**: 62-71.

Mishra, A.N. and Shukla, P. (1987). Prevalence of

Phytophthora blight of pigeonpea in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Phytopath.*, **40**: 56-58.

Nene, Y.L., Kannaiyan, J., Haware, M.P. and Reddy, M.V. (1981). Pigeonpea diseases, Reseearch-Screening Techniques. Information Bulletin No.9. Internation Crop Research Institute for theSemi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, A. P. India. 14 pp.

Nene, Y.L. and Shiela, V.K. (1990). Pigeonpea: geography and importance. In: The pigeonpea. Ed by Nene Y.L. Hall S.D. and Shiela V.K. pp. 1-14. CAB International Wallingford UK.

Pal, M., Grewal, J.S. and Sarbhoy, A.K. (1970). A new stem rot of arhar caused by *Phytophthora*. *Indian Phytopathol.*, 23:583-587.

Pande, S., Pathak, M., Sharma, M., Narayana, Rao J. and Tomar, O.S. (2006). Resistance to Phytophthora blight in the improved pigeonpea lines at ACRISAT, Patancheru, India. *Int Chickpea Newslett.*, **13**: 42-44.

Sharma, Y.R., Singh, H., Singh, G. and Sidhu, P.S. (1995). Screening of pigeonpea germplasm for resistance to Phytophthora stem blight. *Plant Dis. Res.*, **10** : 102-103.

Williams, F.J., Grewal, J.S. and Amin, K.S. (1968). Serious and new diseases of pulse crops in India in 1966.*Plant Dis. Rep.*, **52**: 300-304.

