



Research Paper

Article history :

Received : 03.01.2014

Revised : 02.05.2014

Accepted : 14.05.2014

Effect of micro-nutrients on growth, yield and economics of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cv. RAJENDRA SONIA

■ S.P. SINGH

Author for correspondence :

S.P. SINGH

AICRP on Spices, Department of Horticulture, Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, MUZAFFARPUR (BIHAR) INDIA
Email : spicecadholi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT : An experiment was conducted during *Kharif* 2010-11 to 2012-13 to assess the influence of micro-nutrients on growth, yield and economics of turmeric at the experimental field of Department of Horticulture, T.C.A., Dholi, Muzaffarpur. The experiment was allotted by ICAR under AICRP on spices. Among four types of micro-nutrients such as zinc sulphate, ferrous sulphate, borex and manganese sulphate were tested with three methods of application such as no application of micro-nutrients (control), soil application of micro-nutrients @25kg ha⁻¹ and two foliar applications of micro-nutrients @ 0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing. Among the micro-nutrients, none of the micro-nutrients were found significant effect regarding yield and yield attributing characters. Among three methods of application, soil application of micro-nutrients @25kg ha⁻¹ and two foliar applications of micro-nutrients @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave significant effect regarding yield and yield attributing characters as compared to no application of micro-nutrients (control). Two foliar application of micro-nutrients @ 0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing was found at par with soil application of micro-nutrients @25kg ha⁻¹ regarding yield and yield attributing characters. Among four type of micro-nutrients and three methods of application, ferrous sulphate @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave the maximum plant height (127.23cm), number of tillers per plant (4.74), number of leaves tiller (12.57), length of leaves (56.75cm), width of leaves (13.89cm), area of leaves (811.34 cm²), number of plant per plot (40), dry mater production per plot (1.79kg), yield per plot (19.80kg) and yield per hectare (60.39t) as compared to other micro-nutrients and other methods of application. Economics of the experiment, two foliar sprays of ferrous sulphate @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave the maximum return Rs.1,81,950 with cost of Rs.1,20,000 and it also gave the highest benefit: cost ratio Rs.2.52:1.

KEY WORDS : Turmeric, Zinc sulphate, Ferrous sulphate, Borax, Manganese sulphate

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE : Singh, S.P. (2014). Effect of micro-nutrients on growth, yield and economics of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cv. RAJENDRA SONIA. *Asian J. Hort.*, 9(1) : 169-173.

India is a leading producer and exporter of turmeric in the world. In India, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Odisha, Karnataka, West Bengal, Meghalaya, Maharashtra and Bihar are the important state which cultivates turmeric of which Andhra Pradesh alone occupies 35.0 per cent of area and 47.0 per cent of production. In India, turmeric is cultivated under 1,80,960 hectare with the production of 7,92,980 MT (NHB data base, 2011). The active constituents per cent in turmeric is curcumin, which comprise 0.3-5.5 per cent (Leung, 1980). Curcuminoids in turmeric have anti-inflammatory, antimutagen, anti cancer, anti bacterial, anti fungal, anti parasitic and detoxifying properties (Herrmann and Martine, 1991, Nakamura

et al., 1998, Osawa *et al.*, 1995, Sugiyama *et al.*, 1996 and Vechi *et al.*, 2000).

Mineral nutrition is also considered as one of the important factors that influence the growth and yield of turmeric plant get some amount of nutrition from soil but they are inadequate to meet the increased demand of plants for higher production. Optimum dose of fertilizer is required by the crop to increase the productivity potential and there is enough information regarding the requirement of the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by this crop. In addition to N,P,K, zinc, iron, boron and manganese are required by most of the crop plants particularly in rhizomatous crop like turmeric for

conform the trend of present finding. Higher yield of ginger by two sprays of Zn + B + Fe at 45 and 75 DAS has been reported by Ray *et al.* (1992) which confirm the trend of present finding.

Since, soil of experimental plot was deficient in these micro-nutrients application on yield and growth parameters in obvious. Zinc sulphate, ferrous sulphate, borax and manganese sulphate are very effective in regulating plant growth because it forms a part of enzyme system (carbonic anhydrate) which regulate plant growth, where as Zn stimulates photosynthetic activity (Samoladas, 1965) and presence is found important for protein synthesis (Possingham, 1956), Roy *et al.* (1992) reported spraying of Zn (0.3%) alone proved very effective in improving the growth and increasing the yield. Spraying of zinc sulphate (0.3%) was also found to increase the yield of garlic (Yanazaqa *et al.*, 1971). Thus it is clear that application of small quantities of

zinc, iron, boron and manganese had marked effect on growth and yield parameters in turmeric. Earlier in French been, Jana and Kabir (1987) also found that combined spray of Zn, Cu, B, Mo, Mn and Fe increased the pod yield significantly.

Economics of the experiments:

The data on economics of turmeric as influenced by different micro-nutrients as well as methods of application of micro-nutrients are presented in Table 2.

Four type of micro-nutrients such as zinc sulphate, ferrous sulphate, borax and manganese sulphate as well as three methods of micro-nutrients application such as no application of micro-nutrients (control), soil application of micro-nutrients @25kg ha⁻¹ and two foliar applications of micro-nutrients @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing were shown the economics of the micro-nutrients treatments in Table 2. Among the treatments, soil application of micro-nutrients

Table 1: Response of micro-nutrients on growth, yield and economics of turmeric (Pooled analyzed data mean of characters from 2009-10 to 2011-12)

Characters	Height of the plant (cm)	No. of tillers plant ⁻¹	No. of leaves tiller ⁻¹	Length of leaves (cm)	Width of leaves (cm)	Area of leaves (cm ²)	No. of plants plot ⁻¹	Dry mater production (kg plot ⁻¹)	Yield per plot (kg/3m ²)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
Treatments										
F ₁	120.90	4.05	11.59	53.61	12.89	693.09	39.67	1.54	17.30	52.50
F ₂	120.43	4.21	11.85	53.14	12.47	681.90	39.67	1.55	17.46	52.98
F ₃	119.89	4.36	11.32	52.72	12.52	667.53	39.33	1.46	16.63	50.91
F ₄	120.17	4.23	11.60	53.49	12.89	696.70	39.33	1.50	16.62	56.44
S.E.+	1.54	0.18	0.18	0.87	0.32	27.08	0.14	0.02	0.34	1.12
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
D ₀	116.34	3.73	10.87	50.45	11.27	575.36	38.75	1.25	14.61	45.99
D ₁	120.58	4.30	11.92	54.16	13.45	733.90	39.75	1.64	17.98	55.18
D ₂	124.10	4.62	11.99	55.11	13.36	745.16	40.00	1.66	18.41	55.96
S.E.±	1.33	0.15	0.15	0.76	0.28	23.45	0.13	0.02	0.29	0.97
C.D. (P=0.05)	3.92	0.43	0.47	2.23	0.83	68.80	0.37	0.07	0.85	2.86
F ₁ D ₀	119.78	4.40	10.93	52.35	11.57	616.34	39.00	1.19	14.48	43.76
F ₁ D ₁	119.09	4.27	11.78	53.35	14.10	743.71	40.00	1.76	19.00	57.74
F ₁ D ₂	123.84	4.49	12.07	55.14	13.01	719.21	40.00	1.66	18.42	56.00
F ₂ D ₀	112.69	3.69	10.70	50.08	10.68	535.37	39.00	1.27	14.64	43.90
F ₂ D ₁	121.37	4.20	12.27	52.58	12.83	698.99	40.00	1.60	17.93	54.66
F ₂ D ₂	127.23	4.74	12.57	56.75	13.89	811.34	40.00	1.79	19.80	60.39
F ₃ D ₀	116.77	4.02	10.73	48.58	10.90	355.17	38.00	1.26	14.70	44.55
F ₃ D ₁	120.34	4.44	11.80	55.20	13.72	760.03	40.00	1.58	17.51	54.56
F ₃ D ₂	122.47	4.63	11.42	54.37	12.94	707.40	40.00	1.55	17.67	53.63
F ₄ D ₀	116.12	3.82	11.10	50.78	11.93	614.57	39.00	1.27	14.63	43.75
F ₄ D ₁	121.53	4.27	11.83	55.51	13.16	732.87	39.00	1.60	17.48	53.75
F ₄ D ₂	122.87	4.60	11.88	54.17	13.58	742.67	40.00	1.62	17.76	53.83
S.E.±	2.67	0.31	0.32	1.52	0.57	46.92	0.25	0.05	0.58	1.95
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)	3.85	12.70	4.77	9.27	7.75	11.86	1.11	5.46	5.92	6.53

Note: F₁: Zinc sulphate

F₂: Copper sulphate

F₃: Ferrous sulphate

F₄: Manganese sulphate

D₀: No application of micro nutrients (control)

D₁: Soil application of micro-nutrients @ 25kg ha⁻¹

D₂: Two foliar spray of micro-nutrients @ 0.5% at 45 and 60 DA

NS=Non-significant

Table 2 : Economics of micro-nutrients and type of application				
Treatments	Gross income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net profit (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Benefit: cost ratio
F ₁	262517	120867	141650	2.17:1
F ₂	264917	120167	144750	2.21:1
F ₃	254567	120983	133584	2.10:1
F ₄	252217	123200	129017	2.05:1
D ₀	219950	114000	105950	1.93:1
D ₁	275900	128938	146962	2.14:1
D ₂	279813	120975	158838	2.31:1
F ₁ D ₀	218800	114000	104800	1.92:1
F ₁ D ₁	288750	128000	160750	2.26:1
F ₁ D ₂	280000	120600	159400	2.32:1
F ₂ D ₀	219500	114000	105500	1.93:1
F ₂ D ₁	273300	126500	146800	2.16:1
F ₂ D ₂	301950	120000	181950	2.52:1
F ₃ D ₀	222750	114000	108750	1.95:1
F ₃ D ₁	272800	128250	144550	2.13:1
F ₃ D ₂	268150	120700	147450	2.22:1
F ₄ D ₀	218750	114000	104750	1.92:1
F ₄ D ₁	268750	133000	135750	2.02:1
F ₄ D ₂	269150	122600	146550	2.20:1

1. Selling rate of turmeric:- Rs.500/quintal

2. General cost: Field preparation, manures and fertilizer, crop management, harvesting, cleaning + seed cost= Rs.90,000+Rs.24,000/ha.= Rs.1,14,000

3. Spray cost = Rs. 1000.00

Cost of micro-nutrients for basal application @25kg ha ⁻¹	Cost of micro-nutrients for two foliar spray @0.5% at 45 & 60 DAS
Zinc sulphate- 25kg ha ⁻¹ @560/kg-Rs.14,000.00	Zinc sulphate- 10kg ha ⁻¹ @560/kg-Rs.5,600.00
Ferrous sulphate- 25kg ha ⁻¹ @500/kg-Rs.12,500.00	Ferrous sulphate- 10kg ha ⁻¹ @500/kg-Rs.5,000.00
Borax- 25kg ha ⁻¹ @570/kg-Rs.14,250.00	Borax - 10kg ha ⁻¹ @570/kg-Rs.5,700.00
Manganese sulphate - 25kg ha ⁻¹ @760/kg-Rs.19,000.00	Manganese sulphate - 10kg ha ⁻¹ @760/kg-Rs.7,600.00

@25kg ha⁻¹ as well as two foliar application of micro-nutrients @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave the maximum return Rs.1,46,962 and Rs.1,58,838 per hectare, respectively with cost of cultivation Rs.1,28,938 and Rs. 1,20,975 per hectare, respectively as compared to no application of micro-nutrients (net profit Rs.1,05,950 and cost of cultivation Rs.1,14,000 per hectare)

Among four micro-nutrients, ferrous sulphate gave the maximum return per hectare Rs.1,44,750 with cost of cultivation per hectare Rs.1,20,167 as compared to other micro-nutrients whereas three methods of application, foliar application of micro-nutrients gave the maximum return per hectare Rs.1,58,838 with cost of cultivation per hectare Rs.1,20,975 as compared to other methods of application. Among the micro-nutrients and methods of application of micro-nutrients, two foliar application of ferrous sulphate @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave the maximum return per hectare Rs.1,81,950 with cost of cultivation Rs.1,20,000 and it also gave the highest benefit: cost ratio Rs.2.52:1.

Conclusion:

According to benefit cost ratio, two foliar sprays of

micro-nutrients @0.5% at 60 and 90 days after sowing gave the maximum yield (55.96t ha⁻¹) and higher return Rs.1,60,388 ha⁻¹ and Benefit: cost ratio (2.34).

Acknowledgement:

The author is thankful to the AICRP on Spices, IISR (ICAR) Calicut, Kerala for the financial support to carry out this work.

REFERENCES

- Hermann, H and Martin, A.W (1991).** Pharmacology of *curcuma longa*. *Planta Med.*, **57** : 1-7.
- Jana, B.K and Kabir, J. (1987).** Influence of micro-nutrients on grown and yield of French bean cv. Contender under poly house conditions. *Veg. Sci.*, **14** (2) : 124-127.
- Leung, A (1980).** *Encyclopedia of common natural ingredients used in food, drugs and cosmetics*. New York, NY; John Wiley, pp. 313-314.
- Nakamura, Y, Ohto, Y, Murakami, A, Osawa, T. and Ohigashi, H. (1998).** Inhibitory effect of curcumin and tetrahydro curcuminoids on tumo promoter induced reactive oxygen species generation in leukocytes *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.*, **89** : 361-370.

- Oswa, T., Sugiyama, Y., Inayoshi, M. and Kawakishi, S. (1965).** Anti oxidative activity of tetrahydro curcuminoids. *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.*, **59** : 1606-1612.
- Possingham, J.V. (1956).** The effect of mineral nutrition on the content of free amino acids and amides in tomato plants. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **9** : 539-555.
- Roy, A. Chatterjee, R., Hassan, A. and Mitra, S.K. (1992).** Effect of Zn, B and Fe on growth yield and nutrient content in leaf of ginger. *Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J.*, **15** (4) : 99-101.
- Singh, S.P., Chaudhary, R. and Mishra, A.K. (2009).** Combination effect of zinc, born and iron on yield and economics of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rose). *J. Eco-friendly Agric.*, **4** (2):125-129.
- Singh, S.P. and Dwivedi, D.K. (2007).** Impact of zinc, boron and iron elements on yield and economics of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rose). *Internat. J. Agric. Sci.*, **3** (1): 136-138.
- Sugiyama, Y., Kawakashi, S. and Osawa, T. (1996).** Involvement of B diketone moiety in the anti oxidative mechanism of tetrahydro curcuminoids. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **52** (4) : 133-141.
- Uechi, S., Miyagi, Y., Ishimine, Y. and Hongo, F. (2000).** Antibacterial activity of essential oil from curcuma sp. (*Zingiberaceae*) cultivated in food borne pathogenic bacteria. *Jpn. J. Trop. Agric.*, **44** (2) : 138-140.
- Yanazawa, T., Ueta, H. and Tanaka, A. (1971).** Studied on leaf chlorosis in Bakers garlic grown on sandy soil II Recovery due to zinc application. *J. Japan Society Hort. Sci.*, **40** (2) :157-162.


 ★★★★★ of Excellence ★★★★★