Research Article



Evaluation of advanced breeding lines for yield and yield related components and resistance to okra yellow vein mosaic virus (OYVMV) disease in okra

M. AMARANATHA REDDY AND O. SRIDEVI

SUMMARY

Sixty four entries consisting of fifty seven inbred lines and seven checks (four hybrids and three commercial varieties) were evaluated for yield and yield related components and screened for reaction to okra yellow vein mosaic virus in three replications of Partial balanced lattice design (triple lattice) under unprotected conditions during summer 2011. High genotypic and phenotypic co-efficients of variation were noticed for disease incidence followed by number of primary branches per plant and fruit yield per hectare indicating maximum variability among the different genotypes. High estimates of heritability coupled with high genetic advance obtained for fruit yield per plant indicating presence of additive gene effects which indicated the effectiveness of selection for these traits. Presence of high heritability coupled with low genetic advance for average fruit weight, plant height and fruit diameter revealed that straight selection has limited scope for further improving these traits. The results exhibited that four lines were highly resistant to yellow vein mosaic virus, ten lines showed moderate resistant, 26 lines tolerant, 10 lines moderate susceptible, 6 susceptible and one highly susceptible. The highest yield per hectare was found in the DBh-25 (21.98 t/ha) followed by DBh-33 (19.9 t/ha) and DBh-7 (19.54 t/ha).

Key Words : Resistance, Tolerant, Okra yellow vein mosaic virus, Genetic advance, Heritability

How to cite this article : Amaranatha Reddy, M. and Sridevi, O. (2014). Evaluation of advanced breeding lines for yield and yield related components and resistance to okra yellow vein mosaic virus (OYVMV) disease in okra. *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, **9** (1): 52-56.

Article chronicle : Received : 13.08.2013; Revised : 24.09.2013; Accepted : 10.10.2013

kra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench], originated in tropical Africa, is an important vegetable throughout the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. It is one of important vegetable crops in india and called lady's finger in England, gumbo in the United States of America, guino-gombo in Spanish, guibeiro in Portuguese and bhendi in India. Viruses pose serious constraints to its production. Yellow vein mosaic virus (YVMV) transmitted by white fly

MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH FORUM

Author to be contacted :

M. AMARANATHA REDDY, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture (U.A.S.), DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA Email: amylarapu001@gmail.com

Address of the Co-authors:

O. SRIDEVI, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture (U.A.S.), DHARWAD (KARNATAKA) INDIA

(*Bemisia tabaci* Gen.) is the most serious disease of okra. Infection of 100 per cent plants in a field is very usual and yield losses range from 50 to 94 per cent depending on the stage of crop growth at which infection occurs (Sastry and Singh, 1974). The disease can not be controlled properly by chemical means. Uprooting of infected plants is not practical and economical because of heavy infection rate in the field. The only practical solution of this problem is to develop tolerant varieties. Therefore, an extensive search for tolerance in cultivated okra was started by screening available germplasm. Studies were also undertaken to transfer genes for tolerance to YVM from related wild species to susceptible cultivated varieties (Jambhale and Nerkar, 1985).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sixty four entries consisting of fifty seven inbred lines

and seven checks (four hybrids and three commercial varieties) were evaluated for yield and yield related components and screened for reaction to okra yellow vein mosaic virus in three replications of Partial balanced lattice design (triple lattice) under unprotected conditions during summer 2011. Row-to-row and plant-to-plant distances were maintained at 60 cm and 30 cm, respectively. Cultural practices were carried out as required to raise a good crop.

Observations were recorded on five competitive plants excluding border plants in each replication for days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of branches, inter-nodal length, fruit length, fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant and fruit yield per hectare and were used to calculate the mean values for each genotype/ replication. The mean values obtained were used for analysis of variance and to estimate genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation and genetic advance as per cent of mean. The phenotypic and genotypic co-efficients of variation were estimated as per formula suggested by Burton and DeVane (1953). Heritability in broad sense and genetic advance were calculated by using formula given by Hanson et al. (1956) and Johnson et al. (1955). The number of plants showing okra vellow vein mosaic disease from 10 randomly chosen plants was counted. Counting was done early in the morning between 7 and 10 am. The disease on each test entry was assessed according to Prakasha et al. (2010), following disease rating scale by Ali et al. (2005a, b) presented in Table A.

| Table A : Disease rating scale of OYVMV (Ali et al., 2005a,b) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rating scale | Туре | Severity range (%) | | | | | | | |
| 0 | Immune | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Highly resistant | 1-10 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Moderate resistant | 11-25 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Tolerant | 26-50 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Moderate susceptible | 51-60 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Susceptible | 61-70 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Highly susceptible | 71-100 | | | | | | | |

Disease incidence of yellow vein mosaic virus was recorded 60 days after planting. The fruits were picked regularly and weighed separately for each plot. The data obtained with respect to disease incidence of yellow vein mosaic virus was recorded and yield components subjected to statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance carried out for the fruit yield and its component characters is presented in Table 1. Variance due to genotypes was found highly significant for days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of branches, internodal length, fruit length, fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare and disease incidence to yellow vein mosaic virus which indicated that the genotypes differ significantly for all the traits.

High range was observed for the traits viz., days to 50% flowering (43 to 52 days), plant height (60 to 121.7 cm), number of branches (3 to 6), inter-nodal length (5 to 8.8 cm), average fruit weight (17.7 to 28 g), fruit diameter (1.85 to 2.26 cm), fruit length (14.5 to 18.6 cm) and number of fruit per plant (20 to 43). Since the variation for number of branches per plant, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare, plant height and number of fruits per plant is found to be quite high which might be responsible for the wide range in yield potential of different genotypes. Wide range of variability observed for all characters studied. Thaker et al. (1981) and Vijay and Manohar (1990) reported wide range of variability for average fruit weight and number of fruits per plant in okra. The mean for fruit yield per plant and fruit yield per hectare varied from 182 g to 399.3g and from 10.02 T/ha to 21.98T/ ha, respectively. A lot of variability for fruit yield per plant and fruit yield per hectare indicates a great scope for selection of desirable types (Table 2).

The range of mean values could present a rough estimate about the variation of magnitude of divergence present among different genotypes. However, the estimates of genotypic and

| disease of inbred lines in okra | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Sources of | Days to | Plant | Number | Inter | Fruit | Fruit | Number | Average | Fruit yield | Fruit | Disease |
| variation | 50% | height | of | nodal | length | diameter | of fruits | fruit | per plant | yield per | Incidence |
| | flowering | (cm) | branches | length | (cm) | (cm) | per plant | weight | (g) | hectare | (%) |
| | | | per plant | (cm) | | | | (g) | | (T/ha) | |
| Replications | 3.583 | 242.599 | 10.744 | 0.843 | 0.430 | 0.080 | 12.036 | 4.519 | 198.146 | 10.975 | 549.296 |
| Treatment (unadjusted) | 11.934 | 628.574 | 1.931 | 1.306 | 2.026 | 0.210 | 79.91 | 14.083 | 7424.824 | 22.597 | 1552.723 |
| Treatment (adjusted) | 11.466** | 564.814** | 1.775** | 1.071** | 1.963** | 0.21** | 81.173** | 14.064** | 7410.153** | 23.364** | 1472.802** |
| Blocks within Reps (adj.) | 4.300 | 404.662 | 1.079 | 1.398 | 0.632 | 0.017 | 127.052 | 1.253 | 395.761 | 19.781 | 1160.837 |
| Intrablock error | 1.059 | 55.145 | 0.333 | 0.292 | 0.212 | 0.013 | 31.249 | 0.867 | 240.959 | 6.286 | 311.855 |

Table 1 : Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for evaluation and screening of advanced breeding lines for okra yellow vein mosaic virus (OYVMV) disease of inbred lines in okra

* and ** indicate significance of values at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

Internat. J. Plant Sci., 9 (1) Jan., 2014 : 52-56 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

EVALUATION OF ADVANCED BREEDING LINES FOR YIELD & YIELD RELATED COMPONENTS & RESISTANCE TO OKRA YELLOW VEIN MOSAIC VIRUS

| Characters | Mean | Range | Genotypic variance (GV) | Phenotypic variance (PV) | Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV %) | Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV %) | Heritability (h ²) | Genetic advance (GA) | Genetic advance over mean (GAM) % |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Days to 50% flowering | 46.82 | 43.0-52.0 | 3.57 | 5.06 | 4.04 | 4.80 | 0.71 | 3.27 | 6.99 |
| Plant height (cm) | 97.20 | 60.0-121.7 | 165.68 | 275.96 | 13.24 | 17.09 | 0.60 | 20.55 | 21.14 |
| Number of branches per plant | 4.15 | 3.0-6.0 | 0.60 | 1.13 | 18.64 | 25.63 | 0.53 | 1.16 | 27.93 |
| Inter nodal length (cm) | 6.76 | 5.0-8.8 | 0.32 | 0.76 | 8.35 | 12.90 | 0.42 | 0.75 | 11.13 |
| Fruit length (cm) | 15.89 | 14.5-18.6 | 0.56 | 0.82 | 4.70 | 5.70 | 0.68 | 1.27 | 7.99 |
| Fruit diameter (cm) | 2.04 | 1.85-2.26 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 4.21 | 4.50 | 0.87 | 0.52 | 8.11 |
| Number of fruits per plant | 31.67 | 20.0-43.0 | 13.68 | 59.56 | 11.68 | 24.37 | 0.23 | 3.65 | 11.53 |
| Average fruit weight (g) | 23.22 | 17.7-28.0 | 5.06 | 5.72 | 9.69 | 10.30 | 0.89 | 4.36 | 18.79 |
| Fruit yield per plant (g) | 271.85 | 18.0-399.3 | 2386.02 | 2652.78 | 17.97 | 18.95 | 0.90 | 95.43 | 35.10 |
| Fruit yield per hectare (T/ha) | 14.94 | 10.02-21.98 | 5.03 | 13.36 | 15.00 | 24.46 | 0.38 | 2.83 | 18.95 |
| Disease incidence (%) | 61.57 | 0.00-85.09 | 385.35 | 811.07 | 31.88 | 46.25 | 0.48 | 27.87 | 45.27 |

Table 3 : Performance of top 10 lines for fruit yield per hectare and their disease incidence and type of reaction in elem

| their disease incidence and type of reaction in okra | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lines | Fruit yield per hectare | Disease incidence (%) | Type of reaction | | | | | | | |
| DBh-25 | 21.98 | 84.26 | HS | | | | | | | |
| DBh-33 | 19.9 | 62.65 | S | | | | | | | |
| DBh-7 | 19.54 | 52.95 | MS | | | | | | | |
| DBh-10 | 19.06 | 39.38 | Т | | | | | | | |
| Arka Abhay | 19.02 | 56.21 | MS | | | | | | | |
| DBh-13 | 18.83 | 57.94 | MS | | | | | | | |
| Line1 | 18.68 | 21.14 | MR | | | | | | | |
| DBh-30 | 18.49 | 1.45 | HR | | | | | | | |
| DBh-9 | 18.22 | 65.91 | S | | | | | | | |
| DBh-12 | 18.14 | 44.84 | Т | | | | | | | |

phenotypic co-efficients are of greater use in determining the content of variability present within the material. The range of genotypic co-efficient of variation was 4.04% (days to 50 % flowering) to 31.88 % (disease incidence). Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was highest for disease incidence (46.25%) and lowest for fruit diameter (4.5%). Moderate values of GCV and PCV were observed for number of branches per plant, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare, plant height and number of fruits per plant. Low values of GCV and PCV were recorded for days to 50 % flowering, inter-nodal length, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight. High genotypic and phenotypic co-efficients of variation was noticed for disease incidence indicating maximum variability among the genotypes selected for evaluation and thus this trait provides better chance of selection of desirable genotypes.

Heritability values were generally high for fruit yield per plant, average fruit weight and fruit diameter, low heritability for fruit yield per hectare and number of fruits per plant and moderate heritability for remaining characters studied. Highest heritability suggests that selection would be successful for these traits. The highest value of genetic advance as per cent of mean was obtained for disease incidence, fruit yield per plant, number of branches per plant and plant height. Low values for genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for days to 50% flowering, fruit length and fruit diameter. The knowledge of the heritability along with genetic advance aids in drawing valuable conclusions for selection of breeding methods to be employed for further improvement of the traits. A broad sense heritability estimate provides information on relative magnitude of genetic and environmental variation in genotypes. High estimates of heritability coupled with high genetic advance observed for fruit yield per plant indicating presence of additive gene effects which further indicates the effectiveness of selection for these traits. Vashistha et al. (1982) also observed high heritability coupled with high genetic advance for fruit yield per plant and number of fruits per plant. Presence of high heritability coupled with low genetic advance for average fruit weight, plant height and fruit diameter may be attributed to the action of nonadditive gene effects including dominance and epistasis. Hence, straight selection has limited scope for improving these traits (Table 3).

Range of the disease incidence to yellow vein mosaic virus from 0% to 85.09% and grouped in to seven groups. Four lines viz., DBh-30 (1.45%), DBh-39 (8.25%), DBh-37 (9.96%) and DBh-47 (10.43%) showed highly resistant to yellow vein mosaic virus, ten lines showed moderate resistant, 26 lines were tolerant, 10 lines were moderate susceptible, 6 were susceptible and one was highly susceptible. None of the lines was immune. Commercial varieties like Arka Anamika (85.09%) and Arka abhay (56.21%) recorded highly susceptible and susceptible reactions. Batra and Singh (2000) screened eight okra varieties against OYVMV and found Okra No.6, LORM-1, VRO-3 and P-7 were free from disease whereas VRO-4 showed mild reaction. Ali et al. (2005b) reported Safal, Subz Pari and Surkh Bhindi varieties against OYVMV in a field trial (3.36-24.40%). Moreover, OYVMV resistance among different okra cultivars has also been

M. AMARANATHA REDDY AND O. SRIDEVI

| Lines/ hybrids/ popular varieties | Days to 50% flowering | Plant height (cm) | Number of branches per plant | Inter- nodal length (cm) | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit diameter (cm) | Number of fruits per plant | Average fruit weight (g) | Fruit yield per plant | Fruit yield per hectare (T/ha) | Disease incidence (%) | Type or reaction |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| DBh-1 | 46.00 | 62.00 | 4.00 | 7.60 | 16.20 | 2.26 | 38.00 | 24.00 | 339.3 | 18.68 | 21.14 | MR |
| DBh-2 | 44.00 | 81.70 | 5.00 | 8.00 | 14.90 | 2.13 | 38.00 | 22.70 | 297 | 17.70 | 38.64 | Т |
| DBh-3 | 47.00 | 71.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 15.00 | 2.17 | 31.00 | 25.30 | 258.3 | 14.77 | 51.18 | MS |
| DBh-4 | 47.00 | 82.30 | 5.00 | 7.70 | 15.80 | 2.10 | 30.00 | 24.90 | 266.3 | 13.80 | 39.23 | Т |
| DBh-5 | 50.00 | 88.70 | 5.00 | 6.90 | 15.50 | 2.10 | 36.00 | 25.20 | 319 | 17.55 | 48.84 | Т |
| DBh-6 | 47.00 | 72.70 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 15.80 | 2.04 | 32.00 | 24.40 | 249.3 | 14.00 | 36.14 | Т |
| DBh-7 | 46.00 | 87.70 | 5.00 | 7.50 | 16.20 | 2.07 | 39.00 | 24.70 | 359.3 | 19.54 | 52.95 | MS |
| DBh-8 | 46.00 | 94.00 | 5.00 | 8.80 | 15.80 | 2.04 | 30.00 | 21.90 | 213.7 | 11.76 | 41.62 | Т |
| DBh-9 | 46.00 | 65.70 | 5.00 | 7.10 | 17.30 | 2.01 | 39.00 | 22.90 | 348.3 | 18.22 | 65.91 | S |
| DBh-10 | 47.00 | 84.30 | 6.00 | 7.50 | 17.10 | 2.01 | 38.00 | 24.90 | 361.3 | 19.06 | 39.38 | Т |
| DBh-11 | 49.00 | 60.00 | 3.00 | 6.20 | 18.50 | 2.04 | 35.00 | 24.90 | 324.7 | 17.86 | 51.38 | MS |
| DBh-12 | 46.00 | 95.00 | 5.00 | 6.40 | 16.10 | 2.07 | 35.00 | 24.90 | 343 | 18.14 | 44.84 | Т |
| DBh-13 | 45.00 | 80.70 | 5.00 | 6.10 | 16.50 | 2.04 | 37.00 | 24.90 | 346.7 | 18.83 | 57.94 | MS |
| DBh-14 | 48.00 | 110.00 | 5.00 | 7.10 | 15.10 | 2.01 | 33.00 | 23.80 | 294 | 16.21 | 49.9 | Т |
| DBh-15 | 45.00 | 87.70 | 5.00 | 7.10 | 15.80 | 1.97 | 32.00 | 19.60 | 237.7 | 13.00 | 33.7 | Т |
| DBh-16 | 47.00 | 98.50 | 5.00 | 7.40 | 15.30 | 1.85 | 42.00 | 17.70 | 258.3 | 15.19 | 66.29 | S |
| DBh-17 | 47.00 | 103.00 | 6.00 | 6.40 | 16.20 | 1.91 | 37.00 | 22.40 | 310 | 17.05 | 66.41 | S |
| DBh-18 | 48.00 | 103.00 | 5.00 | 6.30 | 14.90 | 1.88 | 27.00 | 19.30 | 228.3 | 12.50 | 57.08 | MS |
| DBh-19 | 50.00 | 98.00 | 5.00 | 7.80 | 16.10 | 2.01 | 32.00 | 21.50 | 252.3 | 14.08 | 55.68 | MS |
| DBh-19 DBh-20 | 52.00 | 113.70 | 5.00 | 7.40 | 15.20 | 1.94 | 39.00 | 19.50 | 291 | 15.55 | 60.4 | MS |
| DBh-20 DBh-21 | 49.00 | 110.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 15.20 | 1.94 | 35.00 | 21.00 | 277.3 | 14.96 | 50.72 | MS |
| DBh-21 DBh-22 | 48.00 | 106.70 | 6.00 | 6.90 | 15.90 | 1.94 | 31.00 | 21.00 | 277.3 | 14.90 | 52.96 | MS |
| DBh-22 DBh-23 | 46.00 | 108.70 | 4.00 | 0.90 7.60 | 15.60 | 1.91 | 33.00 | 21.00 | 260.7 | 13.41 | 23.34 | MR |
| DBh-23 DBh-24 | 40.00 | 108.70 | | 7.40 | 15.00 | 1.94 | | 20.80 | 248 | 14.21 | 23.34 32.04 | T |
| DBh-24 DBh-25 | 47.00 52.00 | 88.30 | 4.00 4.00 | 7.40 | | 2.20 | 31.00 43.00 | 20.80 | 248 399.3 | 21.98 | | |
| | | | | | 14.90 | | | | | | 84.26 | HS T |
| DBh-26 | 48.00 | 106.00 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 15.80 | 1.94 | 24.00 | 20.60 | 193 | 10.02 | 34.5 | |
| DBh-27 | 46.00 | 109.00 | 4.00 | 6.30 | 16.00 | 2.07 | 37.00 | 21.90 | 299 | 16.57 | 47.79 | Т |
| DBh-28 | 46.00 | 109.70 | 4.00 | 6.40 | 16.00 | 2.13 | 35.00 | 21.40 | 276.3 | 15.16 | 26.66 | Т |
| DBh-29 | 45.00 | 110.00 | 3.00 | 6.50 | 15.50 | 2.10 | 27.00 | 23.70 | 244.7 | 12.85 | 49.8 | Т |
| DBh-30 | 46.00 | 76.70 | 3.00 | 6.10 | 15.10 | 2.07 | 38.00 | 23.50 | 327.3 | 18.49 | 1.45 | HR |
| DBh-31 | 48.00 | 110.70 | 4.00 | 6.60 | 15.30 | 2.04 | 34.00 | 24.70 | 308.3 | 17.59 | 27.8 | Т |
| DBh-32 | 46.00 | 98.00 | 4.00 | 6.40 | 15.70 | 2.01 | 26.00 | 21.80 | 215 | 11.83 | 22.7 | MR |
| DBh-33 | 46.00 | 94.00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 16.40 | 2.17 | 35.00 | 27.50 | 346.3 | 19.90 | 62.65 | S |
| DBh-34 | 47.00 | 75.00 | 5.00 | 6.10 | 17.00 | 2.20 | 20.00 | 27.50 | 215 | 11.39 | 67.98 | S |
| DBh-35 | 46.00 | 91.20 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 15.90 | 2.17 | 25.00 | 28.00 | 267.7 | 14.19 | 19.24 | MR |
| DBh-36 | 46.00 | 100.00 | 3.00 | 6.70 | 15.40 | 2.13 | 28.00 | 23.90 | 249.3 | 13.74 | 26.65 | Т |
| DBh-37 | 48.00 | 110.30 | 3.00 | 6.30 | 15.90 | 2.13 | 27.00 | 24.40 | 243.3 | 13.73 | 9.96 | HR |
| DBh-38 | 47.00 | 110.70 | 3.00 | 7.80 | 15.70 | 2.07 | 31.00 | 23.00 | 269.3 | 14.40 | 32.45 | Т |
| DBh-39 | 45.00 | 97.30 | 3.00 | 6.50 | 15.00 | 2.01 | 27.00 | 22.10 | 216.3 | 11.94 | 8.25 | HR |
| DBh-40 | 46.00 | 112.70 | 3.00 | 6.40 | 15.30 | 1.97 | 27.00 | 22.60 | 233 | 12.85 | 30.29 | Т |
| DBh-41 | 45.00 | 100.30 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 15.60 | 1.94 | 25.00 | 20.50 | 182 | 10.33 | 29.36 | Т |
| DBh-42 | 47.00 | 93.00 | 4.00 | 6.80 | 15.60 | 1.94 | 34.00 | 20.80 | 262.7 | 14.70 | 36.49 | Т |
| DBh-43 | 46.00 | 114.30 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 16.70 | 2.13 | 26.00 | 25.90 | 251 | 13.89 | 14.75 | MR |
| DBh-44 | 47.00 | 101.00 | 5.00 | 7.20 | 15.60 | 2.04 | 33.00 | 23.60 | 286.7 | 15.78 | 62.6 | S |

Table 4 : Mean performance of fifty seven inbred lines, four hybrids and three commercial varieties with respect to fruit yield and its attributing characters in okra

Internat. J. Plant Sci., 9 (1) Jan., 2014 : 52-56 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

| Contd Table 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|
| DBh-45 | 46.00 | 100.30 | 3.00 | 6.90 | 15.10 | 1.97 | 28.00 | 21.40 | 221.3 | 12.21 | 18.29 | MR |
| DBh-46 | 47.00 | 121.70 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 15.00 | 2.01 | 32.00 | 23.30 | 280 | 15.49 | 29.65 | Т |
| DBh-47 | 47.00 | 119.00 | 4.00 | 6.50 | 16.10 | 2.10 | 25.00 | 24.20 | 230.3 | 12.56 | 10.43 | HR |
| DBh-48 | 48.00 | 108.30 | 4.00 | 6.90 | 16.20 | 2.13 | 25.00 | 26.80 | 248.7 | 13.70 | 44.19 | Т |
| DBh-49 | 52.00 | 103.00 | 4.00 | 7.10 | 16.30 | 2.07 | 26.00 | 25.00 | 244 | 13.43 | 29.54 | Т |
| DBh-50 | 49.00 | 101.70 | 4.00 | 6.70 | 16.70 | 1.94 | 30.00 | 22.80 | 263 | 13.89 | 41.43 | Т |
| DBh-51 | 46.00 | 115.00 | 4.00 | 6.50 | 14.50 | 2.04 | 29.00 | 21.00 | 228 | 12.26 | 19.94 | MR |
| DBh-52 | 48.00 | 105.70 | 3.00 | 5.40 | 15.90 | 2.04 | 27.00 | 21.80 | 220 | 12.12 | 19.26 | MR |
| DBh-53 | 49.00 | 119.70 | 4.00 | 6.90 | 16.00 | 2.04 | 26.00 | 21.80 | 203.7 | 11.45 | 36.81 | Т |
| DBh-54 | 48.00 | 105.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 15.50 | 2.04 | 34.00 | 22.60 | 286.7 | 15.76 | 15.95 | MR |
| DBh-55 | 48.00 | 106.00 | 3.00 | 5.90 | 16.10 | 2.07 | 27.00 | 24.00 | 233.7 | 13.07 | 14.72 | MR |
| DBh-56 | 52.00 | 99.30 | 4.00 | 6.30 | 16.30 | 2.04 | 25.00 | 23.80 | 217 | 11.96 | 55.78 | MS |
| DBh-57 | 47.00 | 88.70 | 3.00 | 6.30 | 15.60 | 1.97 | 30.00 | 21.70 | 243.7 | 13.41 | 34.41 | Т |
| Syngenta 152 | 43.00 | 102.70 | 4.00 | 6.10 | 15.60 | 2.17 | 25.00 | 24.70 | 230.3 | 12.71 | 15.49 | MR |
| Mahyco No. 55 | 43.00 | 78.30 | 3.00 | 6.40 | 14.50 | 1.97 | 34.00 | 23.20 | 293.3 | 16.20 | 0 | Ι |
| JKOH-7315 | 43.00 | 85.30 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 17.10 | 2.13 | 32.00 | 27.00 | 326 | 17.92 | 0 | Ι |
| Mahyco No. 64 | 43.00 | 95.00 | 4.00 | 6.90 | 16.20 | 2.04 | 24.00 | 22.00 | 195.7 | 10.78 | 0 | Ι |
| Drwad local | 45.00 | 92.70 | 4.00 | 6.10 | 17.70 | 1.97 | 42.00 | 23.90 | 374 | 20.58 | 61.82 | S |
| Arka Abhay | 45.00 | 98.30 | 4.00 | 6.30 | 18.60 | 2.07 | 37.00 | 26.60 | 345.3 | 19.02 | 56.21 | MS |
| Arka Anamika | 45.00 | 84.30 | 4.00 | 6.80 | 17.00 | 2.13 | 32.00 | 25.40 | 286.7 | 15.84 | 85.09 | HS |
| I = immune, HR = | I = immune, HR = highly resistant, MR = moderate resistant, T = tolerant, MS = moderate susceptible, S = susceptible, HS = highly susceptible | | | | | | | | | | | |

reported by other researchers such as Arora *et al.* (1992) who evaluated 157 advanced germplasm and 7 cultivars/hybrids of okra for two years and observed that Punjab Padmini and EMS-8 were free from the OYVMV. Sharma *et al.* (1993) also reported that Punjab Padmini and Punjab-7 varieties of okra were high yielding and resistant to OYVMV. Four lines *viz.*, DBh-30 (1.45%), DBh-39 (8.25%), DBh-37 (9.96%) and DBh-47 (10.43%) showed highly resistant to yellow vein mosaic virus (Table 5). The highest yield per hectare was found in the DBh-25 (21.98 t/ha) followed by DBh-33 (19.9 t/ha) and DBh-7 (19.54 t/ha) (Table 4).

REFERENCES

- Ali, S., Khan, M. A., Habib, A., Rasheed, S. and Iftikhar, Y. (2005a). Correlation of environmental conditions with okra yellow vein mosaic virus and *Bemisia tabaci* population density. *Internat. J. Agric. Biol.*, 7 : 142-144.
- Ali, S., Khan, M. A., Habib, A., Rasheed, S. and Iftikhar, Y. (2005b). Management of yellow vein mosaic disease of okra through pesticide/bio-pesticide and suitable cultivars. *Internat. J. Agric. Biol.*, 7: 145-7.
- Arora, S.K., Dhanju, K.C. and Sharma, B.R. (1992). Resistance in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) genotypes to yellow vein mosaic virus. *Plant dis. Res.*, 7 : 221-225.
- Batra, V. K. and Singh, J. (2000). Screening of okra varieties to yellow vein mosaic virus under field conditions. *Veg. Sci.*, 27: 192–3.
- Burton, G.W. and De Vane, E.H. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescus (*Festuca arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal

material. Agron. J., 45: 478-481.

- Hanson, C.H., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1956). Biometerical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean lespedeza. Agron. J., 47: 268-272.
- Jambhale, N.D. and Nerkar, Y.S. (1985). Inheritance of resistance to okra yellow vein mosaic disease in interspecific crosses of *Abelmoschus*. *Theor Appl Genet.*, **60** : 313–316.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron. J., 47: 34-38.
- Prakasha, T.L., Patil, M.S. and Benagi, V.I. (2010). Survey for bhendi yellow vein mosaic disease in parts of Karnataka. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **23** : 658-659.
- Sastry, K. S. M. and Singh, S. J. (1974). Effect of yellow vein mosaic virus infection on growth and yield of okra crop. *Indian Phytopath.*, 27 : 294-297.
- Sharma, B.R., Arora, S.K., Dhanju, K.C. and Ghai, T.R. (1993). Performance of okra cultivars in relation to yellow vein mosain virus and yield. *Indian J. Virol.*, 9 : 139-142.
- Thaker, D. N., Tikkaa, S.B.S., Patel, K.K. and Ubani, S.J. (1981). Analysis of parameters of variability in okra [Abelmoshus esculentus (L.) Moench]. Indian J. Hort., 38: 232-235.
- Vashistha, R.N., Pandita, M.L. and Bhutani, R.D. (1982). Variability studies in okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L). Moench] under dry farming conditions. Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 11: 117-121.
- Vijay, O.P. and Manohar, M.S. (1990). Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in okra. *Indian J. Hort.*, **47** : 97-103.



Internat. J. Plant Sci., 9 (1) Jan., 2014 : 52-56 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute