

# Radiation induced variability and gene effects for polygenic traits in ricebean (*Vigna umbellata* Thunb, Ohwi and Ohashi)

MADHU PATIAL<sup>1</sup>, ABHISHEK CHAUHAN<sup>2</sup>, RITU SOOD<sup>3</sup> AND K.P. SINGH<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Regional Station, SHIMLA (H.P.) INDIA

Email : mcaquarian@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Amity University, NOIDA (U.P.) INDIA

Email : acaquarian@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, PALAMPUR (H.P.) INDIA

Email : kpsingheees@gmail.com, drsingh\_kp@yahoo.com

The study was conducted to induce variability and to identify the important radiation dose for induction of useful variation for maturity, yield and yield attributes. Two varieties of ricebean (BRS-1 and Totru Local) were treated with three different doses of  $\gamma$ -rays (30kR, 40kR and 50kR). Range, mean and co-efficient of variation suggested that the mutagenic treatments had created wide variability. In general, these genetic parameters were higher in  $M_3$  generation than  $M_2$ . Both positive and negative shift in mean were observed for all the traits in both the cultivars in both the generations. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance were observed for pods/cluster, seeds/pod and pod length in both BRS-1 and Totru Local indicating that these traits can respond effectively to phenotypic selection. Most of the traits showed significant positive additive and dominance effects at 30 kR dose in both the genotypes indicating the dose to be most effective in inducing variability. Most of the traits showed overdominance suggesting that the selection should be deferred to the later generation so that the additive effects become more pronounced and fixed.

**Key words :**  $\gamma$ -rays, Induced additive effect, Induced dominance effect, Mutation, *Vigna umbellata*

**How to cite this paper :** Patial, Madhu, Chauhan, Abhishek, Sood, Ritu and Singh, K.P. (2014). Radiation induced variability and gene effects for polygenic traits in ricebean (*Vigna umbellata* Thunb, Ohwi and Ohashi). *Asian J. Bio. Sci.*, 9 (1) : 53-57.

## INTRODUCTION

Ricebean (*Vigna umbellata* Thunb, Ohwi and Ohashi) is a warm season annual pulse of high nutritional status which has remained in general, neglected for its improvement by breeding interventions either by hybridization or otherwise, especially in India. Till date, it is little known, little researched and little exploited crop. It is being grown sporadically by farmers specifically in the remote areas of Himachal Pradesh and several other states of India. The nutritional quality of ricebean is higher as compared to many other legumes of *Vigna* family (Katoch, 2013). It has been considered as one of the best nutritionally balanced pulses in the world and has even been included in the school children's nutritional programmes in Philippines (NAS, 1979). Recently, with the emphasis given for the promotion of this crop to be cultivated by Indian farmers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research has started All India Coordinated Research Projects on

underutilized crops since 1996 and ricebean is one of them. Under this project, work on the improvement of ricebean has been undertaken by the simplest techniques of germplasm evaluation and hybridization. However, in general, a little work on mutation has been undertaken in this crop. With the increasing awareness and concern among scientists and farmers, ricebean can emerge as an important grain legume crop, provided early, determinate and high yielding varieties are developed.

Variability is the pre-requisite for selection and varietal development in crop plants and mutation induction has become a proven way of creating variation within a crop species. It offers the possibility of inducing desired attributes that either cannot be found in nature or have been lost during evolution. Shu (2009) reported the mutational enhancement of genetic diversity in seventeen plant species. The mutants obtained can become an important genetic resource for breeding, gene discovery and functional analysis of various

genes. Among the mutagen used  $\gamma$ -rays are the most widely and effectively used, resulting in about 70 per cent of the worlds' mutant varieties (Nagatomi and Degi, 2009).

As the basic information of components of generated genetic variability is imperative in hybridization, similarly, in case of mutation breeding it is important to know the nature and magnitude of various components of induced genetic variance for planning and executing appropriate breeding strategy to exploit it to the maximum. Also, the nature and magnitude of gene action involved in the expression of traits is important in deciding suitable breeding methodology for population improvement specifically of self fertilizing crops either by hybridization or mutation. Therefore, meaningful genetic analysis of polygenic traits in the mutagenized populations demands for partitioning of hereditary variance into additive, dominance and epistatic components. The present study was, therefore, done to induce variability in two genotypes of ricebean, identify best dose for mutation induction, to know the important characters that respond to mutation and to find out the nature of gene action in ricebean.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Two contrasting ricebean genotypes *viz.*, BRS 1, having black grains (like urdbean) and high yielding but late maturing, and Totru Local having creamish grains, early maturing but low yielding were used as experimental material. On the basis of LD<sub>30</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub> values, three doses of radiations 30kR, 40kR and 50kR were selected for the present study.

Four hundred dry and healthy seeds of each variety were treated with three doses of  $\gamma$ -rays (30kR, 40kR and 50kR). Treatment with  $\gamma$ -rays was done using <sup>60</sup>Co gamma-cell @2500 R/min installed in the Division of Genetics, at National Physical Laboratory, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. Untreated dry seeds were used as a control.

Sowing of M<sub>1</sub> generation was done immediately after treatment with mutagen during *Kharif* 2006 and single plants were harvested individually and planted as M<sub>2</sub> family row during next crop season. Half of the M<sub>2</sub> seeds of each plant were used for raising M<sub>2</sub> generation *Kharif* 2007 and half were kept for raising M<sub>2</sub> generation *Kharif* 2008 along with M<sub>3</sub> to avoid environmental effect. All M<sub>2</sub> family plots consisted of single five meter long rows with spacing of 45 x 20 cm and 30 x 15 cm for BRS-1 and Totru Local, respectively. Augmented design was used to generate M<sub>3</sub> seeds. Next year, remaining M<sub>2</sub> seeds were sown along with M<sub>3</sub> seeds. M<sub>2</sub> generation was raised in single plant Completely Randomized Design and M<sub>3</sub> generation was raised in Randomized Block Design with three replications.

The number of families studied in BRS-1 were 32, 31 and 29 in M<sub>2</sub> and 33, 27 and 16 in M<sub>3</sub>; whereas in Totru Local these were 22, 32 and 15 in M<sub>2</sub> and 19, 28 and 11 in M<sub>3</sub> under 30, 40 and 50 kR doses, respectively. Data in M<sub>2</sub> generation were

recorded on single plant basis dose-variety wise, whereas in M<sub>3</sub> generation, the data were recorded on five plants from each line per replication dose-variety wise. Observations were recorded on days to flowering, days to maturity, pod clusters/plant, pods/cluster, seeds/pod, pod length(cm), seed yield/plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g).

Estimates of genetic parameters were computed according to Sharma (1998) and the additive and dominance components were estimated according to Yonezawa (1979).

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under following heads :

### Estimates of genetic parameters :

Analysis of variance for total number of mutagenized populations (1460 in M<sub>2</sub> and 1245 in M<sub>3</sub>) exhibited significant differences for all the eight traits studied, indicating the generation of considerable variability after treatment with  $\gamma$ -rays. In control no differences were revealed within each parental population for any of the trait, while the two populations as different units differed significantly for all the traits. Homogeneity of individual parental populations indicated that these were most suitable for treatment with mutagens to induce variability for breeding purpose.

Irradiated ricebean varieties exhibited wide range of PCV and GCV for quantitative traits providing ample evidence that mutagenic treatment has altered mean values and created additional genetic variability for quantitative traits. Phenotypic co-efficient of variation were larger compared to genotypic co-efficient of variation for all the traits indicating the influence of environment (Table 1).

During both the generations, high to very high heritability alongwith high to very high genetic advance was observed for pods/cluster, seeds/pod and pod length under 30kR dose in both the varieties. In Totru Local in addition to above traits pod clusters/plant at 30, pods/cluster at 50kR, seeds/ pod at 40 and 50 kR, pod length at 40 kR and seed yield/plant at 50 kR and in BRS-1, 100-grain weight at 30 kR and pods/cluster at 40 kR were found to be important ( these values are in both M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>3</sub> generation). So, in both the genotypes, 30 kR proved to be the most suitable dose where most of the traits showed moderate to very high PCV, GCV, h<sup>2</sup><sub>bs</sub> and GA in both M<sub>2</sub> or M<sub>3</sub> generations (Table 1). Also, the three traits *viz.*, pods/cluster, seeds/pod and pod length have been reported as main yield contributing traits and the data indicated that sufficient variability has been created for further improvement of these traits in both the varieties under 30kR dose. Induced greater variability in polygenic traits might be due to increased mutations and recombinations induced by  $\gamma$ -rays. Increase in the variability parameters in mutagenized populations of ricebean have also been reported by Lokeshia

**Table 1 : Estimates of mean values, shift in mean, co-efficient of variation, heritability ( $h^2_{bs}$ ) and genetic advance (GA as % of mean) for different traits in  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations in ricebean**

Traits	BRS-1						Totru Local					
	30kR		40kR		50kR		30kR		40kR		50kR	
Generations	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_2$	$M_3$	$M_2$	$M_3$
<b>Days to flowering</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	76.98 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.14$	77.54 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	77.48 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.18$	79.29 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.06$	76.70 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.15$	78.69 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.10$	69.43 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.18$	64.70 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.10$	69.69 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.09$	68.87 $\pm 0.05$	70.28 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.06$	70.18 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$
PCV	5.93	13.39	13.19	6.28	13.19	8.98	10.88	12.15	4.04	6.40	-	2.71
GCV	5.13	13.23	12.86	5.96	12.86	8.75	10.38	11.90	2.43	5.97	-	1.50
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	74.85	97.67	95.01	89.87	95.05	94.96	91.08	95.93	36.09	87.04	-	30.46
GA	9.14	26.93	25.82	11.63	25.83	17.56	20.41	24.02	3.00	11.47	-	1.70
<b>Days to maturity</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	130.71 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.08$	131.00 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.30$	131.07 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.09$	133.52 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.14$	133.23 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$	135.73 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.20$	110.54 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$	111.51 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.15$	109.16 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.06$	105.18 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.18$	111.70 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.11$	111.89 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.25$
PCV	6.88	8.78	4.90	6.87	3.36	4.17	4.63	4.03	3.33	5.50	2.90	4.74
GCV	6.75	8.24	4.72	6.20	3.11	2.98	4.36	1.91	2.92	4.02	2.47	3.16
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	96.38	88.18	92.94	81.44	85.72	51.19	88.80	22.53	77.06	53.30	73.02	44.48
GA	13.65	15.95	9.38	11.53	5.94	4.40	8.47	1.87	5.29	6.04	4.36	4.34
<b>Pod clusters/plant</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	12.66 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	11.78 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	13.95 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	13.97 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	12.32 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	12.34 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	6.89 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	5.82 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.52 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.91 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.52 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.09 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$
PCV	11.45	12.30	14.44	11.62	9.76	13.46	46.49	35.08	34.19	17.47	16.35	26.11
GCV	8.59	9.25	12.99	9.39	6.73	11.01	42.86	31.01	32.12	12.62	11.34	22.37
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	56.35	56.56	80.86	65.37	47.63	66.93	84.97	78.13	88.21	52.20	48.11	73.39
GA	13.29	14.33	24.06	15.64	9.57	18.56	81.38	56.47	62.14	18.79	16.20	39.48
<b>Pods/cluster</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	4.63 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.49 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	6.02 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	5.74 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	5.02 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	5.53 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	3.29 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	3.23 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.61 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.45 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.01 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	3.98 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.06$
PCV	49.60	52.41	35.05	39.89	17.26	33.47	67.72	57.12	22.12	46.37	43.11	63.10
GCV	45.47	47.96	32.30	36.31	5.34	28.73	61.67	49.01	11.90	41.18	38.07	58.42
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	84.03	83.74	84.94	82.84	9.58	73.67	82.94	73.61	28.97	78.85	78.00	85.71
GA	85.86	90.41	61.33	68.08	3.41	50.80	115.70	86.62	13.20	75.32	69.27	111.41
<b>Seeds/pod</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	5.38 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.02$	5.43 $\pm 0.03$	6.55 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	6.50 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	5.99 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.02$	5.89 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.04 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.13 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.89 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	4.70 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.78 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	3.82 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.05$
PCV	29.12	52.07	13.09	24.34	10.68	19.90	39.07	45.83	65.00	46.41	35.11	67.47
GCV	26.37	49.07	7.94	19.53	1.73	11.81	35.00	39.71	63.75	41.84	32.21	62.77
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	81.98	88.82	36.79	64.35	2.63	35.20	80.29	75.09	96.20	81.29	84.15	86.56
GA	49.18	95.27	9.92	32.27	0.58	14.43	64.61	70.89	128.81	77.72	60.86	120.31
<b>Pod length (cm)</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	6.70 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.05$	6.07 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	8.01 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.92 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	7.30 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.03 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.06$	4.02 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.18 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	5.31 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	5.30 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	4.94 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.41 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.05$
PCV	31.36	34.38	18.86	23.55	18.47	19.58	45.83	42.92	31.39	40.91	-	45.64
GCV	27.11	30.82	13.98	20.45	11.39	14.50	36.12	36.77	24.23	36.99	-	40.53
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	74.70	80.35	54.94	75.37	38.04	54.82	62.13	73.40	59.60	81.76	-	78.85
GA	48.26	56.90	21.35	36.57	14.48	22.11	58.66	64.90	38.54	68.90	-	74.13
<b>Seed yield/plant (g)</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	10.99 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.03$	11.12 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	13.01 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	13.07 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	12.31 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.02$	13.10 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$	6.52 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.03$	6.30 $\pm 0.05$	7.04 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.36 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	7.24 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	6.35 $\pm 0.08$
PCV	13.67	15.00	13.22	14.44	13.01	10.73	11.35	24.49	15.44	20.44	20.80	31.53
GCV	11.89	10.75	11.96	11.37	11.68	6.03	2.09	16.08	11.62	12.97	18.05	25.67
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	75.68	51.40	81.83	62.04	80.52	31.60	3.40	43.13	56.67	40.24	75.26	66.28
GA	21.30	15.88	22.28	18.45	21.58	6.98	0.79	21.76	18.03	16.94	32.25	43.05
<b>100 seed weight (g)</b>												
Mean $\pm$ SE	6.99 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.05$	6.52 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	8.32 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	7.37 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.73 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	7.85 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$	5.30 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	4.32 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.04$	5.86 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.05$	5.82 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.04$	6.02 <sup>P</sup> $\pm 0.07$	5.14 <sup>n</sup> $\pm 0.05$
PCV	31.01	32.31	23.66	-	14.48	23.51	21.83	60.69	27.48	26.54	-	22.92
GCV	25.93	26.95	19.96	-	5.14	18.26	4.80	54.39	21.92	17.48	-	3.53
$h^2_{bs}$ (%)	69.92	69.54	71.15	-	12.60	60.33	4.83	80.33	63.63	43.35	-	2.37
GA	44.67	46.29	34.68	-	3.76	29.21	2.17	100.42	36.02	23.71	-	1.12

p = Significant positive shift in mean

n = Significant negative shift in mean

*et al.* (1991) and Lokesha and Veeresh (1993).

#### Estimates of mean values of irradiated populations :

In BRS-1, at 30 kR dose at  $M_3$  generation, five traits showed significantly negative shift in mean values while, in Totru Local six traits shifted negatively. In BRS-1, days to flowering showed significantly negative shift in mean in  $M_2$  generation and significantly positive shift in  $M_3$  generation under all the doses (Table 1). The increase values could be due to the occurrence of polygenic mutations with cumulative effects. In Totru Local the shift towards earliness was shown only at 30kR dose in  $M_3$  generation.

Since for days to flowering and maturity negative value (earliness) is of interest for the breeders so, a shift in positive direction is required for fulfillment of Brock's hypothesis

(1965). For days to flowering, Brock's hypothesis fitted well in  $M_3$  generation under all the doses in BRS-1 and in Totru Local all doses except at 30 kR. For days to maturity, hypothesis fitted well for all the doses in both the varieties except for 40kR in Totru. So, the possibility of getting early mutants in Totru local under 40kR dose can be speculated.

The above results showed 30 kR to be the dose where most of the traits shifted their mean values from the previous generation. Similar shift in mean were also reported by Kharkwal (2001) in chickpea and Singh *et al.* (2006) in cowpea.

#### Genetic component analysis of induced polygenic variation :

Significant and positive additive and dominance effects were observed for all the traits except for days to maturity and seeds/pod at 30kR treatment; for 100 seed weight at 40kR and

**Table 2 : Estimates of induced additive  $[\hat{d}]_m$  and dominance  $[\hat{h}]_m$  effects for different traits after treatment with different doses of radiations in ricebean**

Dose / Traits	Days to flowering	Days to maturity	Pod clusters/plant	Pods/cluster	Seeds/pod	Pod length (cm)	Seed yield /plant (g)	100 seed weight (g)
BRS-1								
30 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	-0.43*± 0.09	-0.56± 0.30	1.14*± 0.04	0.28*± 0.04	-0.04±0.04	0.82*±0.04	0.21*±0.05	0.71*± 0.06
$[\hat{h}]_m$	-1.12*± 0.30	-0.58± 0.62	1.76*± 0.08	0.28*± 0.08	-0.10±0.07	1.26*±0.12	0.26*±0.10	0.94*± 0.13
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	2.60	-	1.54	1.00	-	1.53	1.24	1.33
40 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	-1.93*± 0.11	-2.91*± 0.15	-0.41*± 0.05	-0.28*± 0.04	-0.52*±0.05	-0.37*±0.04	-0.73*±0.06	0.52*± 0.06
$[\hat{h}]_m$	-3.62*± 0.38	-4.90*± 0.33	-0.04± 0.10	0.56*± 0.10	0.10±0.10	0.18±0.10	-0.12±0.13	1.90*± 0.13
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	1.88	1.69	-	2.04	-	-	-	3.65
50 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	-1.72*± 0.13	-4.04*± 0.21	0.41*± 0.05	-0.57*±0.05	-0.19*±0.05	0.17*±0.07	-1.11*±0.07	-0.27*± 0.08
$[\hat{h}]_m$	-3.98*± 0.36	-5.00*± 0.42	-0.04± 0.10	-1.02*±0.10	0.20*±0.09	0.54*±0.14	-1.58*±0.15	-0.28± 0.16
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	2.31	1.24	-	1.81	1.05	3.27	1.42	-
Totru Local								
30 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	4.49*± 0.14	-1.18*± 0.16	1.24*± 0.05	0.38*± 0.05	-0.02±0.04	0.16*±0.05	0.13*±0.05	1.10*± 0.05
$[\hat{h}]_m$	9.46*± 0.41	-1.94*± 0.33	2.14*± 0.11	0.12± 0.11	-0.18*±0.08	-0.32*±0.11	0.44*±0.12	1.96*± 0.11
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	2.11	1.65	1.73	-	-	2.06	3.52	1.79
40 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	0.45*± 0.07	4.46*± 0.19	-0.54*± 0.05	-0.19*±0.04	0.17*±0.05	-0.32*±0.05	-0.68*±0.05	-0.13*± 0.05
$[\hat{h}]_m$	1.64*± 0.21	7.96*± 0.38	-0.78*± 0.11	0.32*±0.10	0.38*±0.12	0.02± 0.12	-0.64*±0.13	0.18± 0.13
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	3.64	1.78	1.46	1.73	2.30	-	0.95	-
50 kR								
$[\hat{d}]_m$	-0.56*± 0.08	-0.98*± 0.26	0.29*± 0.05	-0.02±0.07	0.66*±0.06	0.39*± 0.06	0.44*±0.08	0.64*± 0.07
$[\hat{h}]_m$	0.20± 0.18	-0.38± 0.55	0.86*± 0.11	0.06±0.16	1.92*±0.12	1.06*± 0.13	1.78*±0.18	1.76*± 0.17
$[\hat{h}]_m / [\hat{d}]_m$	-	-	3.02	-	2.91	2.75	4.09	2.77

\* indicate significance of values at P=0.05 respectively

for pod length at 50kR in BRS-1 (Table 2). In Totru Local, positive and significant additive and dominance effects along with potent ratio in the range of over dominance were observed for days to flowering, pod clusters/plant, seed yield/plant and 100 seed weight in 30 kR; days to flowering, days to maturity and seeds/pod in 40kR and pods cluster/plant, seeds/pod, pod length, seed yield/plant and 100 seed weight in 50 kR. Virk *et al.* (1978) have attributed the induction of such positive additive effects due to fact that either decreasing alleles have high mutation rate or the parents contain more increasing alleles than decreasing one for the traits exhibiting positive additive effect. Jai Dev and Gupta (1997) in rajmash and Pathania *et al.* (2011) in chickpea also reported predominance of both additive and dominance genetic effects for most of the traits after irradiation with  $\gamma$ -rays.

Also, under 30kR dose, significant additive and dominance effects were observed for two yield component

traits (pod clusters/plant and 100-seed weight) and other yield contributing trait (days to flowering) in both the genotypes. Considering all the doses in both the genotypes, it was observed that most of the traits showed overdominance which suggested that the selection should be deferred to the later generation so that the additive effects become more pronounced and fixed.

In the present study the 30kR dose have come out to be effective in inducing considerable variation for a number of characters of economic value. Yield contributing characters like pods/cluster, seeds/pod and pod length showed high heritability and genetic advance at one or other dose of mutagens in both the varieties. Therefore, the variation induced in these characters could pave the way for selection of high yielding mutants for further studies in late generation when the additive effects will become more pronounced and fixed.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Brock, R.D. (1965).** Induced mutations affecting quantitative characters. *Radiation Bot.*, **5** : 451-464.
- Dev, Jai and Gupta, V.P. (1997).** Genetic parameters of radiation induced variability and appropriate mutagenic generation of effective selection for seed yield, harvest index and seed per pod in kidney beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, **67** (9) : 418-421.
- Katoch, R. (2013).** Nutritional potential of rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*) : An underutilized legume. *J. Food Sci.*, **78** (1) : 8-16.
- Kharkwal, M.C. (2001).** Induced mutations in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) V. Evaluation of micromutations. *Indian J. Genet. & Pl. Breed.*, **61** (2) : 115-124.
- Loksha, R., Vasanth, K.E., Veeresh, L.C. and Shivashankar, G. (1991).** Gamma-ray induced genetic divergence in ricebean [*Vigna umbellata* (Thunb) Ohwi and Ohashi]. In: Golden Jubilee Symposium on Genetic Research and Education : Current trends and the next fifty years, Indian Society of Genetics and Plant Breeding, IARI, New Delhi, 719 p.
- Loksha, R. and Veeresh, L.C. (1993).** Induced mutagenesis and genetic improvement of ricebean [*Vigna umbellata* (Thunb) Ohwi and Ohashi]. *Legume Res.*, **16** : 37-40.
- Nagatomi, S. and Degi, K. (2009).** Mutation breeding of chrysanthemum by gamma field irradiation and *in vitro* culture. In : Q.Y. Shu (ed.), Induced plant mutations in the genomics era. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, pp. 257-257.
- NAS (1979). *Tropical legume : Resources for the future*. National Academy of Sciences, Washington DC, pp. 331.
- Pathania, A., Sood, B.C. and Bhatneria, S. (2011).** Genetic architecture of radiation induced variability for quantitative traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Legume Res.*, **34** (3) : 155-165.
- Sharma, J.R. (1998).** *Statistical and biometrical techniques in plant breeding*. New Age International (P) Limited, 429 pp.
- Shu, Q.Y. (2009).** Induced plant mutations in the genomics era. In : IAEA. Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome, pp. 455-458.
- Singh, V.V., Ramkrishna, K. and Arya, R.K. (2006).** Induced chemical mutagenesis in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Indian J. Genet. & Pl. Breed.*, **66** : 312-315.
- Virk, D.S., Jinks, J.L. and Pooni, H.S. (1978).** The assessment of induced continuous variation in pure-breeding lines following selfing. *Heredity*, **40** : 255-268.
- Yonezawa, K. (1979).** Some additional considerations on the method of genetical analysis for induced continuous variation of self-fertilizing plants. *Heredity*, **43** : 191-204.