Research Paper

Article history : Received : 31.10.2013 Revised : 15.04.2014 Accepted : 27.04.2014

Members of the Research Forum

Associated Authors: ¹Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA

Author for correspondence : A.N. MAKWANA

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, JUNAGADH (GUJARAT) INDIA Email : anmakwana2005@yahoo. com

Standardization of a recipe for the preparation of candy (tuti fruiti) from unripe papaya

■ V.J. BABARIYA¹, A.N. MAKWANA, MAYURI HADWANI¹ AND NIKETA PANJIAR¹

ABSTRACT : An experiment was carried out at the Post graduate laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat) during the year 2011. The experiment consisted of 18 treatments *viz.*, NaCl (10 %, 20 %, 30 % and 40 %), Ca(OH)₂ (0.5 % and 1.0 %) and sea water (15 ppt, 25 ppt and 35 ppt) and each level with sugar (add direct sugar and add 50 ^oBrix sugar syrup). The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design with 3 repetitions. The results indicated that the quality observations and sensory evaluation affected by various treatments. The treatment T₃ (20 % NaCl and add direct sugar) recorded the maximum total sugar, non reducing sugar and sensory evaluation (colour, texture, flavour, taste and overall acceptability) during entire period of storage (Six months).

KEY WORDS : Papaya, Candy (tuti fruiti), Quality observations, Sensory evaluation

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE : Babariya, V.J., Makwana, A.N., Hadwani, Mayuri and Panjiar, Niketa (2014). Standardization of a recipe for the preparation of candy (tuti fruiti) from unripe papaya. *Asian J. Hort.*, **9**(1) : 94-99.

The papaya, papaw or pawpaw is the fruit of the plant *Carica papaya* L. It is a common fruit in nearly all parts of tropical and subtropical world. It is an abundantly grown in India. Immature papaya fruits contain the enzyme papain which has several uses. The green fruit is used for papain, pectin and tuti fruiti (candy) and many products are prepared from ripe fruit. (Malathi *et al.*, 1986).

RESEARCH METHODS

An experiment was carried out at the Post graduate laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat) during the year 2011. The experiment consisted of 18 treatments *viz.*, NaCl (10 %, 20 %, 30 % and 40 %), Ca(OH)₂ (0.5 % and 1.0 %) and sea water (15 ppt, 25 ppt and 35 ppt) and each level with sugar (with add direct sugar and add 50 °Brix sugar syrup). Matured unripe papaya fruits were used for the experiment. The fruits were peeled off and cut into small pieces in uniform size. One kg of papaya pieces soaked according to treatments in 1L water containing different concentrations of salt of NaCl, Ca(OH)₂ and sea water for 6 days, 12 hours and 3 days, respectively. Papaya pieces were drained and washed with tap water for desalinization and boiled. The pieces were used for preparing tuti fruiti as per treatments. Then, 500-750 mg citric acid and 0.2 g food colour were added at 50 °Brix. Then, 500 mg sodium benzoate was added at 65 °Brix and boiled until the °Brix reached 70. Candy were dried under shade and packed in the polythene bags. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design with 3 repetitions.

Total sugars were estimated as per the procedure suggested by Sadasivam and Manickam (1992). Non reducing sugars were obtained by deducting the value for reducing sugar from total sugars. The sensory evaluation was performed by a panel of judges based on hedonic scale as described by Amerene *et al.* (1965). The data were analysed using Completely Randomized Design by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The total sugar (Table 1) and non reducing sugar (Table 2) were found significantly increased with increasing advancement of storage period. At end of storage period treatment T_3 (20 % NaCl and add direct sugar) observed highest total sugar (74.79%) and non reducing sugar (36.88%). It might be due to reduction in moisture, conversion of polysaccharides and non reducing sugars into reducing sugar and another probable reason was conversion of organic acids into sugars



Treatments -	-		Storag	e period in month	IS		
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6
T_1	49.36	57.69	60.77	72.02	70.53	69.39	63.92
T_2	52.18	52.81	59.72	66.63	71.18	68.02	63.84
T ₃	54.83	55.25	64.34	68.46	74.57	75.90	74.79
T_4	47.78	52.82	59.07	64.51	62.40	66.97	68.09
T ₅	48.11	51.19	55.58	65.16	62.73	66.06	70.36
T_6	49.08	52.81	57.77	68.09	71.18	70.13	66.30
T ₇	51.34	53.30	60.21	61.91	66.30	63.27	60.24
T_8	50.87	46.78	54.93	66.30	65.00	62.87	60.73
T9	48.27	50.70	63.86	67.09	74.43	70.37	62.87
T ₁₀	42.00	52.81	58.83	68.74	67.93	70.61	68.09
T ₁₁	51.58	53.14	62.56	58.29	71.42	69.88	66.63
T ₁₂	48.76	52.16	57.93	60.26	64.35	64.66	66.95
T ₁₃	48.13	52.81	68.98	65.65	64.03	74.92	69.55
T ₁₄	50.65	49.89	57.12	58.31	68.90	66.30	66.14
T ₁₅	47.53	50.70	58.99	61.75	65.65	68.90	69.71
T ₁₆	50.35	48.59	58.09	66.46	69.55	68.41	64.03
T ₁₇	42.60	49.08	64.03	71.66	76.98	74.65	70.81
T ₁₈	50.78	50.21	57.85	66.35	69.23	70.37	65.49
S.E.±	2.23	1.82	2.55	2.87	3.06	2.65	2.67
C.D. (P=0.05)	6.40	5.22	7.32	8.23	8.78	7.62	7.67
CV%	7.87	6.07	7.36	7.59	7.71	6.66	6.95

V.J. BABARIYA, A.N. MAKWANA, MAYURI HADWANI AND NIKETA PANJIAR

Table 2 : Effect of different	nt treatments on non 1	reducing sugar (%	b) of papaya can	dy (tuti fruiti) du	ring storage		
Treatments	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6
T ₁	25.22	27.52	17.67	22.15	37.90	37.46	30.90
T_2	23.38	27.68	21.44	19.56	25.26	24.22	19.88
T ₃	25.71	25.39	31.90	29.80	34.51	38.05	36.88
T_4	24.94	25.09	28.55	32.73	28.68	32.12	28.89
T ₅	24.96	27.81	24.66	31.62	25.87	24.57	26.39
T_6	22.79	19.27	18.32	16.81	24.79	22.82	13.34
T ₇	25.75	20.75	28.09	26.00	27.82	26.45	24.28
T_8	13.12	19.04	21.85	19.32	26.57	24.97	23.36
T9	16.26	14.40	31.24	16.36	34.54	34.30	29.78
T ₁₀	16.72	15.64	25.78	24.65	23.02	22.73	15.22
T ₁₁	25.30	18.30	26.88	27.27	30.31	31.07	26.67
T ₁₂	25.15	19.22	25.16	22.28	24.29	20.88	22.72
T ₁₃	16.19	13.77	23.03	25.55	31.94	23.08	14.98
T_{14}	18.03	17.18	28.93	22.54	32.30	31.24	25.12
T ₁₅	15.63	15.45	27.81	28.28	28.23	20.70	19.21
T ₁₆	14.86	12.14	17.33	27.02	34.25	19.97	16.54
T ₁₇	15.10	14.85	20.00	31.55	25.33	23.86	21.27
T ₁₈	14.80	12.78	17.73	25.93	25.60	24.07	16.69
S.E.±	0.96	0.92	1.15	1.21	1.37	1.28	1.09
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.76	2.65	3.31	3.49	3.94	3.67	3.13
CV%	8.23	8.30	8.24	8.43	8.20	8.26	8.25

Asian J. Hort., 9(1) June, 2014 : 94-99 95 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

STANDARDIZATION OF A RECIPE FOR THE PREPARATION OF CANDY FROM UNRIPE PAPAYA

Table 3 : Effect of different treatments on colour (score out of 10) of papaya candy (tuti fruiti) during storage									
Treatments			Stora	ge period in month	ns				
Treatments	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
T_1	8.27	7.77	7.33	6.90	6.70	6.58	3.00		
T_2	6.33	5.83	5.23	5.20	5.10	5.00	4.00		
T ₃	9.10	8.93	8.63	8.33	8.10	8.00	7.50		
T_4	6.93	6.43	5.83	5.40	2.33	2.33	2.33		
T ₅	8.57	8.20	8.10	7.80	7.80	7.57	2.00		
T_6	6.83	6.33	5.67	5.23	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₇	8.50	8.00	7.50	6.70	6.47	2.00	2.00		
T_8	6.00	5.50	5.00	4.83	4.73	4.60	4.00		
T9	6.00	5.50	5.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₀	6.50	6.00	5.50	5.17	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₁	6.53	6.03	5.33	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₂	5.83	5.33	4.83	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₃	5.83	5.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	2.00		
T_{14}	7.33	6.83	6.33	6.10	5.93	5.00	5.00		
T ₁₅	5.83	5.33	4.83	4.50	4.40	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₆	6.33	5.83	5.33	5.33	5.23	5.20	5.00		
T ₁₇	7.67	7.17	6.67	6.40	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₈	7.00	6.50	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	2.00		
S.E.±	0.31	0.29	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.13		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.88	0.85	0.71	0.65	0.53	0.48	0.36		
CV%	7.61	7.85	7.17	7.49	7.26	7.57	7.43		

Table 4 : Effect of different treatments on texture (score out of 10) of papaya candy (tuti fruiti) during storage									
Treatments	Storage period in months								
	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6		
T_1	8.07	7.67	7.20	6.97	6.70	6.47	2.67		
T_2	7.67	7.17	6.60	6.40	6.07	5.87	3.00		
T ₃	9.00	8.77	8.27	8.00	7.90	7.53	7.33		
T_4	7.27	6.77	6.43	6.30	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T5	8.50	8.00	7.60	7.30	7.27	7.02	2.00		
T_6	7.17	6.67	6.13	6.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₇	7.83	7.40	6.77	6.50	6.27	2.00	2.00		
T_8	7.33	6.90	6.33	6.17	5.83	5.67	3.00		
T9	6.50	6.13	5.83	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₀	7.17	6.77	6.17	6.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₁	7.33	6.83	6.33	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₂	6.67	6.27	5.67	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₃	6.17	5.67	4.83	4.63	4.50	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₄	7.33	6.93	6.33	6.17	5.70	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₅	6.00	5.67	5.00	4.73	4.53	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₆	7.33	6.83	6.33	6.07	5.73	5.40	5.00		
T ₁₇	7.17	6.83	6.50	6.33	2.00	2.00	2.00		
T ₁₈	7.17	6.73	6.43	6.23	6.23	6.07	2.00		
S.E.±	0.34	0.33	0.30	0.26	0.22	0.18	0.13		
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.98	0.94	0.87	0.75	0.63	0.51	0.36		
CV%	8.06	8.22	8.24	8.17	8.48	8.42	8.33		

Asian J. Hort., 9(1) June, 2014 : 94-99

96 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

Table 5 : Effect of differen	nt treatments on flav	our (score out of	f 10) of papaya ca	ndy (tuti fruiti) d	luring storage		
Treatments			Stor	age period in mon	ths		
Treatments	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6
T_1	7.33	6.93	6.50	6.30	6.20	5.77	2.00
T_2	6.83	6.47	6.10	5.90	5.80	5.37	2.00
T ₃	7.87	7.50	7.10	6.87	6.73	6.20	6.00
T_4	6.83	6.33	5.90	5.90	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₅	7.67	7.30	6.73	6.57	6.40	6.03	2.00
T_6	6.83	6.43	5.90	5.90	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₇	7.67	7.13	6.70	6.60	6.13	2.00	2.00
T_8	6.90	6.43	6.20	6.07	5.93	5.60	2.00
T9	6.17	5.60	5.37	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₀	6.83	6.40	5.73	5.57	2.00	2.00	1.88
T ₁₁	6.50	6.10	5.67	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₂	6.67	6.27	5.60	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₃	6.83	6.50	5.83	5.57	5.57	2.00	2.00
T_{14}	6.93	6.57	6.17	5.70	5.70	2.00	2.00
T ₁₅	6.33	5.93	5.33	5.33	5.33	2.00	2.00
T ₁₆	6.87	6.53	6.17	6.07	5.93	5.57	2.00
T ₁₇	6.90	6.57	6.17	6.07	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₈	6.83	6.53	6.00	6.00	5.93	5.63	2.00
S.E.±	0.32	0.31	0.29	0.25	0.22	0.17	0.11
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.92	0.90	0.84	0.73	0.62	0.49	0.32
CV%	8.01	8.29	8.38	8.17	8.43	8.49	8.76

V.J. BABARIYA, A.N. MAKWANA, MAYURI HADWANI AND NIKETA PANJIAR

	-		Stor	age period in mon	ths		
Treatments	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6
T_1	7.80	7.60	7.40	7.20	6.90	6.50	2.00
T_2	7.33	6.70	6.50	6.20	6.00	6.00	2.00
T ₃	8.67	8.40	7.83	7.60	7.30	7.00	6.87
T_4	7.17	6.77	6.33	5.90	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₅	7.93	7.53	7.13	6.90	6.70	6.50	2.00
T ₆	7.33	7.07	6.67	6.37	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₇	7.83	7.50	7.10	6.87	6.27	2.00	2.00
T_8	7.33	7.00	6.50	6.23	6.13	5.80	2.00
T9	6.00	5.77	5.50	2.00	2.00	2.03	2.03
T_{10}	7.33	6.63	6.33	6.07	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₁	6.33	6.10	5.50	2.00	2.00	2.03	2.00
T ₁₂	6.83	6.50	6.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₃	6.33	6.00	5.67	5.57	5.33	2.00	2.00
T_{14}	7.00	6.67	6.17	6.07	5.73	2.00	2.00
T ₁₅	6.33	6.03	5.50	5.40	5.30	2.00	2.00
T ₁₆	6.83	6.27	5.83	5.73	5.57	5.40	2.00
T ₁₇	7.50	6.93	6.50	6.33	2.00	2.00	2.00
T ₁₈	7.50	6.67	6.40	5.90	5.60	5.27	2.00
S.E.±	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.27	0.22	0.18	0.11
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.98	0.92	0.89	0.78	0.62	0.51	0.33
CV%	8.22	8.21	8.39	8.41	8.39	8.62	8.74

Asian J. Hort., 9(1) June, 2014: 94-99 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

STANDARDIZATION OF A RECIPE FOR THE PREPARATION OF CANDY (TUTI FRUITI) FROM UNRIPE PAPAYA

Table7 : Effect of different	t treatments on overal	l acceptability (sc	ore out of 10) of p	apaya candy (tuti	fruiti) du ring sto	orage					
Treatments	Storage period in months										
Treatments	Initial	1	2	3	4	5	6				
T_1	7.85	7.49	7.11	6.84	6.58	6.33	2.42				
T_2	7.04	6.54	6.11	5.93	5.74	5.56	2.75				
T ₃	8.66	8.40	7.96	7.70	7.51	7.23	6.93				
T_4	7.05	6.58	6.13	5.88	2.08	2.08	2.08				
T ₅	8.17	7.76	7.35	7.14	7.00	6.68	2.00				
T ₆	7.04	6.63	6.09	5.88	2.00	2.00	2.00				
T ₇	7.96	7.51	6.99	6.67	6.28	2.00	2.00				
T_8	6.89	6.46	6.01	5.83	5.66	5.42	2.75				
T9	6.17	5.75	5.43	2.00	2.00	2.01	2.01				
T ₁₀	6.96	6.45	5.93	5.70	2.00	2.00	1.97				
T ₁₁	6.68	6.27	5.71	2.00	2.00	2.01	2.00				
T ₁₂	6.50	6.09	5.53	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00				
T ₁₃	6.29	5.88	5.33	5.19	5.10	2.00	2.00				
T_{14}	7.15	6.75	6.25	6.01	5.80	2.75	2.75				
T ₁₅	6.13	5.74	5.17	4.99	4.93	2.00	2.00				
T ₁₆	6.84	6.37	5.92	5.80	5.62	5.39	3.50				
T ₁₇	7.31	6.88	6.46	6.28	2.00	2.00	2.00				
T ₁₈	7.13	6.61	6.21	6.03	5.94	5.74	2.00				
S.E.±	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.05				
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.68	0.60	0.55	0.35	0.23	0.19	0.16				
CV%	5.82	5.42	5.34	3.90	3.16	3.19	3.76				

during metabolism. Similar results were found by Rokhade *et al.* (2006) in aonla, Kannan and Susheela (2002), Unde *et al.* (1998) in ber, Mehta *et al.* (2005) in citrus, Sawate *et al.* (2005) in papaya.

The sensory evaluation of papaya candy (tuti fruiti) by different treatments was done periodically during storage at initial, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th month of storage. It was found that all the sensory parameters were significantly influenced by different treatments during the entire period of storage. In general there has been a tendency of decline in terms of colour (Table 3), texture (Table 4), flavour (Table 5), taste (Table 6) and overall acceptability (Table 7) during the entire period of storage but the acceptability values varied due to treatments. Among various treatments, the colour (7.5), texture (7.3), flavour (6.0), taste (6.8) and over all acceptability (6.9) of the treatment T_3 (20 % NaCl and add direct sugar) recorded maximum score at the end of entire storage period. The decline in colour acceptability of dried product during storage has been due to increase in browning of cubes. Similar results were obtained by Hiremath and Rokhade (2012) in Sapota, Mehta and Tomar (1980) in dehydrated papaya. This variation and decline trend in texture acceptability has been due to fluctuations in moisture levels and increasing sugar content. Similar results were obtained by Sharma and Lal (1999) in plum. This variation and decline trend in flavour acceptability was due to loss of moisture and added preservative. Similar

results were obtained by Sharma *et al.* (1998) in apple. Taste decline was due to development of browning, caramelization and loss of flavour during the entire period of storage. Similar results were obtained by Ray and Kikani (1999) in aonla.

Conclusion:

The results indicated that the quality observations and sensory evaluation were affected by various treatments. The treatment T_3 (20 % NaCl and add direct sugar) recorded the maximum total sugar, non reducing sugar and sensory evaluation (colour, texture, flavour, taste and overall acceptability) during entire period of storage (Six months).

REFERENCES

Amerene, M.A., Panghorn, R.M. and Roeester, E.B. (1965). Principles of sensery evaluation of food. Academic Press, London.

Hiremath, J.B. and Rokhade, A.K. (2012). Preparation of sapota candy. *Internat. J. Food, Agric. & Vet. Sci.*, 2(1): 107-112.

Kannan, S. and Susheela, T.A. (2002). Studies on the syruping and drying methods of ber (*Zizyphus Mauritiana* Lamk) candy. *Beverage & Food World*, 29(2): 39-41.

Malathi, D., Seralathan, M.A., Thirumaran, A.S. and Rajan, S.S. (1986). Utilization of papaya in South Indian cookery. *South Indian Hort.*, **34**(4): 258-262.

98 ^I

Mehta, A., Ranote, P.S. and Bawa, A.S. (2005). Processing of kandi lemon (galgal) peel waste for candy making. *Indian Food Packer*, **59**(1): 67-74.

Mehta, G.L. and Tomar, M.C. (1980). Studies on dehydration of tropical fruits in Uttar Pradesh III. Papaya. (*Carica papaya* L.). *Indian Food Packer*, **34**(4): 12-15.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers, I.C.A.R., New Delhi. pp: 361.

Ray, N.R. and Kikani, K.P. (1999). Standardization of processing technology for aonla candy. National Seminar on Food Processing (G.A.U., Anand), pp: 25-26.

Rokhade, A.K., Chandan, K., Patil, P.B. and Patil, C.P. (2006). Changes in physico-chemical characteristics of sweetened, dehydrated aonla slices during storage. Paper presented in National Seminar on production and processing of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* G.) Amdavad, Gujarat. 38 pp.

Sadasivam, S. and Manickam, A. (1992). Estimation of total sugar by phenol sulphuric acid method. In: *Biochemical methods for agricultural sciences*. Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi. pp. 10-11.

Sawate, A.R., Patil, V.P., Ghatge, P.U., Kshirsagar, R.B. and Tapre, A.R. (2005). Studies on effect of syruping and drying methods on quality of papaya candy. *J. Soils & Crops*, **15**(1): 105-110.

Sharma, K.D. and Lal, B.B. (1999). The effect of osmotic dehydration prior to canning on drained weight and quality of three varieties of plum. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, **36**(2): 136-138.

Sharma, S., Dhaliwal, Y.S. and Kalia, M. (1998). Candied apples: A new perspective. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, **35**(1): 79-82.

Unde, P.A., Kanawade, V.L. and Jadhav, S.B. (1998). Effect of syruping and drying methods on quality of ber candy. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, **35**(3): 259-261.

 $9^{\text{th}}_{\text{Year}} \star \star \star \star \star \text{of Excellence} \star \star \star \star \star$