# Research Paper

Article history:
Received: 11.07.2012
Revised: 21.11.2012
Accepted: 11.12.2012

# Response of bio-fertilizer *Azospirillum* on growth and yield of fennel cv. RAJENDRA SAURABH

S.P. SINGH

Author for correspondence :

S.P. SINGH

AICRP on Spices, Department of Horticulture, Tirhut College of Agriculture (R.A.U.), Dholi, MUZAFFARPUR (BIHAR) INDIA Email: spicestcadholi@yahoo.com **ABSTRACT :** The Experiment was conducted during 2007-2008 to 2009-2010 at experimental field of the Department of Horticulture, Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, Muzaffarpur (Bihar). Combination of bio-fertilizer *Azospirillum* + inorganic nitrogen + FYM gave the better performance in comparison to alone application of bio-fertilizer *Azospirillum*, inorganic nitrogen, FYM and other combination. The combination treatment as soil application of inorganic N (100%) of RDF + *Azospirillum* @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>1</sub>) produced the maximum plant height (169.32 cm), number of branches per plant (9.36), number of umbels per plant (65.65), number of umbellets per umbel (49.42), number of grains per umbellets (46.14) and yield per plot (1.18 kg 7.2 m<sup>-2</sup>) or per hectare (1.63 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and increased the yield 96.38 per cent over control followed by treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) as soil application of inorganic N (75%) of RDF + *Azospirillum* @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> *i.e.* plant height (156.00 cm), number of branches per plant (8.05), number of umbels (61.71), number of umbellets per umbel (43.72), number of grains per umbel (40.54) and yield per plot (1.04 kg 7.2 m<sup>-2</sup>) or per hectare (1.45t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and increased yield (74.69%) over control. The lowest yield (0.83t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with control. The maximum net profit Rs.58, 200 ha<sup>-1</sup> or benefit: cost (Rs.2.50) were calculated with treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) inorganic N (100%) RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM-5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

KEY WORDS: Azospirillum, Organic (FYM), Inorganic nitrogen, Fennel, Economics

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:** Singh, S.P. (2012). Response of bio-fertilizer *Azospirillum* on growth and yield of fennel cv. RAJENDRA SAURABH, *Asian J. Hort.*, **7**(2): 561-564.

ennel (Foeniculum Valgare Mill) is a minor seed spices which belong to family Apiaceae. Fennel is a stout, tap rooted, aromatic herbaceous plant which usually grows to a height of 100-180 cm. The stem is glabrous slender and hollow at maturity with prominent parallel vein. The leaves are pinnately compound with sheathed petiole, partile leaf blade and alternate phyllotaxy. The inflorescence is a compound umbel with substended involucre of bracts and appears terminally on the plant. The plant is diploid and it has chromosome number 22 ( $2n = 2 \times 11$ ). The fennel fruit have peculiar aromatic and pleasant test. The aroma is due to the volatile oil content in seed. The volatile oil contains anethole, fenchone and manute quantity of pinene, comphene, diphentene etc. Fennel can be grown on a variety of soil, however, proper nutritional management is essential, as application of different nutrients was found to influence the growth, yield and quality of garlic (Wange, 1995). Use of organic manure along with inorganic fertilizers has been advocated by several workers. In view of the escalating cost of chemical fertilizers and due to their hazardous effect on soil, soil resources and human health, it is imperative to explore the possibility of supplementing chemical fertilizers with ecofriendly low cost input of microbial orgin like Azospirillum, Azotobactor and phospho bacteria. The microbial inoculants improve nutrient availability resulting in enhanced growth, yield and quality of vegetable crops, besides reducing the quantum of nitrogen and phosphatic fertilizers as reported by Gaur (1985), Musmade and Konde (1986), Gurubatham et al. (1989), Wange (1995), Chattoo et al. (1997), Thiiakavathy and Rammaswamy (1999) and Karuthamani et al. (1995). Keeping in view their significance present investigation was undertaken to assess the effect of bio-fertilizers Azospirillum, organic, and inorganic fertilizer alone and combination with bio-fertilizer Azospirillum, organic and inorganic under different level of organic manure and inorganic nitrogen on fennel regarding growth and yield in region of Bihar.

# RESEARCH METHODS

The experiment was conducted during 2007-2008 to 2009-2010 at experimental fields of the Department of Horticulture, Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, Muzaffarpur (Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar). The experiment was laidout in Randomized Block Design with three replications using variety Rajendra Saurabh. The seeds were sown in nursery in the 3<sup>rd</sup> weeks of September and transplanted in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of October every year. The experiment was allotted by ICAR under All India Coordinated Research Project on Spices. The plot size for each treatment was 3.0m x 2.4m with a spacing of 60cm x 60cm. Experimental plot soil was of sandy loam texture with PH-7.2, EC-0.36 dsm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 0.32 per cent and available N,P,K were 112.0, 13.5, 105.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The treatment details are given below.

	C							
Tab	Table A: Treatment details							
$T_1$	Inorganic N (100%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM							
	– 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> .							
$T_2$	Inorganic N (75%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM							
	– 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> .							
$T_3$	Inorganic N (50%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + FYM							
	– 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> .							
$T_4$	FYM 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>							
T <sub>5</sub>	FYM – 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> alone.							
T <sub>6</sub>	FYM – 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>							
T <sub>7</sub>	$FYM - 10 t ha^{-1} alone.$							
T <sub>8</sub>	100% inorganic nitrogen of RDF alone.							
T <sub>9</sub>	Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> alone.							

Observation on growth and yield were recorded from selected five random plants of each treatments and replication

 $T_{10}$ 

Control.

using standard procedures

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation are summarized in the following sub heads:

#### **Effects of growth attribute:**

Combined effect of bio-fertilizer Azospirillum, FYM and inorganic nitrogen gave significant effect as compared to control regarding growth parameters (Table 1). Maximum plant height (169.32 cm) and number of branches per plant (9.36) were recorded with treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) as soil application inorganic N (100%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by treatment ( $T_2$ ) as soil application inorganic N (75%) of RDF + Azosprillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM – 5t ha<sup>-1</sup>i.e. plant height (156.00cm) and number of branches per plant (8.05). Minimum plant height (131.00cm) and number of branches per plant (5.39) were recorded with treatment ( $T_{10}$ ) control (No application). Increase in growth related attributes due to biofertilizer application was reported by Karuthamani et al. (1995), Wange (1995), Thilakavathy and Ramaswamy (1999) and Chattoo et al. (2007) in other vegetable crops. The improvement in growth related attributes could be because of certain growth promoting substances, secreted by bio-fertilizer, besides increasing the availability of atmospheric nitrogen and soil phosphorus, which might have led to better root and shoot development, better uptake of water, nutrients and their transportation.

### Effect of yield attributes:

Yield and yield related attributes of fennel were significantly influenced by combined effect of bio-fertilizer Azospirillum, FYM and inorganic nitrogen as compared to

Characters	Height of the plant			- Pooled mean	No.	of branches per	olant	Pooled mean
Treatments	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	1 oolea mean	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	1 ooled mean
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$T_1$	147.00	184.00	176.96	169.32	9.67	10.50	7.93	9.36
$T_2$	144.17	166.63	157.20	156.00	8.50	8.83	6.83	8.05
$T_3$	153.83	163.83	154.76	157.47	7.83	8.83	6.43	7.69
$T_4$	145.67	148.67	139.63	144.65	6.67	7.50	4.76	6.31
$T_5$	145.83	146.50	138.30	143.54	7.17	7.16	4.30	6.21
$T_6$	151.17	155.67	146.40	151.08	8.17	7.83	4.56	6.85
$T_7$	155.00	152.33	143.56	150.29	7.33	7.83	4.53	6.56
$T_8$	137.83	158.17	152.69	149.65	7.83	8.33	6.76	7.64
T <sub>9</sub>	146.83	142.00	134.43	141.08	7.50	7.00	4.03	6.17
$T_{10}$	148.83	127.17	117.00	131.00	6.67	6.17	3.33	5.39
S.E. ±	9.57	6.77	6.08	5.63	0.56	0.25	0.25	0.28
C.D. (P=0.05) 20.12	NS	20.12	18.06	16.75	1.65	0.77	0.75	0.85
CV (%) 7.59	11.23	7.59	7.20	6.53	12.46	5.60	8.22	7.09

Table 1 contd...

Contd. Table 1

Characters	No. of umbels per plant		Pooled mean	No. of umbellets per umbel			Pooled mean	
Treatments	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	Pooled mean	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	Pooled mean
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$T_1$	44.17	79.00	73.80	65.65	65.00	42.83	40.43	49.42
$T_2$	40.17	77.50	68.46	61.71	55.00	39.00	37.16	43.72
T <sub>3</sub>	37.50	70.17	63.53	57.06	47.67	37.50	35.40	40.19
$T_4$	34.00	56.50	49.16	46.55	30.17	32.83	28.90	30.63
T <sub>5</sub>	30.17	57.67	51.16	46.33	42.67	32.00	27.60	34.09
T <sub>6</sub>	32.50	64.50	54.66	50.55	35.67	35.33	30.16	33.72
$T_7$	31.00	63.33	56.46	50.26	33.20	35.33	30.23	32.92
$T_8$	28.83	71.17	65.93	55.31	31.17	37.33	36.10	34.86
T <sub>9</sub>	28.17	52.33	44.86	41.78	32.00	30.17	25.26	29.14
$T_{10}$	27.83	50.50	39.33	39.22	31.00	27.33	20.06	26.13
S.E.M ±	1.75	3.29	3.18	2.39	2.73	0.86	1.80	2.99
C.D. (P=0.05) 20.12	5.20	9.80	9.46	7.12	8.12	2.56	5.37	8.89
CV (%) 7.59.	9.07	8.89	9.72	8.07	11.74	4.27	10.05	14.61

Contd. Table 1

Characters	No. of grains per umbellet			Pooled mean	Yield per plot (kg 7.2m <sup>-2</sup> )			- Pooled mean
Treatments	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	Pooled mean	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	- Fooled illean
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
$T_1$	41.00	52.17	45.27	46.14	1.18	1.38	0.97	1.18
$T_2$	37.33	45.00	39.30	40.54	0.93	1.28	0.91	1.04
$T_3$	36.50	43.33	36.06	38.63	0.86	1.12	0.85	0.94
$T_4$	32.17	34.83	28.73	31.91	0.83	0.86	0.73	0.80
$T_5$	35.83	32.67	26.23	31.57	0.72	0.77	0.70	0.73
$T_6$	32.17	36.33	28.63	32.37	0.68	0.95	0.73	0.78
T <sub>7</sub>	29.67	34.67	27.30	30.54	0.78	0.99	0.73	0.83
$T_8$	29.33	39.83	36.63	35.26	0.72	1.04	0.81	0.85
T <sub>9</sub>	29.33	31.67	23.60	28.20	0.70	0.67	0.70	0.69
$T_{10}$	29.67	27.17	20.00	25.61	0.68	0.47	0.63	0.59
S.E. ±	2.27	1.69	1.47	1.77	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.06
C.D. (P=0.05) 20.12	6.74	5.05	4.37	5.26	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.17
CV (%) 7.59.	11.80	7.78	8.17	9.00	10.27	6.93	4.74	12.35

Contd... Table 1

Characters	Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )			D1-4	Increase in yield over check	
Treatments	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	Pooled mean —	t ha <sup>-1</sup>	%
	26	27	28	29	30	31
$T_1$	1.64	1.92	1.34	1.63	0.80	96.38
$T_2$	1.29	1.79	1.27	1.45	0.62	74.69
$T_3$	1.20	1.56	1.18	1.31	0.48	57.83
$T_4$	1.16	1.20	1.02	1.12	0.29	34.93
$T_5$	0.99	1.07	0.97	1.01	0.18	21.68
$T_6$	0.96	1.32	1.02	1.10	0.27	32.53
$T_7$	1.08	1.36	1.02	1.15	0.32	38.55
$T_8$	0.99	1.45	1.13	1.19	0.36	43.37
T <sub>9</sub>	0.97	0.93	0.97	0.95	0.12	14.45
$T_{10}$	0.96	0.66	0.87	0.83	-	-
S.E.M ±	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.08	-	-
C.D. (P=0.05) 20.12	0.19	0.15	0.10	0.26	-	-
CV (%) 7.59.	10.34	6.79	4.78	12.84	-	-

NS=Non-significant

Table 2 : Economic	Table 2 : Economics of the experiment (Fennel)								
Treatments	Gross income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net profit (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost: benefit ratio					
$T_1$	97,000	38,800	58,200	1:2.50					
$T_2$	87,000	38,650	48,350	1:2.25					
$T_3$	78,600	38,500	40,100	1:2.04					
$T_4$	67,200	38,200	29,000	1:1.76					
$T_5$	60,600	37,000	23,600	1:1.64					
$T_6$	66,000	40,200	25,800	1:1.64					
$T_7$	69,000	39,000	30,000	1:1.77					
$T_8$	71,400	35,600	35,800	1:2.00					
T <sub>9</sub>	57,000	36,200	20,800	1:1.57					
$T_{10}$	49,800	35,000	14,800	1:1.42					

control (Table 1). Maximum number of umbels (65.65), number of umbellets per umbel (49.42), number of grains per umbellet (46.14) and yield per plot (1.18 kg 7.2 m<sup>-2</sup>) or yield per hectare (1.63 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed with treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) as soil application of inorganic N (100%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> which increased the yield (96.38%) over control followed by treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) as soil application inorganic N (75%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> i.e. number of umbels per plant (61.71), number of umbellets per umbel (43.72), number of grains per umbellet (40.54) and yield per plot  $(1.04 \text{ kg } 7.2 \text{ m}^{-2})$  or per hectare (1.45)t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which increased the yield (74.69%) over control. The minimum number of umbels per plant (39.22), number of umbellets per umbel (26.13), number of grains per umbellet (25.61) and yield per plot  $(0.59 \text{ kg } 7.2\text{m}^{-2})$  or per hectare (0.83t)ha<sup>-1</sup>) were noticed with treatment  $(T_{10})$  control (No application). Similar observations were also made by Gaur (1985), Karuthamani et al. (1995), Wange (1995) and Chatto et al. (1997), Thilakavathy and Ramaswamy (1999) and Chattoo et al. (2007) in other vegetable crops. The increase in yield attributes may be due to better root proliferation, uptake of nutrients and water, high leaf area, more photosynthesis and enhanced food accumulation, increasing availability of atmospheric nitrogen and phosphorus by microbial inoculants might have played a vital role in increasing the yield and yield related attributes of fennel.

#### **Economics:**

The economics of the experiment is presented in Table 2. The maximum gross income (Rs.97,000.00 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net income (Rs.58,200 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit: cost ratio (2.50) were calculated with treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) inorganic N (100%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM- 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) inorganic N (75%) of RDF + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM - 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> i.e. gross income (Rs.87,000 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net profit (Rs.48,350 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit: cost ratio (Rs.2.25). The total cost of cultivation was highest (Rs.40,200 ha<sup>-1</sup>) with treatment (T<sub>6</sub>) FYM – 10t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and lowest cost in control (Rs.35,000.00 ha<sup>-1</sup>).

## REFERENCES

Chattoo, M.A., Ahmed, N., Faheema, S., Narayan, S., Khan, S.H. and Hussain, K. (2007). Response of garlic (Allium Sativum L.) to bio- fertilizer application. Asian J. Hort., 2 (2): 249-252.

Chattoo, M.A., Gandroo, M.Y. and Zagar, M.Y. (1997). Effect of Azospirillum and Azobactor on growth, yield and quality of knolkhol (Brassica oleracea var. Gongylodes L.). Veg. Sci., 24:16-19.

Gaur, A.C. (1985). Phosphate solubilizing micro-organism and their role in plant growth and crop yield. Proceeding of Biology Symposium, Hisar, pp. 125-138.

Guru batham, J.R.T., Thamburaj, S.A. and Kanda Swamy, D. (1989). Studies on effect of bio-fertilizer on yield of Bellary onion (Allium cepa). South Indian J. Hort., 37:150-153.

Karuthamani, M., Natrajan, S. and Thamburaj, S. (1995). Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of Pumpkin (Cucurbita moschate) cv. CO2. South Indian Hort. J., 43:134-136.

Musmade, B.N. and Konde, B.K. (1986). Effect of Azospirillum Brasilense and Azospirillum lipoferum strains on growth and yield of onion under field conditions. Curr. Res. Reporter, 2: 72-75.

Wange, S.S. (1995). Response of garlic to combined application of bio-fertilizer nitrogen. Soil & Crop, 5: 115-116.

Thilakavathy, S. and Ramaswamy, N. (1999). Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilizers on yield and quality parameters of multiplier onion (Allium cepa var. Aggregation). Veg. Sci., 26: 97-98.

\*\*\*\*\*